

olorado Academic Program Assessment Report for AY 2017-2018

Date report completed: May 31, 2018

Program: HSB Undergraduate

(Due: June 1, 2018)

Completed by: Brad Gilbreath

Assessment contributors (other faculty involved): Laee Choi, Aun Hassan

Please describe the 2017-2018 assessment activities and follow-up for your program below. Please complete this form for <u>each undergraduate major</u>, <u>minor</u>, <u>certificate</u>, <u>and graduate program</u> (e.g., B.A., B.S., M.S.) in your department. Please copy any addenda (e.g., rubrics) and paste them in this document, save and submit it to both the Dean of your college/school and to the Assistant Provost as an email attachment before June 1, 2018. You'll also find this form on the assessment website at https://www.csupueblo.edu/assessment-and-student-learning/resources.html. Thank you.

I. Assessment of Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs) in this cycle. Including processes, results, and recommendations for improved student learning. Use Column H to describe improvements planned for 2018-2019 based on the assessment process.

| A. Which of the | B. When | C. What | D. Who was | E. What is | F. What | G. What were the | H. What changes/improvements |
|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| program SLOs | was this | method was | assessed? | the | were the | department's | to the <u>program</u> are planned |
| were assessed | SLO <u>last</u> | used for | Please fully | expected | results of the | conclusions about | based on this assessment? |
| during this | assessed? | assessing the | describe the | achievement | assessment? | student | |
| cycle? Please | (semester | SLO? Please | student | level and | Include the | performance? | |
| include the | and year) | include a copy | group(s) and | how many | proportion | | |
| outcome(s) | | of any rubrics | the number | or what | of students | | |
| verbatim from | | used in the | of students | proportion | meeting | | |
| the assessment | | assessment | or artifacts | of students | proficiency. | | |
| plan. | | process. | involved. | should be at | | | |
| | | | | that level? | | | |
| Demonstrate | Spring | We assessed | Thirty-four | For exceeds | Seventy-one | Although most of | We have informed our faculty of |
| proper | 2015 | students' | students in | expectations | percent of | our students would | these results and asked them to |
| mechanics in | | writing as | BUSAD 493, | , documents | students | be able to produce | identify ways they can address |
| written | | expressed in | Senior | have proper | met or | writing that would | observed problems in their |
| documents | | their resumes | Seminar. | grammar | exceeded | be acceptable to | courses. We also have shared |
| | | produced as | | and | our | many employers; | resources addressing gratuitous |
| | | part of their | | punctuation. | standards. | there is a subset of | capitalization with instructors |
| | | coursework. | | For meets, | | our students who | teaching writing-intensive |
| | | | | expectations | | would disappoint | courses. During summer 2018 |

| Use vocabulary appropriate for | Spring 2015 | As with the prior SLO, we | Thirty-four students in | , documents have a few minor grammar and punctuation errors. We expect that 70 percent of students meet or exceed our standards of performance . For exceeds, a student | Ninety-one percent of | an employer who is exacting about writing and who is very familiar with grammar and punctuation rules. One problem, in particular, that was noted in student writing is gratuitous capitalization. Students are performing well on | instructors will be reminded the assessors' observations and asked to take appropriate action in their courses (e.g., watch for gratuitous capitalization and other writing errors, mark errors, and require that students correct them). We will also ask the English Department for some learning exercises that instructors can use in their classes to upgrade student skills. No actions or interventions are planned for this SLO given |
|--------------------------------|----------------|---|-------------------------|--|--|---|--|
| target audience | | assessed students' writing as expressed in their resumes. | BUSAD 493 | correctly uses vocabulary. For meets, a student generally uses vocabulary correctly. We expect that 70 percent of students meet or exceed our standards of performance . | students meet or exceeded our standards. | this SLO. | positive past performance. We will reassess student performance in an appropriate timeframe. |

| Demonstrate | Spring | We distributed | Seventeen | We expect | Students did | Students used a | We notified faculty about this |
|---------------|--------|--------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|
| the effective | 2015 | a questionnaire | students in | that 70 | not meet | number of tools | shortcoming and asked them to |
| use of team | 2013 | to students | MGMT 485. | percent of | expectations | that promote team | think about what they could do |
| tools | | near the end of | IVIGIVIT 465. | students | . The | effectiveness, so | to address it in their courses. |
| 10015 | | the semester. | | meet or | method | overall | Before the fall 2018 semester |
| | | They were | | exceed our | used to | performance was | we will remind them that this is |
| | | asked to what | | standards of | assess this | not bad. However | something that needs |
| | | extent their | | | doesn't lend | we rated their | |
| | | | | performance | | | addressing in courses. A |
| | | group used a | | • | itself to a | performance as did | potentially useful resource for |
| | | variety of | | | percentage | not meet | this is "Creating Team Norms" |
| | | "tools" that | | | meets or | expectations | from AgileConnection. |
| | | promote team | | | exceeds. | because too many | |
| | | effectiveness. | | | | students did not | |
| | | Students | | | Average | make use of an | |
| | | indicated | | | scores | important team | |
| | | whether their | | | (student | tool, explicit | |
| | | team used the | | | answers): | (written or | |
| | | tool (Not at all | | | | discussed) norms or | |
| | | = 1, Very little = | | | Written | preferred group | |
| | | 2, Somewhat = | | | documentati | member behaviors. | |
| | | 3, To a great | | | on (e.g., | | |
| | | extent = 4). | | | through e- | | |
| | | | | | mail) of who | | |
| | | | | | will do what | | |
| | | | | | (task | | |
| | | | | | assignments) | | |
| | | | | | - 3.6 | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Established | | |
| | | | | | lines of | | |
| | | | | | communicati | | |
| | | | | | on - 3.6 | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Written | | |
| | | | | | team | | |
| | | | | | objectives | | |

| | | | | | d | |
|-------------|--------|------------------|-------------|--------------|---------------|--|
| | | | | | and | |
| | | | | | timelines/de | |
| | | | | | adlines - 3.2 | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Agendas to | |
| | | | | | keep the | |
| | | | | | group | |
| | | | | | meetings on | |
| | | | | | track - 2.8 | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Explicit | |
| | | | | | (written or | |
| | | | | | discussed) | |
| | | | | | norms or | |
| | | | | | preferred | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | group | |
| | | | | | member | |
| | | | | | behaviors - | |
| | | | | | 2.5 | |
| Demonstrate | Spring | We distributed | Seventeen | We expect | Average | |
| effective | 2015 | the | students in | that 70 | scores | |
| behavior in | | questionnaire | MGMT 485. | percent of | (student | |
| teams | | described | | students | answers): | |
| | | earlier. | | meet or | | |
| | | Students were | | exceed our | exercised | |
| | | asked whether | | standards of | mutual | |
| | | they engaged | | performance | respect - 4.3 | |
| | | in a variety of | | l <u>'</u> | ' | |
| | | positive team | | | shared | |
| | | behaviors (1 = | | | recognition | |
| | | Disagree, 2 = | | | of team | |
| | | Neither agree | | | successes - | |
| | | or disagree, 3 = | | | 4.2 | |
| | | Agree, 4 = | | | 7.4 | |
| | | | | | used enen | |
| | | Strongly | | | used open | |
| | | agree). | | | communicati | |

| on |
|------------------|
| (immediatel |
| y addressing |
| issues |
| openly and |
| candidly) - |
| 4.2 |
| \ \frac{112}{12} |
| collaborated |
| |
| on our work |
| - 4.2 |
| |
| exercised |
| shared |
| leadership - |
| 4.2 |
| |
| established |
| group |
| expectations |
| - 4.2 |
| |
| challenged |
| ideas or |
| proposals |
| through |
| discussion, |
| thus |
| |
| promoting |
| creative |
| decision- |
| making and |
| problem |
| solving - 4.1 |
| 33.16 |
| |

| | | | ı | I | 1 . | T | T |
|-----------------|------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|---------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| | | | | | ourselves | | |
| | | | | | accountable | | |
| | | | | | at both the | | |
| | | | | | individual | | |
| | | | | | and team | | |
| | | | | | levels - 4.1 | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | established | | |
| | | | | | and | | |
| | | | | | maintained | | |
| | | | | | harmonious | | |
| | | | | | interpersona | | |
| | | | | | I relations, | | |
| | | | | | based on | | |
| | | | | | understandi | | |
| | | | | | ng and | | |
| | | | | | respect for | | |
| | | | | | differences - | | |
| | | | | | 4.0 | | |
| | | | | | 4.0 | | |
| | | | | | established a | | |
| | | | | | strong work | | |
| | | | | | ethic that | | |
| | | | | | focused on | | |
| | | | | | results - 3.9 | | |
| | | | | | Tesuits - 5.9 | | |
| | | | | | used early | | |
| | | | | | used early conflict | | |
| | | | | | resolution | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | when | | |
| | | | | | conflict | | |
| | | | | | arose - 3.5 | | |
| ldtif. | F-II 204.4 | T fo a!t | T | Fan anna di | Cialeta aire | Charles | No estima que alema est |
| Identify | Fall 2014 | Two faculty | Twenty-one | For exceeds | Eighty-six | Students | No actions are planned. |
| relevant facts. | | members | case analyses | expectations | percent of | performed | |
| | | reviewed | from BUSAD | , the student | students | acceptably on this | |

| | | artifacts of | 302 were | shows | met or | learning sub-goal. | |
|------------------|-----------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | student work. | assessed. | strong | exceeded | We will revisit the | |
| | | | | ability to | expectations | reviewers' specific | |
| | | | | identify | | observations of | |
| | | | | relevant | | student | |
| | | | | facts. For | | performance. | |
| | | | | meets, | | | |
| | | | | expectations | | | |
| | | | | , the student | | | |
| | | | | identifies | | | |
| | | | | most | | | |
| | | | | relevant | | | |
| | | | | facts. We | | | |
| | | | | expect that | | | |
| | | | | 70 percent | | | |
| | | | | of students | | | |
| | | | | meet or | | | |
| | | | | exceed our | | | |
| | | | | standards of | | | |
| | | | | performance | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Identify ethical | Fall 2014 | Two faculty | Twenty-one | For exceeds | Seventy-one | Students | Update faculty about the 71% |
| issues. | | members | case analyses | expectations | percent of | performed | success rate; this sub-goal may |
| | | reviewed | from BUSAD | , the student | students | marginally on this | need attention to maintain |
| | | artifacts of | 302 were | shows | met or | learning sub-goal. | acceptable student |
| | | student work. | assessed. | strong | exceeded | We will revisit the | performance. |
| | | | | ability to | expectations | reviewers' specific | |
| | | | | identify | | observations of | |
| | | | | ethical | | student | |
| | | | | issues. For | | performance. | |
| | | | | meets, | | | |
| | | | | expectations | | | |
| | | | | , the student | | | |
| | | | | identifies | | | |
| | | | | most ethical | | | |
| | | | | issues. We | | | |

| Identify ethical | Fall 2014 | Two faculty | Twenty-one | expect that 70 percent of students meet or exceed our standards of performance . For exceeds | One | Performance on | No actions are planned. |
|--------------------------------|-----------|---|--|---|---|--|---------------------------|
| alternatives. | rali 2014 | members reviewed artifacts of student work. | case analyses from BUSAD 302 were assessed. | expectations , the student clearly identifies ethical alternatives. For meets, expectations , the student identifies some ethical alternatives. We expect that 70 percent of students meet or exceed our standards of performance . | hundred percent of students met or exceed expectations | this sub-goal was strong. | ivo actions are plainled. |
| Recommend appropriate actions. | Fall 2014 | Two faculty members reviewed artifacts of student work. | Twenty-one case analyses from BUSAD 302 were assessed. | For exceeds expectations , the student recommend s appropriate | Ninety-one hundred percent of students met or exceed | Performance on this sub-goal was good. | No actions are planned. |

| | | actions. For | expectations | |
|--|--|---------------|--------------|--|
| | | | expectations | |
| | | meets, | • | |
| | | expectations | | |
| | | , the student | | |
| | | recommend | | |
| | | s some | | |
| | | appropriate | | |
| | | actions. We | | |
| | | expect that | | |
| | | 70 percent | | |
| | | of students | | |
| | | meet or | | |
| | | exceed our | | |
| | | standards of | | |
| | | performance | | |
| | | | | |

Comments on part I:

II. Closing the Loop. Describe at least one data-informed change to your curriculum during the 2017-2018 cycle. These are those that were based on, or implemented to address, the results of assessment from previous cycles.

| A. What SLO(s) | B. When was this | C. What were the | D. How were the | E. What were the results of the changes? If | | | | |
|---------------------|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| did you address? | SLO last assessed to | recommendations for change | recommendations for | the changes were not effective, what are the | | | | |
| Please include | generate the data | from the previous | change acted upon? | next steps or the new recommendations? | | | | |
| the outcome(s) | which informed the | assessment? | | | | | | |
| verbatim from | change? | | | | | | | |
| the assessment | Please indicate the | | | | | | | |
| plan. | semester and year. | | | | | | | |
| All of our learning | goals for undergraduat | tes had met expectations in the r | nost-recent reviews prior to 20 | 017. This is not our usual situation; we usually | | | | |
| have some that ar | have some that are judged as <i>does not meet expectations</i> . However, we did begin to follow up on some of the learning issues we identified in our | | | | | | | |
| 2017–2018 assess | 2017–2018 assessments, as listed below. | | | | | | | |
| Demonstrate | Spring 2107 | Inform faculty of the | We have informed faculty | No assessment of results yet. We will follow | | | | |

| proper | | gratuitous capitalization; ask | and shared resources. | up on the other planned initiatives in the next |
|-------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|---|
| mechanics in | | them to identify ways they | Instructors in writing- | few months. |
| written formats. | | can address it in their | intensive courses were | |
| | | courses. Share resources | informed and responded | |
| | | addressing gratuitous | positively. | |
| | | capitalization with instructors | | |
| | | teaching writing-intensive | | |
| | | courses. Remind faculty of | | |
| | | the assessors' observations | | |
| | | and ask them to take | | |
| | | appropriate action in their | | |
| | | courses. Ask the English | | |
| | | Department for learning | | |
| | | exercises that instructors can | | |
| | | use in their classes to | | |
| | | upgrade student skills. | | |
| Demonstrate | Spring 2018 | Notify faculty about this | We asked the instructor | No assessment of results yet. |
| the effective use | | shortcoming and ask them to | who teaches Organizational | |
| of team tools | | think about what they could | Behavior, the course most- | |
| | | do to address it in their | closely addressing | |
| | | courses. Remind them that | teamwork, to help us | |
| | | this is something that needs | address this learning | |
| | | addressing in courses. Share | shortcoming. He readily | |
| | | "Creating Team Norms" from | agreed to do so. | |
| | | AgileConnection. | | |

Comments on part II:

ARTIFACT#:____

| REVIEWER: | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|-------------------|
| To the reviewer: Exceeds expectation | ns = 2; Meets expect | ations = 1; Does not | meet expectations = 0 |) |
| Capturing your obs of student performa | ervations of student junce. It will also help | performance there wi | ervations for each sub ill help you write you or how to improve stu ent report. | r overall report |
| | L ONE: COMMU able to communica | | | |
| 1.2 use vocabulary | BJECTIVES oper mechanics in wrappropriate for targe oral communication a | t audience. | | |
| | COMMUNICA | ATION RUBRIC | | |
| EVALUATION CRITERIA | Exceeds expectations (=2) | Meets expectations (=1) | Does not meet expectations (=0) | REVIEWER SCORE |
| 1.1 Demonstrate proper mechanics in written formats: grammar and punctuation. | Documents have proper grammar and punctuation. | Documents have a few minor grammar and punctuation errors. | Documents demonstrate limited understanding of proper grammar and punctuation. | |
| Comment: | | | | |
| 1.2 Use vocabulary appropriate for target audience. | Correctly uses vocabulary. | Generally uses vocabulary correctly. | Often uses vocabulary incorrectly. | |
| Comment: | | | | |
| 1.3 Be effective in oral communication and presentations. | Ideas are clearly articulated. | Ideas are communicated in a manner that can be understood. | Ideas are poorly articulated. | |
| Comment: | | | | |

| UND | ERGRADUATE LI | EARNING GOALS | REVIEWER FORM | 1 |
|---|-----------------------|------------------------|--|----------|
| ARTIFACT#: | | | | |
| REVIEWER: | | | | |
| To the reviewer: Exceeds expectation | ns = 2; Meets expecta | ntions = 1; Does not i | meet expectations = 0 | |
| your observations o | f student performanc | • | tion for each sub-goa write your overall represented. | |
| | | M SOLVING - QUA | | |
| MEASURABLE O Students will: | BJECTIVES | | | |
| ** * | se methods to solve p | problems. | | |
| 2.2 evaluate busine | | | | |
| 2.3 develop viable | recommendations. | | | |
| PI | ROBLEM SOLVING - (| QUALITATIVE RUBR | IC | |
| EVALUATION | Exceeds | Meets expectations | Does not meet | REVIEWER |
| CRITERIA | expectations (=2) | (=1) | expectations (=0) | SCORE |
| 2.1 Appropriately use | | Often appropriately | Fails to appropriately | |
| mathada ta salva | mathode | ucoc mothodo | usa mathada | |

| PROBLEM SOLVING - QUALITATIVE RUBRIC | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|-------------------|--|--|
| EVALUATION CRITERIA | Exceeds expectations (=2) | Meets expectations (=1) | Does not meet expectations (=0) | REVIEWER SCORE | | |
| 2.1 Appropriately use methods to solve problems. | Appropriately uses methods. | Often appropriately uses methods. | Fails to appropriately use methods. | | | |
| Comment: | | | | | | |
| 2.2 Evaluate business situations. | Situations are evaluated correctly. | Situations are usually evaluated correctly. | Situations are not correctly evaluated. | | | |
| Comment: | Comment: | | | | | |
| 2.3 Develop viable recommendations. | Makes viable recommendations supported by appropriate analyses. | Makes recommendations with some support. | Makes recommendations that are poorly supported and/or non-viable. | | | |
| Comment: | | | | | | |

| UND | EKGKADUATE LE | LAKNING GUALS | REVIEWER FORM | 1 |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|---|----------|
| ARTIFACT#: | | | | |
| REVIEWER: | | | | |
| To the reviewer: | 2.14 | 1.5 | | |
| Exceeds expectation | ns = 2; Meets expecta | ations = 1; Does not i | meet expectations = 0 |) |
| your observations o performance and you LEARNING GOA | - | e there will help you mprove student perform SOLVING - QUA | ANTITATIVE | |
| MEASURABLE Of Students will: | BJECTIVES | | | |
| 2.1 Appropriately of | define the problem. | | | |
| | and unknown variab | les. | | |
| 2.3 Translate probl | em(s) to mathematica | al language. | | |
| 2.4 Solve the probl | | | | |
| 2.5 Check the answ | er. | | | |
| PR | OBLEM SOLVING - Q | UANTITATIVE RUBI | RIC | |
| EVALUATION | Exceeds | Meets expectations | Does not meet | REVIEWER |
| CRITERIA | expectations (=2) | (=1) | expectations (=0) | SCORE |
| 2.1 Appropriately define problem(s). | Appropriately defines problem(s). | Defines problem with some minor | Fails to appropriately define problem(s). | |

| PROBLEM SOLVING - QUANTITATIVE RUBRIC | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|-------------------|--|
| EVALUATION CRITERIA | Exceeds expectations (=2) | Meets expectations (=1) | Does not meet expectations (=0) | REVIEWER SCORE | |
| 2.1 Appropriately define problem(s). | Appropriately defines problem(s). | Defines problem with some minor challenges. | Fails to appropriately define problem(s). | | |
| Comment: | | | | | |
| 2.2 Identify known and unknown information. | Identifies known and unknown information appropriately. | Identifies most, but not all known and unknown information. | Fails to identify known and unknown information. | | |
| Comment: | | | | | |
| 2.3 Translate problem into mathematical language. | Properly translates problem into mathematical language. | Properly translates most of the problem into mathematical language. | Does not properly translate problem into mathematical language. | | |
| Comment: | | | | | |

Revised September 29, 2017

| 2.4 Solve the problem. | Properly solves the problem. | Properly solves most of the problem. | Does not properly solve the problem. | |
|------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| Comment: | | - | <u> </u> | |
| | | | | |
| 2.5 Check your answer. | Properly checks answer(s) for reasonableness and magnitude. | Properly checks most answer(s) for reasonableness and magnitude. | Does not properly checks answer(s) for reasonableness and magnitude. | |
| Comment: | | | | |
| | | | | |

| ARTIFACT#: | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|----------|
| REVIEWER: | | | | |
| To the reviewer: Exceeds expectation | ns = 2; Meets expecta | ations = 1; Does not 1 | meet expectations = 0 |) |
| your observations o | of student performance | - | tion for each sub-goa write your overall re ormance. | |
| | L THREE: GLOB | | | |
| Our students will un | nderstand the global l | business environment | t. | |
| - | propriate terminolog luate situations assoc | y associated with the iated with global orga | global business envir anizations. | ronment. |
| EVALUATION | Exceeds | I | Doog mot most | REVIEWER |
| CRITERIA | expectations (=2) | Meets expectations (=1) | Does not meet expectations (=0) | SCORE |
| 3.1 Demonstrate appropriate terminology associated with the global business environment. | Demonstrates extensive knowledge of terminology associated with the global business environment. | Demonstrates some knowledge of terminology associated with most global business environment elements. | Fails to demonstrate knowledge of terminology associated with the global business environment elements. | |
| Comment: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 3.2 Effectively | Comprehensively | Evaluates situations | Does not effectively | |
| evaluate situations | evaluates situations | associated with global | evaluate situations | |
| associated with global | associated with global | organizations with | associated with global | |
| organizations. | organizations. | some proficiency. | organizations. | |

Comment:

| ARTIFACT#: | |
|---|-----------------|
| REVIEWER: | |
| Γo the reviewer: Exceeds expectations = 2; Meets expectations = 1; Does not meet expectations = 1. | xpectations = 0 |

Please use 'Comment' section to provide qualitative observation for each sub-goal. Capturing your observations of student performance there will help you write your overall report of student performance and your ideas for how to improve student performance.

LEARNING GOAL FOUR: ETHICAL AWARENESS

Our students will understand concepts related to ethics.

MEASURABLE OBJECTIVES

Students will:

- 4.1 identify relevant facts.
- 4.2 identify ethical issues.
- 4.3 identify ethical alternatives.
- 4.4 recommend appropriate actions.

| ETHICAL AWARENESS RUBRIC | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|---|-------------------|
| EVALUATION CRITERIA | Exceeds expectations (=2) | Meets expectations (=1) | Does not meet expectations (=0) | REVIEWER SCORE |
| 4.1 Identify relevant facts. | Shows strong ability to identify relevant facts. | Identifies most relevant facts. | Often fails to identify relevant facts. | |
| Comment: | | l | 1 | |
| 4.2 Identify ethical issues. | Shows strong ability to identify ethical issues. | Identifies most ethical issues. | Often fails to identify ethical issues. | |
| Comment: | , | | 1 | |
| 4.3 Identify ethical alternatives. | Clearly identifies ethical alternatives. | Identifies some ethical alternatives. | Fails to identify ethical alternatives. | |
| Comment: | | | 1 | |
| 4.4 Recommend appropriate actions. | Recommends appropriate actions | Recommends some appropriate actions. | Fails to recommend appropriate actions. | |
| Comment: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

ARTIFACT#:____

| REVIEWER: | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|-------------------|
| To the reviewer: Exceeds expectation | ns = 2; Meets expecta | ations = 1; Does not a | meet expectations = 0 |) |
| your observations of | ent' section to provid of student performanc our ideas for how to it | e there will help you | write your overall re | |
| | L FIVE: TEAM SE e able to effectively v | | | |
| 5.2: demonstrate the | BJECTIVES le of teams in organize effective use of tear fective behavior in te | m tools | | |
| | TEAM SKIL | LS RUBRIC | | |
| EVALUATION CRITERIA | Exceeds expectations (=2) | Meets expectations (=1) | Does not meet expectations (=0) | REVIEWER SCORE |
| 5.1: Describe the role of teams in organizations | Comprehensively describes the roles of teams in organizations (e.g., strong description; provides examples that support description) | Accurately describes the role of teams in organizations | Does not describe the role of teams in organizations | |
| Comment: | | | | |
| 5.2: Demonstrate the effective use of team tools | Demonstrates skilled use of tools that contribute to team effectiveness (e.g., sets appropriate goals, creates effective plan for managing conflict, defines roles clearly, creates effective system for scheduling and updating progress, etc.) | Uses tools that contribute to team effectiveness (agendas, minutes, schedules, records of delegated tasks, etc.) | Unable to correctly use team tools or fails to make use of most of the applicable tools | |
| Comment: | | | | |

Works collaboratively to achieve the team's objectives.

(a) No successful

outcome or (b) some

dysfunctional team

success but with clearly

Demonstrates skill in

works effectively to

achieve the team's

working as a team (e.g.,

teams

5.3: Demonstrate

effective behavior in

Revised September 29, 2017

| | objectives, constructively addresses social loafing, conflict is not excessive and is constructively resolved, behaviors build cohesion and positive team climate, etc.). | behavior. | |
|----------|---|-----------|---|
| Comment: | team emiliate, etc.). | | I |