

ICR Governing Board Meeting Minutes

October 9, 2020 12:00 – 1:00 pm

Virtual Meeting

<https://csupueblo.zoom.us/j/510564566>

ATTENDEES: Dr. Bidwell, Dr. Kinney, Dr. Reuter, Dr. Hasan, Dr. Sisley, Dr. Leehey, Sherard Rogers, Sal Pace, Mara Browsy-Wiwchar

Meeting Minutes:

- I. Welcome (12:00-12:03pm) Dr. Bidwell
- II. Approve minutes from 09/11/20 (12:03-12:05pm) Dr. Bidwell
 - a. Thank you to Curriculum Review Subcommittee
 - i. Motion by Sue: Seconded By Jon; Vote – All yes.
 - ii. Dr. Bidwell would like to express gratitude to those who participate in this subcommittee.
- III. RFA Update (12:05pm – 12:15pm) Dr. Kinney and Nicole Quartiero
 - a. Update on RFA status and review of timeline
 - b. Question – when we will know the budget? Dr. Kinney responded that we will not know officially until May, but are planning for a static budget (\$1M). This will allow up to likely fund 4 proposals
 - c. There are other efforts to increase the budget which would allow us to fund additional projects.
- IV. Strategic Plan Document (12:15pm – 12:30pm) Dr. Bidwell
 - i. Review of document content and intention
 - ii. Review of key points and action items.
 - iii. Please forward any recommendations or suggestions to Dr. Bidwell.
- V. Fundraising Committee Updates (12:30pm – 12:45p) Dr. Kinney and Sal Pace
 - a. Updates – OSPB and JBC
 - i. A strategy is to tie the ICR budget to the % of marijuana revenue in the state.
 - ii. Presentation was well received; Sal gave kudos to Drs. Bidwell and Kinney on their presentation.
 - b. Priorities
 - i. This has been discussed, but includes what was on the strategic plan document in addition to Dr. Hasan’s suggestion below.
 - ii. With respect to the percentage of revenue related to marijuana sales in Colorado, which was discussed with the Governor’s Budget Team and an emphasis area in the comments that were submitted with the annual report to the various state committee.
 - c. Donations
 - i. Dr. Kinney has had numerous conversations with Ean Seeb regarding donations to the ICR. Unfortunately this going through the State has not been successful. Therefore, Dr. Kinney has re-engaged with the CSU-Pueblo Foundation at the recommendation of Ean. There is still the challenge of donations from high-THC companies. The compromise has been to accept donations from individuals only. Dr. Kinney put together a proposal for the Foundations’ Board for consideration.
 - d. Action Items
 - i. Suggestion from Dr. Hasan to reach out to Bill Maher and Jim Belushi to promote the ICR and hopefully secure donations or other funding support for the ICR. Can this be raised as an action item during the next budget subcommittee meeting? Dr. Hasan recommends that an official letter be sent to them from Drs. Bidwell and Kinney.
- VI. In-person Meeting Update (12:45pm – 12:50pm) Dr. Bidwell

- i. Overview of survey results; outcome was about half and half. Dr. Bidwell has decided to pause on in person meetings for the fall and re-engage the discussion in the spring. The in person meeting will be in Denver.
- ii. Question regarding rationale, but this was not asked in to be disclosed in the survey.

VII. Dr. Sisley Lawsuit (12:50-12:55) Dr. Bidwell

- i. Dr. Sisley expressed gratitude for everyone's participation and support. Her lawyers are also interested in pursuing support from the State of Colorado.

VIII. Other Business

- i. Question by Dr. Hasan – will the frequency of the meeting be changing? Per the suggestion of President Mottet in the Bylaws document. Per Dr. Bidwell, we should revisit this. Dr. Hasan suggested that an executive committee meet more frequently. Dr. Bidwell suggested we would continue to evaluate the frequency and duration of meetings.

IX. Public Comment (12:55-1:00pm)

- i. The efforts of the ICR is applauded.
- ii. Question regarding RFA deadlines; dates were restated.
- iii. Dr. Hasan suggested that any member of the public who is supportive of the ICR and its efforts should send in letters of support to the state and or representatives.
- iv. Question regarding purpose of LOI – is it to evaluate reviewers needed or part of a selection process? Dr. Kinney responded that the primary purpose is to find reviewers, but if anything proposed is not allowed submitters can be notified at that time.
- v.

X. Adjourn