

olorado Academic Program Assessment Report for AY 2017-2018

(Due: June 1, 2018)

Program:\_Political Science BA/BS\_\_\_\_\_

Date report completed: \_23 May 18\_\_\_\_\_

Completed by:\_Prof Colette Carter\_\_\_\_\_

Assessment contributors (other faculty involved): \_Profs Gayle Berardi, Joel Johnson, Steven Liebel\_\_\_\_\_

Please describe the 2017-2018 assessment activities and follow-up for your program below. Please complete this form for <u>each undergraduate major</u>, <u>minor</u>, <u>certificate</u>, <u>and graduate program</u> (e.g., B.A., B.S., M.S.) in your department. Please copy any addenda (e.g., rubrics) and paste them in this document, save and submit it to both the Dean of your college/school and to the Assistant Provost as an email attachment before June 1, 2018. You'll also find this form on the assessment website at <u>https://www.csupueblo.edu/assessment-and-student-learning/resources.html</u>. Thank you.

**I. Assessment of Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs) in this cycle.** Including processes, results, and recommendations for improved student learning. Use Column H to describe improvements planned for 2018-2019 based on the assessment process.

A. Which of the	B. When	C. What	D. Who was	E. What is	F. What	G. What were the	H. What changes/improvements
program SLOs	was this	method was	assessed?	the	were the	department's	to the <u>program</u> are planned
were assessed	SLO last	used for	Please fully	expected	results of the	conclusions about	based on this assessment?
during this	assessed?	assessing the	describe the	achievement	assessment?	student	
cycle? <b>Please</b>	(semester	SLO? Please	student	level and	Include the	performance?	
include the	and year)	include a copy	group(s) and	how many	proportion	performancer	
outcome(s)	and yeary	of any rubrics	the number	or what	of students		
verbatim from		used in the	of students	proportion	meeting		
the assessment		assessment	or artifacts	of students	proficiency.		
plan.		process.	involved.	should be at	proficiency.		
plan		p100053.	involved.	that level?			
Knowledge	Spri	The	8 Senior	It is the	3	The	Because the debate format
and Critical	ng	objective of	seminar	expectati	students	assessment	has been successful in the
Thinking	201	the 2017	students	on of the	were at	shows the	senior seminar it will
Knowledge:	4	assessment	were		the	following:	continue to be utilized in
Student	4	is to	assessed.	program that 80%	exempla	1. That 75% of	more political science
		evaluate	The	of	•		· ·
should have					ry level.	the students	classes. This will be coupled
factual		graduating	seminar Is	students	3 students	assessed	with either short or long
knowledge		majors on	required	will score	were at	were at the	research papers. This began
about the		assessment	for all	on each	the	exemplary or	after the 2014 assessment

Created by IEC Jan 2011, Revised Oct 2011, Revised July 2012, Revised Apr 2016, Revised Sept 2017

various	dimensions	political	assessme	proficient		proficient	but the program is still
subfields in	of critical	science	nt rubric	level. 2		levels.	evaluating which classes are
political	thinking and	majors	at least at	students	2.	That 25 % of	a best fit for mini-debates.
science. This	knowledge	and is	the	were at		the students	
includes	of the	offered	competen	the		were at the	Change/Improvements
knowledge	discipline. As	during the	t	emerging		emerging	
about the	a tool of	spring	(proficient	level.		level. One of	In regards to the knowledge
major	assessing	semester.	level).			these	component, it became
theories,	student		,			students	apparent that not all students
issues and	Performanc					was ranked	had been exposed through
methods of	e the senior					as being	course work to all the areas of
inquiry for	seminar was					between	the discipline covered by
each	reformatted					proficient	department courses. This will
subfield.	to include					and	be remedied by a new
Critical	debates,					emerging levels.	requirement (starting fall
Thinking:	papers and				2	3 of the	2017) that all majors must take
Students	discussions.				5.	students,	courses in four political science
should be able						ranked as	subfields. This will provide
to define	A rubric					exemplary,	students the academic
problems,	created by					were	background to place at the
examine	the Political					evaluated as	exemplary or proficient levels.
evidence and	Science					at first year	exemplary of proficient levels.
analyze the	faculty was					graduate	
assumptions	utilized. It is					level.	
leading to	attached.				4.	There were a	
conclusions;						number of	
Question						positive	
arguments,						outcomes of	
casual						the debate-	
theories,						short paper	
evidence, broad						format of the	
generalization					1	senior	
s and simple						seminar.	
correlations;						a. First,	
Are open to						stude	
both sides of						nts	
an argument;					1	respo	

Created by IEC Jan 2011, Revised Oct 2011, Revised July 2012, Revised Apr 2016, Revised Sept 2017

	Т		
and are		nded	
prepared to		very	
examine and		well	
expose		to a	
deficits in all		mix of	
arguments.		debat	
		es	
		and	
		writin	
		g	
		paper	
		s. The	
		paper	
		S	
		provid	
		ed	
		stude	
		nts	
		the	
		oppor	
		tunity	
		to	
		resear	
		ch	
		their	
		debat	
		e	
		topic.	
		This	
		better	
		prepa	
		red	
		stude	
		nts	
		for	
		the	
		debat	
		e as	

			did	
			the	
			discus	
			sion	
			perio	
			d in	
			each	
			class.	
		b.	Sec	
			ond	
			<i>,</i> it	
			allo	
			we	
			d	
			an	
			eval	
			uati	
			on	
			of	
			the	
			val	
			ue	
			of	
			min	
			i-	
			deb	
			ate	
			s	
			occ	
			urri	
			ng	
			in	
			oth	
			er	
			poli tica	
			I	

Created by IEC Jan 2011, Revised Oct 2011, Revised July 2012, Revised Apr 2016, Revised Sept 2017

1	Г		
		scie	
		nce	
		clas	
		ses.	
		c. Thir	
		d,	
		the	
		imp	
		lem	
		ent	
		atio	
		n of	
		min	
		i-	
		deb	
		ate	
		S	
		hel	
		ped	
		to	
		refr	
		esh	
		stu	
		den	
		ts'	
		kno	
		wle	
		dge	
		abo	
		ut	
		SO	
		me	
		of	
		the	
		are	
		as	

			c.	1
			of	
			the	
			disc	
			ipli	
			ne	
			tha	
			t	
			ma	
			У	
			hav	
			е	
			bee	
			n	
			tak	
			en	
			in	
			the	
			fres	
			hm	
			an	
			or	
			sop	
			ho	
			mo	
			re	
			уеа	
			rs.	

Comments on part I:

**II. Closing the Loop. Describe at least one data-informed change to your curriculum during the 2017-2018 cycle.** These are those that were based on, or implemented to address, the results of assessment from previous cycles.

A. What SLO(s) did you address?	B. When was this SLO last assessed to	C. What were the recommendations for change	D. How were the recommendations for	E. What were the results of the changes? If the changes were not effective, what are the
Please include the outcome(s) verbatim from	generate the data which informed the change?	from the previous assessment?	change acted upon?	next steps or the new recommendations?
the assessment plan.	Please indicate the semester and year.			
Knowledge Critical Thinking	2014	Consider mini-debates and short papers rather than 2 major debates.	Yes, we adopted the mini- debate format and short papers.	The mini-debates were successful as the assessment showed that students were better able to connect knowledge from earlier classes to a variety of debate topics. Their knowledge and critical thinking skills were better utilized through the mini- debates and short papers.

Comments on part II:

The majority of seniors completing the political science program do so with a better than 3.0 GPA, pointing to the rigor of the program courses, each of which is designed to meet all of the SLO(s). However, in assessing subject knowledge and applying critical thinking to the subject matter of the discipline, not all seniors are exhibiting the same level of proficiency. The reason for this is that not all students in the program take upperdivision courses on all of the major subject areas of the discipline. The result is that some students' critical thinking skills are less than proficient when required to synthesize knowledge from different knowledge areas of the discipline in address a specific issue. This is beginning to be addressed by the noted changes in the curricula that go into effect in the fall. Also, the changes to the format of the senior seminar, more smaller debates, and numerous essays on different aspects of the debate topics, allow students to research subfields of the discipline that they may not have had a course on. As a result, students in this year's senior seminar demonstrated an increasing skill level of critical thinking about diverse aspects of politics over the course of the semester. This was demonstrated in the final two debates.

Political Science	Exemplary	Proficient:	Emerging:	Not Present: (No
Critical Thinking				Evidence, etc.)
and Knowledge				
Rubric Critical				
Thinking				

A. Evidence	<i>Accurately</i> interprets evidence, statements, graphics, questions	<i>Usually accurate</i> interpretation of evidence, statements, graphics, questions	<i>Misinterprets</i> evidence, statements, graphics, questions
B. Points of View	Thoughtfully analyzes and evaluates <i>major</i> alternative points of view	Offers analyses and evaluations of <i>obvious</i> alternative points of view	<i>Superficially</i> evaluates obvious alternative points of view
C. Justifications	Justifies <i>key</i> results, explains assumptions and reasons	Justifies <i>some</i> results, explains reasons	Justifies <i>few</i> results, seldom explains reasons
Knowledge of discipline	Accurately understands and clearly displays a knowledge of the discipline including theories, ideas and concepts	Usually accurate evidence of knowledge of theories, ideas, and concepts of the discipline	<i>Misinterprets</i> the key theories, ideas and concepts of the discipline

Political Science Rubric: Knowledge and Critical Thinking Skills