	2021 Academic Program Assessment Report		Program current assessment plan here:	https://www.csupueblo.edu/asses	sment-and-student-learning/_doc/	2020/assessment-plans/hsb-r	nba-assessment-plan-2020.pdf
PUEBLU	Business Administration MBA		Program prior assessment report here:	https://www.csupueblo.edu/asses	sment-and-student-learning/_doc/	2020/report/mba-assessment	-report-2020.pdf
Report Completed By:	Laee Choi						
Date Report Completed:	May 31, 2021						
Faculty members involved in this Assessment:	Carl Wright, Ian Brennan						
Please describe this year's assessment a certificate, and graduate program in you The reports will be available to the Dea Brief Statement of Program Mission and Goals:	ur department.) Please also sul n of your college/school and to	omit any addenda such as rul the Executive Director for A f Business at Colorado State Unive alism, and engage with and positiv scholarship and instructional devel	brics which are not available i ssessment as well as faculty p rsity – Pueblo is "We transform stu rely impact our stakeholders. The lopment. Our outreach activities -	in your assessment plan. beer reviewers. Idents, innovate in teaching, intellectual pursuits of our developed in partnership with			
I. Assessment of Student Learning C results, and recommendations for ir improvements planned for the year	nproved student learning. U	se Column H to describe					
···· [········ [······· ··· ··· /····							
	B. When was this SLO last	C. What method was	D. Who was assessed?	E. What is the expected		G. What were the	H. What
here verbatim from your	reported on prior to this	used for assessing the	Please fully describe the	proficiency level and	of the assessment?	department's	changes/improvements
here verbatim from your assessment plan. Please enter info	reported on prior to this cycle? (semester and	used for assessing the SLO? Please include a	Please fully describe the student group(s) and the	proficiency level and how many or what	of the assessment? (Include the proportion	department's conclusions about	changes/improvements to the program are
here verbatim from your assessment plan. Please enter info	reported on prior to this	used for assessing the	Please fully describe the	proficiency level and	of the assessment?	department's	changes/improvement
here verbatim from your assessment plan. Please enter info in columns B-H only for those	reported on prior to this cycle? (semester and	used for assessing the SLO? Please include a copy of any rubrics used in the assessment process. In progress. Because the online MBA program should be assesses	Please fully describe the student group(s) and the number of students or	proficiency level and how many or what proportion of students	of the assessment? (Include the proportion of students meeting proficiency.)	department's conclusions about student	changes/improvement to the program are planned based on this
in columns B-H only for those assessed during this annual cycle. Goal 1: Achieve organizational goals Objective 1.1. Graduate will implement or manage team dynamics in the achievement of	reported on prior to this cycle? (semester and year) New SLO	used for assessing the SLO? Please include a copy of any rubrics used in the assessment process. In progress. Because the online MBA program should be assesses along with the traditional one, we are evaluating the artifacts from the course that is offered via	Please fully describe the student group(s) and the number of students or artifacts involved (N). Artifacts from MGMT 520 (Management of Organizational Behavior): in-person (spring	proficiency level and how many or what proportion of students should be at that level? We expect that at least 70 percent of our students will meet	of the assessment? (Include the proportion of students meeting proficiency.)	department's conclusions about student	changes/improvement to the program are planned based on this

Goal 4: Formulate strategic decision Objective 4.1. Graduates will make strategic decisions applying business knowledge and analytic skills.	New SLO	Two HSB faculty members assessed the artifacts by working in a scoring process for the artifacts independently. And then AoL team and the two assessors discussed the appropriate score for each artifact to finalize the assessment. Appendix 3 is the evaluation form including the rubric of this SLO.	FIN 530 (Financial Managements) were used to assess this SLO. Individual work from 24 students were identified and assessed.	We expect that at least 70 percent of our students will meet or exceed our expectations.	There were two evaluation criteria: students 1) demonstrate ability to make strategic decisions applying business knowledge and 2) demonstrate ability to make strategic decisions applying analytic skills. For 1st criterion, 85.4% of students exceeded or met expectations (exceeded = 66.7%, met = 18.8%, did not meet = 14.6%). For 2nd criterion, 79.2% of students exceeded or met expectations (exceeded = 56.3%, met = 22.9%, did not meet = 20.8%).	Although the results indicate the ability to meet the goal and objective, some students didn't meet the expectations. The two assessors observed that some students somewhat struggled with this learning goal.	Give more examples in class and help students interpret the meaning of calculated values. This will be addressed in a faculty meeting to identify close-the-loop interventions. In addition, the faculty will discuss the ways to improve students' analytic skills.
Comments on part I:	HSB is working on the modification assess with a new one including th	of SLOs. Goal 1 was already app e somewhat modified wordings. W	roved by the faculty, while Goal 2, /e expect to finalize all the SLOs in	3, and 4 are being reviewed by the fall 2021.	faculty. Even if Goal 4 was asses	sed wtih the current version, th	e next assessment may be
II. Closing the Loop. Describe at least during the year cycle. These are those the results of assessment from previous of the second se	e that were based on, or in						
A. What SLO(s) or other issues did you address in this cycle? Please include SLOs verbatim from the assessment plan, as above.	B. When was this SLO last assessed to generate the data which informed the change? Please indicate the semester and year.	C. What were the recommendations for change from the previous assessment column H and/or feedback?	D. How were the recommendations for change acted upon?	E. What were the results of the changes? If the changes were not effective, what are the next steps or the new recommendations?			
None							
None							
Comments on part II:	Because SLOs for MBA students h cycles.	ave been newly developed since 2	2019, HSB doesn't have any result	s of assessment from previous			

Appendix 3	: Evaluatio	n Form for MBA Goal	4	
	Μ	BA LEARNING GOALS	REVIEWER FOR	KM
REVIEW	/ER:	Date:		
LEARNIN	G GOAL: F	ormulating Strategic Dec	ision	
Students with Artition Revia Control Cont	II: make strat facts: <u>24 assis</u> Each artifac iew procedur Use a separ In the sheet After evalua average sco If you enco Committee ric	TIVES (4.1.) tegic decisions applying but gnments (written format) fr et has its own number e ate rubric rating sheet for each , check score of each artiface ating all artifact, put a total re into Evaluation Result (butter difficulty during the a for guidance.	om FIN 530 (N = 24 ach artifact (see page t number of students below). ssessment, contact t	 4) e 2). for each score and the the members of the AoL
your observ performance	ations of stud e and your id Criteria 1: S	ection to provide qualitative lent performance there will eas for how to improve stuc <u>EVALUATION</u> Students demonstrate ability	help you write your lent performance. <u>RESULT</u>	overall report of student
Exceeds ex	b pectations	usiness knowledge. Meets expectations	Does not meet	AVERAGE
	2)	(=1)	expectations (=0)	

	tudents demonstrate abilitialytic skills.	ty to make strategic decisi	ons applying
Exceeds expectations (=2)	Meets expectations (=1)	Does not meet expectations (=0)	AVERAGE SCORE
Comment:			

Artifact No.	(=2)	(=1)	expectations (=0)
	Exceeds expectation	Meets expectations	Does not meet
luation Criter	ia 2: Students demonstr analytic skills.	ate ability to make strateg	ic decisions applying

3		
4	-	
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		

	23		
_	24		