5.7 Applies technology to the delivery of standards-based instruction. (CO: 7.1 - 7.1.1, 7.5.3, 7.1.4, 7.1.2) (NETS 2a, 3a, 4a, 4b, 4c)

	Basic (1.0 - 1.9)	Developing (2.0 - 2.9)	Proficient (3.0 - 3.9)	Advanced (4.0)
A. Safe, Legal. Ethical Use (4a, 4c)	No evidence of this dimension OR demonstrates any of the following: a) unsafe use of technology (e.g., ineffective monitoring of student use of Internet), b) failure to follow district policy, or c) submission of evidence/observation of failure to follow copyright or fair use policies	Demonstrates awareness of safe and responsible use of technology and classroom procedures to implement school and district technology acceptable-use policies and data security plans through classroom assignments (e.g. using district permission slip before taping students); no evidence of inappropriate use (a, b, c) listed under "basic"	students and in his/er own use of technology: a) safe and responsible use, b) adherence without exception to all district policies and data security plans, and c) adherence to copyright and fair use policies	at least one lesson plan) procedures such as pre-teaching and/or explicit instruction on safe and responsible use of computers or other technology AND ethical, legal use such as copyright law
B. Uses & Manages Tools & Resources (3a)	No evidence of use of TECHNOLOGY TOOLS below OR can only demonstrate use of computer work station in word processing TOOLS: Independent use of general hard No evidence of use of educational software in instuction (e,g. <i>Reader Rabbit, Timeliner, Inspiration</i>) OR	Can use at least 50% of general tools listed below in development of standards-based lessons; may require assistance ware & peripherals such as presentation device. Can accurately review software for quality and usability in class assignments, identifying quality, appropriate software for	at least one example of a discipline tool in creation and delivery of standards based lessons with minimal assistance. ces, PDA's, DVD/CD Writers, Smartboards/Prom- Integrates a variety of educational software into standards-based lesson plans in more than one	Exceeds the criteria of "proficient" by
	ineffective use of software (e.g., inability to demonstrate its use) OR inaccurate evaluation of software	use in lesson plans (at least one)	J , , , ,	lessons
	No evidence of using the Internet in planning and implementing instruction OR selects Internet resources that are ineffective or inappropriate for the content chosen or developmental level of students	Develops instrucitonal plans that use the Internet, including one of the following: a) lesson plan utilizing activities from the www or input from the www that enhances the lesson (e.g., video, pictures) and b) webquest with developmentally appropriate web sites with content matched to standards	educational Internet sites and integrates them into standards-based lesson plans, including a) integration of effective input from the Internet in lesson plans for different content areas of responsibility and b) development of a	Meets criteria for "proficient" and utilizes complex applications of Internet for instructional purposes, for example online collaboration (networking) and podcasting

_	Inc. 11 (11 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	18	In the state of th	
	No evidence of dimension OR fails to	Demonstrates knowledge of at least three of		Meets criteria for "proficient" and demonstrates
0	plan or implement strategies to manage	the following in plans: Scheduling of	planning for a majority ofl the management of	"advanced" skills by showing flexibility in
00)	technology successfully	technology, room arrangement to facilitate	technology resources described included in	technology management, documenting a
_		effective tech use, environmental control	"developing" AND implements them effectively,	change in technology management based on
S.			ensuring student engagement and minimal off	evaluation of lessons; describes back-up plans
Ŕ		work centers, managing technology with	task behavior	(includes on lesson plans, changes to these
ΙĘ		student demonstrations, plans for one and		plans during lessons, orally describes back up
resources.		multi-computer classrooms, and		plans when asked)
es				plans when asked)
		demonstrations vs. student hands-on		
કે		applications with technology, lap top use vs.		
9		computer lab procedures; "knowledge"		
2		requires details fo the physical environment,		
چ		instructios for routines for student use, and		
e e		teacher behavior		
manage technology i.2)				
ğ				
na				
, a				
to ma 7.1.2)				
to 7.1				
2				
plan				
a p				
and implements				
e e				
e				
ă				
E				
2				
Ĭ				
a				
ě				
ä				
Creates				
C)				
		•		

	No evidence of knowledge of NETS	Plans at least one lesson plan that is aligned	Plans and teaches a minimum of one lesson	Plans and teaches skills in all six NETS
2	(2007) standards or their use in teaching		that addresses at least one NETS (2007)	standards, documenting student learning
		below); standard(s) must be identified in the	standard, teaches the lesson, and documents	
Теас		plan	student outcome related to standard. NOTE:	
ij			using technology in a lesson does not meet this	
sp			standard	
20				
Standar				
S				
NET				
\ s				
eq				
Embe				
D.				

NOTE: Assessment materials (e.g., Excel used for grading) should not be considered for this standard but is included in Standard 4.5.

Operationalization/Criteria:

Guidelines for Admission to Education:

- 1. Benchmark for admission includes: Demonstrates developing skills at using technology to plan and implement standards-based instruction
- 2. Following the rubric (above), a student should earn a rating of "developing" on most dimensions; "developing" is determined by observing plans, not observation of tool use; at admission, evidence for all but row 1 of dimension 2 (B) should be "developing." this is based on successful completion of ED 280/520
- 3. Complete the OVERALL rating for the standard by averaging the ratings on all dimensions.
- 3. Some students may not yet have taken ED 280/520; they would receive a rating of 1.0 if evidence is not included.

Evidence to be Evaluated:

Software evaluations, lesson plan(s) that embed technology applications/tools, teaching materials developed with technology, webquest, lesson plans describing management, web site created by student (possible)

Guidelines at Admission to Student Teaching:

- 1. Benchmark for admission includes: Demonstrates developing skills at using technology to plan and implement standards-based instruction
- 2. Following the rubric, student should earn a rating of "developing" on all dimensions; "developing" is determined by use of tools in cited in plans, not observation of tool use.
- 3. Complete the OVERALL rating for the standard by averaging the ratings on all dimensions.
- 3. Some students may not yet have taken ED 280/520; they would receive a rating of 1.0 if they do not include appropriate evidence.

Evidence to be Evaluated:

Software evaluations, lesson plan(s) that embed technology applications and tools, materials developed with technology, webquest, lesson plans describing management Possible: web site created by student

Guidelines for Program Completion/Student Teaching:

- 1. Required for program completion are ratings of "proficient" on all dimensions of the standard.
- 2. Observe for both quality and variety of technology integration.
- 5. Evaluate plans, materials for students, and the teacher's implementation/management of the technology.
- 6. Evaluate across different curriculum areas of responsibility, including literacy.
- 7. Consistency = requires fluency/repetition, including documentation of competence in different content areas, with different lesson formats.
- 3. A possible Inventory narrative should describe an example of student performance: e.g., *In implementing her TWS, he used all of the following examples of technology: a webguest on World War I used as an anchor task that embedded edited video and audio, animation, and other complex media to motivate learning.*

Examples of Evidence:

Observation of teaching; lesson plans/lesson plan book, TWS, direct observations of teaching, interview with teacher (tool use/applications not observed), teacher's web site

Rationale:

Bitter, G.G., & Legacy, J.M. (2008). Using technology in the classroom, 7th ed. Upper Saddle rivers, NJ: Allyn & Bacon.

Byrom, E. Review of the professional literature on the integration of technology into educational programs. Available at http://www.seirtec.org/publications/litreview.html. Center for Applied Research in Educational Technoloy: http://caret.iste.org/

Fletcher-Flinn, C. M., & Gravatt, B. (1995). The efficacy of computer assisted instruction (CAI): A meta-analysis. Journal of Educational Computing Research, 219-241.

ISTE (2008). National Educational Technology Standards (NETS•T) and Performance Indicators for Teachers . Available at

http://www.iste.org/Content/NavigationMenu/NETS/ForTeachers/2008Standards/NETS_T_Standards_Final.pdf.

Johnston, M. and Cooley, N. (2001). What we know about: Supporting new models of teaching and learning through technology. Arlington, Va.: Educational Research Service. Looney, M.A. (2005, September). Giving students a 21st century education. *Technology Horizons in Education Journal*, p.58.

Marshall, J.M. (2002). Learning with technology: Evidence that technology can, and does, support learning. San Diego, CA: Cable in the Classroom.

Morrison, G.R., & Lowther, D.L. (2010). Integrating computer technology into the classroom: Skills for the 21st century, 4th ed. Upper Saddle rivers, NJ: Allyn & Bacon.

NECC: http://center.uoregon.edu/ISTE/NECC2009/.

North Central Regional Educational Laboratory (2002). EnGauge 21st century skills: Digital literacies for a digital age. Available at http://www.ncrel.org/engauge.

Partnership for 21st Century Skills. (2003). Learning for the 21st century. Available at http://www.21stcenturyskills.org/downloads/P21_Report.pdf.

Ringstaff, C., & Kelley, L. (2002). The learning return on our educational technology investment. San Francisco: WestEd. Available at http://www.wested.org/cs/we/view/rs/619.

Rose, D. H. & Meyer, A. (2002). Teaching every student in the digital age: Universal design for learning. Alexandria, VA: ASCD.

Sherry, L. & Jesse, D. (2000). The impact of technology on student achievement. Denver, CO: RMC Research Corporation. Available at http://carbon.cudenver.edu/~lsherry/pubs/tassp_00.htm.

Smaldino, S.E., & Lowther, D.L. (2007). Instructional technology and media for learning, 9th ed. Upper Saddle Rivers, NJ: Allyn & Bacon.

NETS Standards. (2007). Available at http://www.iste.org/Content/NavigationMenu/NETS/ForStudents/2007Standards/NETS_for_Students_2007.htm

1. Creativity and Innovation

Students demonstrate creative thinking, construct knowledge, and develop innovative products and processes using technology. Students:

- a.apply existing knowledge to generate new ideas, products, or processes.
- b. create original works as a means of personal or group expression.
- c. use models and simulations to explore complex systems and issues.
- d. identify trends and forecast possibilities.
- 2. Communication and Collaboration

Students use digital media and environments to communicate and work collaboratively, including at a distance, to support individual learning and contribute to the learning of others. Students:

- a.interact, collaborate, and publish with peers, interact, collaborate, and publish with peers, experts, or others employing a variety of digital environments and media.
- b. communicate information and ideas effectively to multiple audiences using a variety of media and formats.
- c. develop cultural understanding and global awareness by engaging with learners of other cultures.
- d. contribute to project teams to produce original works or solve problems.

3. Research and Information Fluency

Students apply digital tools to gather, evaluate, and use information. Students:

- a. plan strategies to guide inquiry.
- b. locate, organize, analyze, evaluate, synthesize, and ethically use information from a variety of sources and media.
- c. evaluate and select information sources and digital tools based on the appropriateness to specific tasks.
- d. process data and report results.

4. Critical Thinking, Problem Solving, and Decision Making

Students use critical thinking skills to plan and conduct research, manage projects, solve problems, and make informed decisions using appropriate digital tools and resources. Students:

- a. identify and define authentic problems and significant questions for investigation.
- b. plan and manage activities to develop a solution or complete a project.
- c. collect and analyze data to identify solutions and/or make informed decisions.
- d. use multiple processes and diverse perspectives to explore alternative solutions.

5. Digital Citizenship

Students understand human, cultural, and societal issues related to technology and practice legal and ethical behavior. Students:

- a. advocate and practice safe, legal, and responsible use of information and technology.
- b. exhibit a positive attitude toward using technology that supports collaboration, learning, and productivity.
- c. demonstrate personal responsibility for lifelong learning.
- d. exhibit leadership for digital citizenship.