

olorado Academic Program Assessment Report for AY 2018-2019

(Due: May 1, 2019)

Program:___BS in Egineering_____

Date report completed: _June 26 2019____

Completed by:____Jane M Fraser______

Assessment contributors (other faculty involved): Ansaf, Bedoya, DePalma, Duong, Jaksic, Wollega_____

Please describe the 2018-2019 assessment activities and follow-up for your program below. Please complete this form for <u>each undergraduate major</u>, <u>minor</u>, <u>certificate</u>, <u>and graduate program</u> (e.g., B.A., B.S., M.S.) in your department. Please copy any addenda (e.g., rubrics) and paste them in this document, save and submit it to both the Dean of your college/school and to the Assistant Provost as an email attachment before June 1, 2018. You'll also find this form on the assessment website at <u>https://www.csupueblo.edu/assessment-and-student-learning/resources.html</u>. Thank you.

Brief statement of Program mission and goals:

I. Assessment of Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs) in this cycle. Including processes, results, and recommendations for improved student learning. Use Column H to describe improvements planned for 2018-2019 based on the assessment process.

A. Which of the	B. When	C. What	D. Who was	E. What is	F. What	G. What were the	H. What changes/improvements
program SLOs	was this	method was	assessed?	the	were the	department's	to the <u>program</u> are planned
were assessed	SLO <u>last</u>	used for	Please fully	expected	results of the	conclusions about	based on this assessment?
during this	reported	assessing the	describe the	proficiency	assessment?	student	
cycle? Please	on prior	SLO? Please	student	level and	(Include the	performance?	
include the	to this	include a copy	group(s) and	how many	proportion		
outcome(s)	cycle?	of any rubrics	the number	or what	of students		
verbatim from	(semester	used in the	of students	proportion	meeting		
the assessment	and year)	assessment	or artifacts	of students	proficiency.)		
plan.		process.	involved (N).	should be at			
				that level?			
4. an ability to	Never in	We reviewed	All students	The level	See below.	See below.	None. See below.
recognize	this	the assessment	in each of the	differed by			
ethical and	specific	of this SLO	classes were	class. Most			
professional	form. We	from individual	assessed,	were that			
responsibilities	revised	classes.	using specific	80% of			
in engineering	our SLOs		assignments	students			
situations and	to match		in each class.	achieve 50%			

Created by IEC Jan 2011, Revised Oct 2011, Revised July 2012, Revised Apr 2016, Revised Sept 2017, June 2018

make informed	new ABET		or better on		
judgments,	SLOs. The		the		
which must	new SLO 4		assessment.		
consider the	includes				
impact of	old SLOs				
engineering	(f), (j), and				
solutions in	(h)				
global,					
economic,					
environmental,					
and societal					
contexts.					

Comments on part I:

Regarding item F, Professor Fraser reported to the faculty at the 26 April 2019 Department meeting:

The goals were almost all met and we have evidence that our students were meeting (f), (h), and (j). The major issue was the lack of discussion of contemporary issues outside of sustainability. The new outcome 4 (1) eliminates any mention of contemporary issues and (2) combines ethics and sustainability. These changes will eliminate the problem we had (limited discussion of contemporary issues), but I recommend we continue to bring contemporary issues into the classroom, such as the VW scandal and the role of automation in changing future jobs for engineers and for everyone.

The notes from that meeting include:

We agreed that we should continue to discuss contemporary issues (Boeing crashes, Boeing production issues, privacy, AI algorithms). We think we are doing a good job on integrating ethics and sustainability throughout the curriculum. We think the seniors do fine on discussing sustainability in their senior project reports. In ethics, Leonardo uses the same case study in EN 101 and EN 486; the EN 101 students are asked to identify the issues and the seniors do calculations to support their conclusions. Ebisa uses a class project and has students identify the ethical issues and impacts on society. The syllabus and rubrics for EN 487/488 need to be updated to reflect this new outcome 4.

For this outcome, no assessments were done separately for the BSE and BSIE students; therefor this report is for both programs. Other outcomes are assessed separately for the two programs.

II. Closing the Loop. Describe at least one data-informed change to your curriculum during the 2018-2019 cycle. These are those that were based on, or implemented to address, the results of assessment from previous cycles.

A. What SLO(s) or other issues did you address in this cycle? Please include the outcome(s) verbatim from the assessment plan.	B. When was this SLO last assessed to generate the data which informed the change? Please indicate the semester and year.	C. What were the recommendations for change from the previous assessment column H and/or feedback?	D. How were the recommendations for change acted upon?	E. What were the results of the changes? If the changes were not effective, what are the next steps or the new recommendations?

Comments on part II:

This year we focused on revisions of our assessment plan to bring our student outcomes into alignment with the new ABET outcomes. We revised our assessment handbook (the new version is attached), we had our advisory board discuss and approve the changes to the student outcomes, and we revised all EN syllabitor reflect the changes shown in the chart. This was a major undertaking.

Table 2. Changes in Criterion 3 - Student Outcomes

Current Language EAC Criteria effective 2017-18 and 2018-19 Cycles	New Language Approved by the EAD October 20, 2017 Applicable beginning in the 2019-20 cycle
Criterion 3. Student Outcomes The program must have documented student outcomes that prepare graduates to attain the program educational objectives. Student outcomes are outcomes (a) through (k) plus any additional outcomes that may be articulated by the program.	Criterion 3. Student Outcomes The program must have documented student outcomes that support the program educational objectives. Attainment of these outcomes prepares graduates to enter the professional practice of engineering. Student outcomes are outcomes (1) through (7), plus any additional outcomes that may be articulated by the program.
(a) an ability to apply knowledge of mathematics, science, and engineering(e) an ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	1. an ability to identify, formulate, and solve complex engineering problems by applying principles of engineering, science, and mathematics
(b) an ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as to analyze and interpret data	6. an ability to develop and conduct appropriate experimentation, analyze and interpret data, and use engineering judgment to draw conclusions
(c) an ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs within realistic constraints such as economic, environmental, social, political, ethical, health and safety, manufacturability, and sustainability	2. an ability to apply engineering design to produce solutions that meet specified needs with consideration of public health, safety, and welfare, as well as global, cultural, social, environmental, and economic factors
(d) an ability to function on multidisciplinary teams	5. an ability to function effectively on a team whose members together provide leadership, create a collaborative and inclusive environment, establish goals, plan tasks, and meet objectives
 (f) an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility (h) the broad education necessary to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global, economic, environmental, and societal context (j) a knowledge of contemporary issues 	4. an ability to recognize ethical and professional responsibilities in engineering situations and make informed judgments, which must consider the impact of engineering solutions in global, economic, environmental, and societal contexts
(g) an ability to communicate effectively	3. an ability to communicate effectively with a range of audiences
(i) a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	7. an ability to acquire and apply new knowledge as needed, using appropriate learning strategies
(k) an ability to use the techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice.	Implied in 1, 2, and 6

Source: http://www.abet.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/03/C3_C5_mapping_SEC_1-13-2018.pdf