

olorado Academic Program Assessment Report for AY 2017-2018

Program: HSB graduate (MBA)

(Due: June 1, 2018)

Date report completed: June 1, 2018

Completed by: Brad Gilbreath

Assessment contributors (other faculty involved): Laee Choi, Aun Hassan

Please describe the 2017-2018 assessment activities and follow-up for your program below. Please complete this form for <u>each undergraduate major</u>, <u>minor</u>, <u>certificate</u>, <u>and graduate program</u> (e.g., B.A., B.S., M.S.) in your department. Please copy any addenda (e.g., rubrics) and paste them in this document, save and submit it to both the Dean of your college/school and to the Assistant Provost as an email attachment before June 1, 2018. You'll also find this form on the assessment website at <u>https://www.csupueblo.edu/assessment-and-student-learning/resources.html</u>. Thank you.

**I. Assessment of Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs) in this cycle.** Including processes, results, and recommendations for improved student learning. Use Column H to describe improvements planned for 2018-2019 based on the assessment process.

| A. Which of the  | B. When   | C. What        | D. Who was    | E. What is   | F. What        | G. What were the   | H. What changes/improvements      |
|------------------|-----------|----------------|---------------|--------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|
| program SLOs     | was this  | method was     | assessed?     | the          | were the       | department's       | to the <u>program</u> are planned |
| were assessed    | SLO last  | used for       | Please fully  | expected     | results of the | conclusions about  | based on this assessment?         |
| during this      | assessed? | assessing the  | describe the  | achievement  | assessment?    | student            |                                   |
| •                |           | •              |               |              |                |                    |                                   |
| cycle? Please    | (semester | SLO? Please    | student       | level and    | Include the    | performance?       |                                   |
| include the      | and year) | include a copy | group(s) and  | how many     | proportion     |                    |                                   |
| outcome(s)       |           | of any rubrics | the number    | or what      | of students    |                    |                                   |
| verbatim from    |           | used in the    | of students   | proportion   | meeting        |                    |                                   |
| the assessment   |           | assessment     | or artifacts  | of students  | proficiency.   |                    |                                   |
| plan.            |           | process.       | involved.     | should be at |                |                    |                                   |
|                  |           |                |               | that level?  |                |                    |                                   |
| Demonstrate      | Fall 2013 | Two faculty    | Twenty-six    | We expect    | Only thirty-   | Spring 2017:       | We need to identify core          |
| competency in    |           | members        | case analyses | that at 80   | four percent   | Student            | competencies for report writing   |
| written          |           | reviewed       | from MGMT     | percent of   | of students    | performance is     | and build in opportunities for    |
| communication    |           | artifacts of   | 585 were      | our students | met or         | below              | students to build those           |
| skills – format. |           | student work.  | assessed.     | will meet or | exceeded       | expectations. This | competencies in MBA courses.      |
|                  |           |                |               | exceed our   | expectations   | assessment         | We will share those               |
|                  |           |                |               | expectations |                | revealed quite a   | competencies with faculty         |
|                  |           |                |               | . For        |                | few things we need | during Convocation so they can    |
|                  |           |                |               | exceeds,     |                | to coach students  | include those in their courses.   |

Created by IEC Jan 2011, Revised Oct 2011, Revised July 2012, Revised Apr 2016, Revised Sept 2017

| Demonstrate<br>competency in<br>written<br>communication<br>skills –<br>vocabulary | Fall 2013 | Two faculty<br>members<br>reviewed<br>artifacts of<br>student work. | Twenty-six<br>case analyses<br>from MGMT<br>585 were<br>assessed. | students'<br>documents<br>use proper<br>format,<br>particularly<br>punctuation<br>and<br>sentence<br>structure.<br>For <i>meets</i> ,<br>documents<br>meet most<br>format<br>guidelines<br>relative to<br>punctuation<br>and<br>sentence<br>structure.<br>We expect<br>that at 80<br>percent of<br>our students<br>will meet or<br>exceed our | Seventy-<br>three<br>percent of<br>students<br>met or<br>exceeded | on more.<br>Examples: Tables<br>need introductions,<br>proofreading and<br>multiple edits are<br>necessary, make<br>sure headings are<br>consistent, don't<br>include hyperlinks<br>in a reference<br>section.<br>Performance is<br>below our<br>expectations. Many<br>of our students are<br>writing too<br>informally or | We also will conduct a focus<br>group with experienced MBA<br>students to get their insights on<br>causes and potential solutions.      |
|--|-----------|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| competency in<br>written<br>communication<br>skills –                              | Fall 2013 | members<br>reviewed<br>artifacts of                                 | case analyses<br>from MGMT<br>585 were                            | We expect<br>that at 80<br>percent of<br>our students<br>will meet or   | three<br>percent of<br>students<br>met or                         | below our<br>expectations. Many<br>of our students are<br>writing too  | students to the need to write<br>clearly, critically examine and<br>proofread their writing multiple<br>times, and to seek "fresh eyes" |
| vocabulary   |           |   |   | exceed our<br>expectations<br>. For<br><i>exceeds,</i><br>documents<br>use<br>vocabulary<br>correctly<br>and<br>appropriate<br>for the  | exceeded<br>our<br>expectations                                   | imprecisely.   | work. To motivate this behavior<br>we will consider increasing<br>grading weights and penalties<br>related to this writing criterion.   |

| smoother flow;<br>there were<br>instances of<br>confusion.  | Demonstrate<br>competency in<br>oral<br>communication<br>and<br>presentations –<br>organization | Spring<br>2014 | Two faculty<br>members<br>reviewed<br>artifacts of<br>student work. | Five videos of<br>student<br>presentation<br>s sampled in<br>MKTG 540<br>were<br>assessed.<br>Each<br>presentation<br>included<br>multiple<br>student<br>presenters. | audience.<br>For meets,<br>documents<br>generally<br>use<br>vocabulary<br>appropriate<br>for the<br>target<br>audience.<br>We expect<br>that at 80<br>percent of<br>our students<br>will meet or<br>exceed our<br>expectations<br>. For<br>exceeds,<br>Ideas are<br>logical,<br>exceptionall<br>y well<br>organized.<br>For meets,<br>Ideas are<br>fairly well<br>organized. | One-<br>hundred<br>percent of<br>the<br>presentation<br>met<br>expectations<br>. That,<br>however,<br>does not<br>mean that<br>the<br>presentation<br>s were 100<br>percent<br>effective. | there were<br>instances of<br>confusion. | During Convocation, we will<br>discuss as a faculty our<br>expectations for student<br>presentations and consider<br>solutions such as a standard<br>presentation checklist for<br>students to refer to that lays of<br>suggestions, expectations, and<br>preferred mindsets for<br>presenters. |
|---|---|----------------|---|--|--|---|--|---|
| competency in 2014 members student that at 80 hundred impressed with the course for what may have | competency in   |                | members   | student  | that at 80   | hundred   | impressed with the                       |   |

Created by IEC Jan 2011, Revised Oct 2011, Revised July 2012, Revised Apr 2016, Revised Sept 2017

| communication   |        | artifacts of  | s sampled in | our students          | students     | students' slides.                     | observed by the assessors so |
|-----------------|--------|---------------|--------------|-----------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| and             |        | student work. | MKTG 540     | will meet or          | met or       | And students                          | that these effectiveness-    |
| presentations - |        |               | were         | exceed our            | exceed       | seemed                                | promoting methods could be   |
| articulation of |        |               | assessed.    | expectations          | expectations | knowledgeable                         | adopted in other courses.    |
| ideas           |        |               | Each         | . For                 |              | about what they                       |                              |
|                 |        |               | presentation | exceeds,              |              | were presenting                       |                              |
|                 |        |               | included     | ideas are             |              | on.                                   |                              |
|                 |        |               | multiple     | clearly               |              |                                       |                              |
|                 |        |               | student      | articulated.          |              |                                       |                              |
|                 |        |               | presenters.  | For <i>meets,</i>     |              |                                       |                              |
|                 |        |               |              | ideas are             |              |                                       |                              |
|                 |        |               |              | generally             |              |                                       |                              |
|                 |        |               |              | well                  |              |                                       |                              |
|                 |        |               |              | articulated;          |              |                                       |                              |
|                 |        |               |              | not difficult         |              |                                       |                              |
|                 |        |               |              | to                    |              |                                       |                              |
|                 |        |               |              | comprehend            |              |                                       |                              |
|                 |        |               |              | •                     |              | -                                     |                              |
| Correctly use   | Spring | Two faculty   | Eleven exams | We expect             | Eighty-two   | Overall students                      | No actions planned.          |
| analytical      | 2015   | members       | from ECON    | that at 80            | percent of   | seem to do quite                      |                              |
| methods         |        | reviewed      | 510 were     | percent of            | students     | well in terms of                      |                              |
|                 |        | artifacts of  | assessed.    | our students          | met or       | applying the                          |                              |
|                 |        | student work. |              | will meet or          | exceeded     | correct method to                     |                              |
|                 |        |               |              | exceed our            | expectations | solve the problem.                    |                              |
|                 |        |               |              | expectations<br>. For | •            | Every student was able to plug in the |                              |
|                 |        |               |              | exceeds,              |              | correct values into                   |                              |
|                 |        |               |              | analyses are          |              | the formula and                       |                              |
|                 |        |               |              | correct. For          |              | calculate the values                  |                              |
|                 |        |               |              | meets,                |              | correctly. So it                      |                              |
|                 |        |               |              | analyses are          |              | seems that                            |                              |
|                 |        |               |              | generally             |              | students are doing                    |                              |
|                 |        |               |              | correct.              |              | really well in terms                  |                              |
|                 |        |               |              |                       |              | of their                              |                              |
|                 |        |               |              |                       |              | mathematical                          |                              |
|                 |        |               |              |                       |              | skills.                               |                              |

| Evaluate       | Spring | Two faculty   | Eleven exams | We expect     | Seventy-four | We had a relatively   | Recommend that instructors        |
|----------------|--------|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| business       | 2015   | members       | from ECON    | that at least | percent of   | small number of       | share more examples in class      |
| situations     |        | reviewed      | 510 were     | 80 percent    | students     | artifacts (11) to     | and help students interpret the   |
|                |        | artifacts of  | assessed.    | ofour         | met or       | review for this       | meaning of the calculated         |
|                |        | student work. |              | students will | exceeded     | learning goal. Out    | values.                           |
|                |        |               |              | meet or       | expectations | of the 11 artifacts,  |                                   |
|                |        |               |              | exceed our    |              | 3 showed weak         |                                   |
|                |        |               |              | expectations  |              | performance in        |                                   |
|                |        |               |              | . For         |              | terms of evaluating   |                                   |
|                |        |               |              | exceeds,      |              | business situations.  |                                   |
|                |        |               |              | situations    |              | So in terms of        |                                   |
|                |        |               |              | are           |              | understanding the     |                                   |
|                |        |               |              | evaluated     |              | significance of the   |                                   |
|                |        |               |              | correctly.    |              | mathematical          |                                   |
|                |        |               |              | For meets,    |              | value they            |                                   |
|                |        |               |              | situations    |              | calculated in the     |                                   |
|                |        |               |              | are usually   |              | previous part, they   |                                   |
|                |        |               |              | evaluated     |              | seem to be            |                                   |
|                |        |               |              | correctly.    |              | struggling.           |                                   |
| Develop viable | Spring | Two faculty   | Eleven exams | We expect     | Seventy-     | The majority of       | We will suggest that faculty      |
| recommendati   | 2015   | members       | from ECON    | that at least | three        | students did well     | provide more opportunities to     |
| ons based on   |        | reviewed      | 510 were     | 80 percent    | percent of   | but there were        | students to practice interpreting |
| analyses       |        | artifacts of  | assessed.    | ofour         | students     | enough students       | the mathematical results          |
|                |        | student work. |              | students will | met or       | who failed to         | especially for our international  |
|                |        |               |              | meet or       | exceeded     | perform well and      | students who maybe more           |
|                |        |               |              | exceed our    | our          | caused the            | challenged with explain their     |
|                |        |               |              | expectations  | expectations | outcome of this       | results using English language.   |
|                |        |               |              | . For         |              | sub-goal to not       |                                   |
|                |        |               |              | exceeds, a    |              | meet expectations.    |                                   |
|                |        |               |              | student       |              | Most students did     |                                   |
|                |        |               |              | makes         |              | fine, but since the   |                                   |
|                |        |               |              | strong        |              | sample size was       |                                   |
|                |        |               |              | recommend     |              | relatively small, the |                                   |
|                |        |               |              | ations        |              | three students who    |                                   |
|                |        |               |              | supported     |              | could not make        |                                   |
|                |        |               |              | by            |              | viable                |                                   |

Created by IEC Jan 2011, Revised Oct 2011, Revised July 2012, Revised Apr 2016, Revised Sept 2017 Page **5** of **8** 

|                |            |               |            | appropriate   |              | recommendations       |                                  |
|----------------|------------|---------------|------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
|                |            |               |            | analyses. For |              | made up for about     |                                  |
|                |            |               |            | meets, a      |              | 28% of the sample     |                                  |
|                |            |               |            | student       |              | size, once again      |                                  |
|                |            |               |            | makes viable  |              | resulting in failing  |                                  |
|                |            |               |            | recommend     |              | to meet               |                                  |
|                |            |               |            | ations with   |              | expectations for      |                                  |
|                |            |               |            | some          |              | this sub-goal.        |                                  |
|                |            |               |            | support.      |              |                       |                                  |
| Identify       | Fall 2014  | Two faculty   | Four case  | We expect     | Forty        | Assessors faced a     | We have brought this problem     |
| relevant facts | 1 411 2014 | members       | analyses   | that at least | percent of   | conundrum,            | to the attention of our faculty. |
| and ethical    |            | reviewed      | from BUSAD | 80 percent    | students     | because many          | We also have asked that they do  |
| issues         |            | artifacts of  | 502 were   | of our        | met or       | students accessed     | not use old cases where there is |
| 135005         |            | student work. | reviewed.  | students will | exceed       | facts about the       | a great deal of post-            |
|                |            | student work. | Teviewea.  | meet or       | expectations | particular case, the  | contemporaneous information      |
|                |            |               |            | exceed our    | expectations | Bhopal Incident,      | that can "muddy the waters" in   |
|                |            |               |            | expectations  |              | from contemporary     | the way we experienced.          |
|                |            |               |            | . For         |              | sources, thereby      | the way we experienced.          |
|                |            |               |            | exceeds, a    |              | pulling in            |                                  |
|                |            |               |            | student       |              | information and       |                                  |
|                |            |               |            | identifies    |              | facts not listed in   |                                  |
|                |            |               |            | the relevant  |              | the case material     |                                  |
|                |            |               |            | facts and     |              | assigned by the       |                                  |
|                |            |               |            | ethical       |              | professor. Other      |                                  |
|                |            |               |            | issues        |              | students did not do   |                                  |
|                |            |               |            | involved. For |              | so. So this lack of   |                                  |
|                |            |               |            | meets, a      |              | standardization in    |                                  |
|                |            |               |            | student       |              | assignment            |                                  |
|                |            |               |            | identifies    |              | execution made        |                                  |
|                |            |               |            | most of the   |              | assessment            |                                  |
|                |            |               |            | relevant      |              | difficult. That said, |                                  |
|                |            |               |            | facts and     |              | there seemed to be    |                                  |
|                |            |               |            | ethical       |              | some imprecision      |                                  |
|                |            |               |            | issues        |              | with which            |                                  |
|                |            |               |            | involved.     |              | students pulled in    |                                  |
|                |            |               |            |               |              | relevant facts.       |                                  |

Created by IEC Jan 2011, Revised Oct 2011, Revised July 2012, Revised Apr 2016, Revised Sept 2017 Page **6** of **8** 

| Evaluate ethical | Fall 2014 | Two faculty   | Four case  | We expect              | One          | Students             | No actions planned. |
|------------------|-----------|---------------|------------|------------------------|--------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| situations using |           | members       | analyses   | that at least          | hundred      | performed very       |                     |
| appropriate      |           | reviewed      | from BUSAD | 80 percent             | percent of   | well on this sub-    |                     |
| frameworks       |           | artifacts of  | 502 were   | of our                 | students     | goal. The instructor |                     |
|                  |           | student work. | reviewed.  | students will          | met or       | used some            |                     |
|                  |           |               |            | meet or                | exceed       | effective            |                     |
|                  |           |               |            | exceed our             | expectations | pedagogical          |                     |
|                  |           |               |            | expectations           |              | techniques for       |                     |
|                  |           |               |            | . For                  |              | fostering student    |                     |
|                  |           |               |            | exceeds, a             |              | skill.               |                     |
|                  |           |               |            | student                |              |                      |                     |
|                  |           |               |            | comprehens             |              |                      |                     |
|                  |           |               |            | ively                  |              |                      |                     |
|                  |           |               |            | evaluates              |              |                      |                     |
|                  |           |               |            | ethical                |              |                      |                     |
|                  |           |               |            | situations             |              |                      |                     |
|                  |           |               |            | using                  |              |                      |                     |
|                  |           |               |            | appropriate            |              |                      |                     |
|                  |           |               |            | ethical                |              |                      |                     |
|                  |           |               |            | frameworks.            |              |                      |                     |
|                  |           |               |            | For <i>meets</i> , a   |              |                      |                     |
|                  |           |               |            | student                |              |                      |                     |
|                  |           |               |            | evaluates<br>ethical   |              |                      |                     |
|                  |           |               |            |                        |              |                      |                     |
|                  |           |               |            | situations             |              |                      |                     |
|                  |           |               |            | using                  |              |                      |                     |
|                  |           |               |            | appropriate<br>ethical |              |                      |                     |
|                  |           |               |            |                        |              |                      |                     |
|                  |           |               |            | frameworks.            |              |                      |                     |

Comments on part I:

# II. Closing the Loop. Describe at least one data-informed change to your curriculum during the 2017-2018 cycle. These are those that were

based on, or implemented to address, the results of assessment from previous cycles.

| A. What SLO(s)      | B. When was this        | C. What were the              | D. How were the                 | E. What were the results of the changes? If   |
|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| did you address?    | SLO last assessed to    | recommendations for change    | recommendations for             | the changes were not effective, what are the  |
| Please include      | generate the data       | from the previous             | change acted upon?              | next steps or the new recommendations?        |
| the outcome(s)      | which informed the      | assessment?                   |                                 |   |
| verbatim from       | change?                 |                               |                                 |   |
| the assessment      | Please indicate the     |                               |                                 |   |
| plan.               | semester and year.      |                               |                                 |   |
| We failed to follow | w up acceptable perform | mance on two global awareness | sub-goals, so we will address t | his with alacrity during fall 2018.           |
| Demonstrate         | Spring 2017             | These recommendations         | We asked our Graduate           | No results yet.                               |
| competency in       |                         | were reported earlier in this | Committee to work on            |   |
| written             |                         | report.                       | solutions to the problem,       | We need to follow through on the Graduate     |
| communication       |                         |                               | including creating a            | Committee's ideas and we need to create a     |
| skills – format.    |                         |                               | handout students can use        | check sheet/handout for students that will    |
|                     |                         |                               | to avoid the problems           | help them preempt many of the problems we     |
|                     |                         |                               | assessors noticed. They         | observed.                                     |
|                     |                         |                               | have met several times and      |   |
|                     |                         |                               | drafted ideas and solutions.    |   |
| Demonstrate         | Spring 2017             | The recommendations were      | We worked with the              | No results yet.                               |
| competency in       |                         | reported earlier in this      | instructor who teaches our      |   |
| written             |                         | report.                       | MGMT 592 writing skills         | During summer 2018 we can remind faculty      |
| communication       |                         |                               | course to acquaint them         | about the issues observed so they can         |
| skills –            |                         |                               | with the competencies that      | redesign their courses and learning exercises |
| vocabulary          |                         |                               | need to be developed so         | as appropriate to address the issues during   |
|                     |                         |                               | that they can be included       | fall 2018. During 2018 convocation we need    |
|                     |                         |                               | in that course. We have         | to remind the faculty of the observed         |
|                     |                         |                               | also asked faculty to           | problems and ask them to consider             |
|                     |                         |                               | identify ways they can          | implementing some of the potential solutions. |
|                     |                         |                               | address identified learning     |   |
|                     |                         |                               | shortcomings in their           |   |
|                     |                         |                               | courses.                        |   |

| ARTIFACT #: |  |
|-------------|--|
|             |  |

#### REVIEWER:\_\_\_\_\_

#### To the reviewer:

Exceeds expectations = 2; Meets expectations = 1; Does not meet expectations = 0

Please use '**Comment**' section to provide qualitative observation for each subgoal. Capturing your observations of student performance there will help you write your overall report of student performance and your ideas for how to improve student performance.

#### LEARNING GOAL ONE: EXPRESSION OF THOUGHTS AND IDEAS

Our graduate students will be able to communicate effectively.

#### MEASURABLE OBJECTIVES

Students will:

- 1.1 demonstrate competency in written communication format.
- 1.2 demonstrate competency in written communication vocabulary.
- 1.3 demonstrate competency in oral communication and presentations organization.
- 1.4 demonstrate competency in oral communication articulation of ideas.

| EXPR  | ESSION OF THOUG   | HTS AND IDEAS R  | UBRIC   |                   |
|---|---|--|---|-------------------|
| COMPETENCY  | Exceeds<br>Expectations (=2)  | Meets<br>Expectations (=1)   | Does not meet<br>Expectations (=0)  | REVIEWER<br>SCORE |
| 1.1 Demonstrate<br>competency in<br>written<br>communication –<br>format.     | Written<br>documents use<br>proper format,<br>particularly<br>punctuation and<br>sentence<br>structure. | Written<br>documents meet<br>most format<br>guidelines<br>relative to<br>punctuation and<br>sentence<br>structure. | Written documents<br>fail to meet most<br>format guidelines<br>relative to<br>punctuation and<br>sentence<br>structure. |                   |
| Comment:  |   |  |   |                   |
| 1.2 Demonstrate<br>competency in<br>written<br>communication –<br>vocabulary. | Written<br>documents use<br>vocabulary<br>correctly and<br>appropriate for the<br>target audience.      | Written<br>documents<br>generally use<br>vocabulary<br>appropriate for<br>the target<br>audience.                  | Written documents<br>fail to use<br>vocabulary<br>appropriate for the<br>target audience.                               |                   |
| Comment:  |   |  |   |                   |
| 1.3 Demonstrate<br>competency in  | Ideas are logical,<br>exceptionally well  | Ideas are fairly well organized.   | Ideas are<br>generally not well   |                   |

| oral<br>communication<br>and presentations<br>– organization.                            | organized.                     |  | organized.   |  |
|--|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Comment:   |                                |  |  |  |
|  |                                |  |  |  |
| 1.4 Demonstrate<br>competency in<br>oral<br>communication –<br>articulation of<br>ideas. | Ideas are clearly articulated. | Ideas are<br>generally well<br>articulated; not<br>difficult to<br>comprehend. | Ideas are not well<br>articulated; difficult<br>to comprehend. |  |
| Comment:   |                                |  |  |  |
|  |                                |  |  |  |

| ARTIFACT #: |  |
|-------------|--|
|             |  |

REVIEWER:\_\_\_\_\_

#### To the reviewer:

Exceeds expectations = 2; Meets expectations = 1; Does not meet expectations = 0

Please use '**Comment**' section to provide qualitative observation for each subgoal. Capturing your observations of student performance there will help you write your overall report of student performance and your ideas for how to improve student performance.

#### LEARNING GOAL TWO: DECISION MAKING AND PROBLEM SOLVING

Our graduate students will be able to analyze problems, identify relevant issues, and craft workable solutions.

#### MEASURABLE OBJECTIVES

Students will be able to:

- 2.1 identify issues in need of resolution.
- 2.2 identify appropriate methods to apply to problems.
- 2.3 correctly use analytical methods to apply to problems.
- 2.4 evaluate business situations
- 2.5 develop viable recommendations based on analyses

| DECISI              |                     |                     |                   |          |
|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------|
| COMPETENCY          | Exceeds             | Meets               | Does not meet     | REVIEWER |
|                     | Expectations (=2)   | Expectations (=1)   | Expectations (=0) | SCORE    |
| 2.1 Identify issues | Issues are          | Most issues are     | Issues are often  |          |
| in need of          | consistently and    | correctly           | misidentified or  |          |
| resolution.         | clearly identified. | identified.         | missed.           |          |
| Comment:            |                     |                     |                   |          |
|                     |                     |                     |                   |          |
| 2.2 Identify        | Appropriate         | Appropriate         | Methods chosen    |          |
| appropriate         | methods are         | methods are         | are often         |          |
| methods to apply    | consistently and    | usually identified. | inappropriate to  |          |
| to problems.        | clearly identified. | -                   | the situation.    |          |
| Comment:            |                     |                     |                   |          |
|                     |                     |                     |                   |          |
| 2.3 Correctly use   | Analyses are        | Analyses are        | Analyses are      |          |
| analytical          | correct.            | generally correct.  | frequently        |          |
| methods to apply    |                     | <b>J</b>            | incorrect.        |          |
| to problems.        |                     |                     |                   |          |
| Comment:            |                     | 1                   | 1                 |          |
|                     |                     |                     |                   |          |
|                     |                     |                     |                   |          |

| 2.4.Evaluate<br>business<br>situations. | Situations are<br>evaluated<br>correctly. | Situations are<br>usually evaluated<br>correctly. | Situations are<br>often evaluated<br>incorrectly. |  |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| Comment:                                |   |   |   |  |
|   |   |   |   |  |
| 2.5 Develop<br>viable                   | Makes strong                              | Makes viable                                      | Makes   |  |
| recommendations                         | recommendations<br>supported by           | recommendations with some                         | recommendations<br>that are poorly                |  |
| based on                                | appropriate                               | support.  | supported or non-                                 |  |
| analyses.                               | analyses.                                 | oupport   | viable.   |  |
| Comment:                                |   |   |   |  |
|   |   |   |   |  |
|   |   |   |   |  |

ARTIFACT #:\_\_\_\_\_

REVIEWER:\_\_\_\_\_

#### To the reviewer:

Exceeds expectations = 2; Meets expectations = 1; Does not meet expectations = 0

Please use '**Comment**' section to provide qualitative observation for each subgoal. Capturing your observations of student performance there will help you write your overall report of student performance and your ideas for how to improve student performance.

# LEARNING GOAL THREE: DECISION MAKING IN A GLOBAL BUSINESS ENVIRONMENT

Our graduate students will understand the global business environment. Our graduate students will be able to craft workable solutions for organizations that operate globally.

#### MEASURABLE OBJECTIVES

Students will:

- 3.1 demonstrate knowledge of the global business environment.
- 3.2 evaluate situations and strategies in global organizations.
- 3.3 develop recommendations for global organizations.

| DECISION MAKING IN A GLOBAL BUSINESS ENVIRONMENT RUBRIC |                   |                   |                   |          |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------|
| COMPETENCY  | Exceeds           | Meets             | Does not meet     | REVIEWER |
|   | Expectations (=2) | Expectations (=1) | Expectations (=0) | SCORE    |
| 3.1 Demonstrate   | Demonstrates      | Demonstrates      | Fails to          |          |
| knowledge of the  | exceptional       | good knowledge    | demonstrate       |          |
| global business   | knowledge of the  | of the concepts   | knowledge of the  |          |
| environment.  | concepts related  | related to the    | concepts related  |          |
|   | to the global     | global business   | to the global     |          |
|   | business          | environment.      | business          |          |
|   | environment.      |                   | environment.      |          |
| Comment:  |                   |                   |                   |          |
| 3.2 Evaluate  | Comprehensively   | Evaluates most    | Fails to evaluate |          |
| situations and  | evaluates         | elements of       | most elements of  |          |
| strategies in   | situations for    | situations for    | situations for    |          |
| global  | global            | global            | global            |          |
| organizations.  | organizations.    | organizations.    | organizations.    |          |
| Comment:  |                   |                   |                   |          |
|   |                   |                   |                   |          |
| 3.3 Develop   | Makes             | Makes             | Makes             |          |
| recommendations   | recommendations   | recommendations   | recommendations   |          |

| for global organizations. | that are<br>appropriate for<br>global<br>organizations. | that are generally<br>appropriate for<br>global<br>organizations. | that are generally<br>inappropriate for<br>global<br>organizations. |  |
|---------------------------|---|---|---|--|
| Comment:                  |   |   |   |  |

ARTIFACT #:\_\_\_\_\_

REVIEWER:\_\_\_\_\_

#### To the reviewer:

Exceeds expectations = 2; Meets expectations = 1; Does not meet expectations = 0

Please use '**Comment**' section to provide qualitative observation for each subgoal. Capturing your observations of student performance there will help you write your overall report of student performance and your ideas for how to improve student performance.

# LEARNING GOAL FOUR: ETHICAL ANALYSIS

Our graduate students will be able to evaluate ethical situations and offer appropriate solutions.

# MEASURABLE OBJECTIVES

Students will:

- 4.1 identify relevant facts and ethical issues.
- 4.2 evaluate ethical situations using appropriate frameworks.
- 4.3 develop relevant alternatives.
- 4.4 demonstrate the ability to make ethical choices.

| ETHICAL ANALYSIS RUBRIC    |                                 |                     |                     |                   |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| COMPETENCY                 | Exceeds                         | Meets               | Does not meet       | REVIEWER<br>SCORE |
| 4.4. I dentifi / relevient | Expectations (=2)               | Expectations (=1)   | Expectations (=0)   | JUOKE             |
| 4.1 Identify relevant      |                                 |                     |                     |                   |
| facts and ethical          | relevant facts and              | the relevant facts  | relevant facts and  |                   |
| issues.                    | ethical issues                  | and ethical issues  | ethical issues      |                   |
| -                          | involved.                       | involved.           | involved.           |                   |
| Comment:                   |                                 |                     |                     |                   |
| 4.2 Evaluate ethical       | Comprehensively                 | Evaluates ethical   | Fails to evaluate   |                   |
| situations using           | evaluates ethical               | situations using    | ethical situations  |                   |
| appropriate                | situations using                | appropriate ethical | using appropriate   |                   |
| frameworks.                | appropriate ethical frameworks. | frameworks.         | ethical frameworks. |                   |
| Comment:                   |                                 |                     |                     |                   |
| 4.3 Develop                | Offers relevant                 | Generally offers    | Does not generally  |                   |
| relevant                   | alternatives.                   | relevant            | offer relevant      |                   |
| alternatives.              | anomalivee.                     | alternatives.       | alternatives.       |                   |
| Comment:                   |                                 | alternativee.       | anomativoo          |                   |
| oonninent.                 |                                 |                     |                     |                   |
| 4.4 Demonstrate            | Offers appropriate              | Generally offers    | Fails to offer      |                   |
| the ability to make        | ethical choices.                | ethical choices.    | appropriate ethical |                   |

| ethical choices. |  | choices. |  |
|------------------|--|----------|--|
| Comment:         |  |          |  |
|                  |  |          |  |
|                  |  |          |  |