

olorado Academic Program Assessment Report for AY 2017-2018

Program: <u>Nursing-MS</u>

(Due: June 1, 2018) Date report completed: <u>05/30/18</u>

Completed by: Joe Franta

Assessment contributors (other faculty involved): <u>Susan Belport, Carla Howard, Cladia Imes, Leslie Murtagh,</u> Peg Rooney, Jennifer VanWinkle

Please describe the 2017-2018 assessment activities and follow-up for your program below. Please complete this form for <u>each undergraduate major</u>, <u>minor</u>, <u>certificate</u>, <u>and graduate program</u> (e.g., B.A., B.S., M.S.) in your department. Please copy any addenda (e.g., rubrics) and paste them in this document, save and submit it to both the Dean of your college/school and to the Assistant Provost as an email attachment before June 1, 2018. You'll also find this form on the assessment website at <u>https://www.csupueblo.edu/assessment-and-student-learning/resources.html</u>. Thank you.

I. Assessment of Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs) in this cycle. Including processes, results, and recommendations for improved student learning. Use Column H to describe improvements planned for 2018-2019 based on the assessment process.

A. Which of the	B. When	C. What method	D. Who was	E. What is the	F. What were	G. What were the	H. What changes/improvements to
program SLOs	was this	was used for	assessed?	expected	the results of	department's	the program are planned based on
were assessed	SLO <u>last</u>	assessing the	Please fully	achievement	the	conclusions about	this assessment?
during this cycle?	assessed?	SLO? Please	describe the	level and how	assessment?	student	
Please include	(semester	include a copy of	student	many or what	Include the	performance?	
the outcome(s)	and year)	any rubrics used	group(s) and	proportion of	proportion of		
verbatim from		in the	the number of	students	students		
the assessment		assessment	students or	should be at	meeting		
plan.		process.	artifacts	that level?	proficiency.		
			involved.				
Linked to NSG	Collection:	Shadow Health-	N=42	Direct	2016-2017	Benchmark met.	Maintain
562L Course	Annually	In NSG 562L,		Measure	N=42	All students after the	Decision to continue the measure
Objective	Spring	instructor		revised based	Cough	second attempt	and will add a lecture on focused
End-of-Program		collects data and		on faculty	(Pediatrics)1 st	received a 100%; no	exam with upper respiratory for a
SLO 1 A	Analysis:	sends to		decision from	time 100%	students completed	pediatric patient in NSG 562L
Key Element:	Annually	graduate nursing		2015-2016 to	Chest pain	100% on the first	Advanced Health Assessment Lab
Practice Follows	Fall	program		drop the	(Adult) 1 st	attempt. Pediatric	in spring 2018.
Standards and		coordinator.		focused exam	time 75%; 2 nd	cough assessment	
Guidelines		coordinator.		checklist and	time 100%	although met the	
(Formative)				add Shadow	Abdominal	benchmark was the	

				Health	Pain	lowest first time	
				Complaint	(Geriatric) 1 st	score. Students may	
				Assessments:	time 80%; 2 nd	need more practice	
				100% of	-	with review of	
					time 100%		
				nurse		systems and history	
				practitioner		and physical for	
				and nurse		focused exams in	
				educator		pediatric patients	
				students will			
				score 100%			
				after 2			
				attempts on			
				three			
				separate			
Linked to NSG	Collection:	Healthy People	2016-2017	Direct	2016-2017	Benchmark met.	Maintain.
551 Course	Annually	2020 guidelines-	N=43	Measure	N=43	Discussed if the 80%	
Objective End-	Spring	In NSG 551,		added based	95 % scored	benchmark should be	
of -Program		instructor		on Fall 2016	84% or better	raised. This is the	
SLO 1 A	Analysis:	collects data and		faculty	on their oral	first year of data	
Key Element:	Annually	sends to grad		decision to	presentation	collection will	
Practice Follows	Fall	nursing program		start this	on selected	monitor.	
Standards and		coordinator.		measure in	topics using		
Guidelines				Spring 2017:	2020		
(Formative)				80% of NP	guidelines.		
				and nurse			
				educator			
				students will			
				score 84% or			
				better on a			
				health			
				promotion			
				presentation			
				using Healthy			
				People			
				guidelines.			
Linked to NSG	Collection:	Ethical Dilemma	N=42	Direct	2016-2017	Fifth year that	Value of the assignment has been
506 Course	Annually	case Study- In		Measure:	New tool	benchmark met.	established, so will be added to
Objective End-	Fall	NSG 506,		100% of NP	based on	Discussion of	course and content map and will
of-Program SLO		instructor		and nurse	2015-2016	assignment in NSG	no longer be used as a
1 B Key Element:	Analysis:	collects data and		educator	faculty	506, faculty felt	measurement.

Apply ANA Code of Ethics to Patient Care	Annually Spring	sends to grad nursing program coordinator.		students will score 84% or better on an ethical decision- making assignment on key elements of the ANA Code of Ethics.	decision to use a paper instead of a blog: 100% of students (N=42) scored 84% or better on an ethical decision- making assignment paper	content and assignment important to maintain but not continued as a measurement.	
Linked to NSG 633 & 683 Course Objective End-of-Program SLO 2 A Key Element: Provide Effective Care for Vulnerable Populations (Formative)	Collection: Annually Summer Analysis: Annually Spring	Group Case Study on a Vulnerable Population- In NSG 633 & 683, instructors collect data and send to graduate nursing program coordinator.	N=26,	Direct Measure: 100% of NP students will score 84% or better on a group case study/paper.	assignment. 2016-2017 NSG 633 100% of students (N=26) scored 84% or better. Average grade was 98.8%.	Family benchmark met. One group received a 92% because they missed an important differential diagnosis.	Fifth year of data collection Faculty decided: the Value of the assignment has been established, so will be added to content map and will no longer be used as a measurement.
Linked to NSG 621 & 682 Course Objective End-of-Program SLO 2 A Key Element: Provide Effective Care for Vulnerable Populations (Formative)	Collection: Annually Spring Analysis: Annually Fall	Examination- In NSG 621 & 682, instructors will collect data and send to grad nursing program coordinator	N=42	Direct Measure: 80% of NP students will answer 3 final exam questions on LGBTQ health issues & disparities correctly.	2016-2017 NSG 621 - New Measurement N=42 Question 1 Correct- 96.6% Question 2 Correct- 53.3% Question 3 Correct- 83.3%	Acute Care/Dual Benchmark not met. Content delivered in the same semester as tested, discussion to add material to fall semester prior to being tested in spring semester. Psych-Mental Health benchmark not met. Content delivered in the same semester as tested, discussion	Faculty will provide additional resources on LGBTQ health issues for student use fall of 2017 in NSG 620. Development: Psych-mental health instructor will introduce LGBTQ health issues in NSG 679 summer and NSG 681 fall criteria in rubric for sexual history- taking to ensure that students are aware of health disparities.

					2016-2017 -	to add material to	
					New	summer and fall	
					Measurement	semesters prior to	
					NSG 682	being tested in spring	
					N=6	semester.	
					Question 1		
					Correct- 50%		
					Question 2		
					Correct-		
					66.6%		
					Question 3		
					Correct-		
					83.3%		
Linked to NSG	Collection:	Post-Simulation	N=31	Indirect	2016-2017	Benchmark not met.	Revision:
622 Course	Annually	Survey- In NSG		Measure:	N=31		
Objective End-	Summer	622, instructor		100% of NP	Selection 1 &	Analysis of survey	Starting Summer 2018 instructor in
of-Program SLO		collects data and		students will	2 (n=0)-0%	tool revealed that	NSG 622 will standardize the order
2 B	Analysis:	sends to grad		select 5-	Selection 3-	there was not a	of the questions and the Likert
Key Element:	Annually	nursing program		strongly agree	(n=1)-4%	consistent use of the	scale for consistent data collection.
Demonstrate	Spring	coordinator.		or 4- slightly	Selection 4 &	Likert scale and	
Respect for				agree on a	5-(n=30)-96%	format.	Continue to collect a pre and post
Patients'				post neuro		Consequently the	survey and compare data
Preferences,				simulation		data may not be	statistically for all 6 questions. In
Values, and				survey.		valid.	addition will analyze comment
Needs							section related to previous training
(Formative)							and related nursing experience.
							In addition: New Direct Measure
							added based on faculty decision
							from Spring 2018 to add exam
							questions in Summer 2018 to
							further evaluate this SLO.
							Decision was made to add direct
							measurement evaluate and analyze
							3 Final Exam Questions in NSG 622
							with Adult-Geriatric role specific
							and NSG 633 for pediatric role
							specific emphasis summer 2018.
							80% of NP students will answer 3
							final exam questions on patient

							preferences correctly.
							Collection: Annually Summer
Summative Assessment of	Collection: Annually	At end-of- program oral	N=34	Direct Measure	2016-2017 Individual	Benchmark not met with a 6%	Analysis: Annually Fall Exam Questions- In NSG 633, instructor collects data and sends to grad nursing program coordinator. Data will be collected NSG 622 and 633 Summer 2018 Development: Starting Spring 2018 faculty broke down the 20 point
All End-of- Program SLOs	Annually Summer Annually Spring	comprehensive exam, comp advisors use the Master's Comprehensive Oral Examination Evaluation Rubric and sends ratings to the graduate nursing program coordinator who compiles results.		100% of NP students will score 84% or better (17 points out of 20) on the evidence- based practice section of the Oral Comprehensi ve Exam.	Student Performance: N=36 82% scored higher than 84%	improvement noted from 2016. The six students who did not meet the 84% benchmark on this section of the exam, did pass the overall exam.	Evidence –Based Practice section into 4 criteria areas: Standards and Guidelines, Advocacy, Theoretical Framework and Leadership. This will allow analysis of specific areas of weakness. Each criteria area will be worth 5 points. In addition the oral comprehensive exam process was changed to a video submission with electronic grading for the renamed Graduate Nursing Oral Comprehensive Examination.
Summative Assessment of All End-of- Program SLOs	Collection: Annually Summer Analysis: Annually Spring	At end-of- program oral comprehensive exam, comp advisors use an end of program SLO attainment rubric and sends ratings to the graduate nursing program coordinator who compiles results.	N=36	Direct Measure 100% of NP and nurse educator students will score 'competent' or 'proficient' on Analytic Rubric for the oral comprehensiv e exam.	2016-2017 End-of- Program Aggregated Results: N=36 97% of students achieved a 'competent' or 'proficient' rating. SLO1- 2% did	Benchmark not met. On revised analytic rubric form, SLO's were individually identified. 	Development:

		not meet	SLO2- Faculty	will meet to decide how to
		ethical	discussed that	integrate during the summer for
		decision	students are focused	the fall semester.
		making (EDM)	on their upcoming	
		benchmark.	role as NP vs their	SLO3- A QI on-line assignment will
			role as NP in	be added in the first course of the
		SLO 2- 2% did	collaborative team	last year for each emphasis.
		not meet	during the OCE.	
		interprofessio	Students may not be	New Direct Measurements: For the
		nal	making the	final exams in the last emphasis
		collaboration	connection between	semester, the lead instructors for
		(IPC)	the two.	the all emphases areas will work
		benchmark.		together to identify and develop 12
			AACN/Benchworks	new questions total (4 in EDM, 4 in
		SLO 3- 3% did	Master's Level	IPC, and 4 in QI) with 75% pass
		not meet	Nursing Exit	rate.
		quality	Assessment	In addition will add 28 questions
		improvement	(formerly EBI),	that are from the core courses
		(QI)	results verified that	NSG 550-4
		benchmark.	students have a	NSG 551-4
			perception of	NSG 552-5
			weakness in IPC.	NSG 561-6
				NSG 562-5
			SLO 3- Faculty did	NSG 571-4
			not make QI a	
			priority across the	And 10 role specific professional
			curriculum in all	competencies questions for each
			synthesis courses.	emphasis; will use the same
				questions for 3 years.
			Faculty decided to	
			move the OCE to	80% of students will answer these
			spring due to number	50 questions correctly.
			of students and	
			faculty availability in	
			summer semester.	
			Faculty concerned	
			end of program	
			outcomes need to be	
			measured during the	
			final semester of the	

Summative Assessment of All End-of- Program SLOs	Collection: Annually Summer Analysis: Annually Spring	At end-of- program thesis defense, thesis committee uses rubric and send results to grad nursing program coordinator.	N=1	Direct Measure: 100% of student electing to do a thesis will score 3 or better on the Thesis Presentation Rubric (4- piont scale) at the thesis defense.	2016-2017 N=1 100% of thesis students received a 3 or better.	program in each emphasis to evaluate data to determine if summative benchmarks are being met. Benchmark met.	Maintain. Students infrequently exercise the thesis option but faculty decided to measure the outcome of any student who chooses this option.
Summative Assessment of All End-of- Program SLOs	Collection: Annually Summer Analysis: Annually Spring	At end of program, associate dean opens exit survey for students' use, collects data, and shares with faculty.	N=19	Indirect Measure: 80% of students will rate AACN/Bench works Master's Level Nursing Exit Assessment Exit Survey items, 'resolve practice problems using research' (SLO 1),	2016-2017 AACN/Bench works Master's Level Nursing Exit Assessment Exit Survey: SLO 1- Data from Factor 8 Learning Outcomes From Core Masters: Research. Question 67, 'resolve practice problems	Benchmark met. SLO 1- decrease of 5% from 2015-2016 Discussion to use Masters Essential because AACN/Benchworks Master's Level Nursing Exit Assessment questions may change from year to year, while the essentials tend to change infrequently. Benchmark not met.	Revise. Faculty decided to use the Masters Essential IV: Translating and Integrating Scholarship into Practice starting Summer 2018 and each essential typically has 3 or 4 questions.

'consulting	using		Revise.
with other	research'	SLO 2- decrease of	Faculty decided to use the Masters
health	research	16% from 2015-2016,	Essential III: Quality Improvement
professionals'	N=19, 90%	unclear reason for	and Safety starting Summer 2018
(SLO 2), and	rated as 4 or	decrease, however	and each essential typically has 3 or
'apply	better	IPC being	4 questions.
performance		emphasized more in	4 questions.
measures to	2016-2017	NSG 562.	
quality	SLO 2- Data	N3G 302.	
improvement'	from Factor	Discussion to use	
(SLO 3) as 4	11 Learning	Masters Essential	
or better (on	Outcomes	because	
•			
a 7-point scale).	From Core Masters:	AACN/Benchworks Master's Level	
scale).	Interprofessio	Nursing Exit	
	nal Teamwork	Assessment	
	Question 78	questions may	
	'consulting	change from year to	
	with other	-	
	health	year, while the essentials tend to	
	professionals'	change infrequently.	
	N=19, 79%		
	rated as 4 or	Benchmark met.	
	better	(10)	
		SLO 3 an	
	2016-2017	improvement of 3%	
	SLO 3- Data	from 2015-2016	
	from Factor 7	D	
	Learning	Discussion to use	
	Outcomes	Masters Essential	
	From Core	because	
	Masters:	AACN/Benchworks	
	Quality	Master's Level	
	Improvement	Nursing Exit	
	and Safety	Assessment	
	Question	questions may	
	64'apply	change from year to	
	performance	year, while the	
	measures to	essentials tend to	
	quality	change infrequently.	

					improvement' N=17, 94% rated as 4 or better.		
Program Outcome: Achievement on Certification Exams	Collection: Annually Summer Analysis: Annually Spring	Associate dean/Graduate coordinator receives NP certification exam results and shares with faculty.	N=31	Direct Measure: 80% of graduates will pass the national certification exam the first time. (The annual pass rate for all first-time test takers will be at or above the national mean for the same three year period).	Summer 2016 Program Completion Aggregated: N=31; 94 % Adult/Geront ology Acute Care 2016 N= 15; 94% Family 2016 N= 12; 100% Psychiatric- Mental Health 2016 N=4; 75%	Benchmark met. 	Review by Emphasis Option

Program Outcome: Program Completion	Collection: Annually Summer & Fall Analysis: Annually Spring	Graduate nursing program coordinator tracks program completion and shares with faculty.	N=42	80% of graduates will complete the program within 1 ½ times (9 semesters) the length of the program.	2015 N=42; 93%	Benchmark met for aggregate, overall improvement for retention.	Monitor trends.
Program Outcome: Graduate Satisfaction	Collection: Annually Summer Analysis: Annually Fall	Associate dean sends out link to exit survey, results given to graduate nursing program coordinator, and shares with faculty	N=20	80% of graduates responding to the AACN/Bench works Master's Level Nursing Exit Assessment Exit Survey will rate 'overall satisfaction' as 4 or better (7-point scale).	2017 N=20, Program Satisfaction rated 4.13 (7- point scale).	Benchmark met. Faculty noted there was a drop in 2017. Some concern with decreased faculty resources with 1 unfilled position in this timeframe.	Monitor for an ongoing trend.
Program Outcome: Employer Satisfaction	Collection: Annually Summer Analysis: Annually Fall	New Measure starting summer 2108: Preceptor will express satisfaction by answering yes on the Evaluation of Graduate Nursing Student	N=Pending	80% of employers will express satisfaction with student preparation.	Peniing	Pending	Pending

		by Drocontents					
		by Preceptor the					
		question,					
		"Would you be					
		willing to hire a					
		CSU-Pueblo NP					
		or Nurse					
		Educator					
		graduate in the					
		future".					
		Students notify					
		the graduate					
		program					
		assistant one					
		week before					
		completion of					
		clinical hours.					
		The graduate					
		program					
		assistant emails					
		evaluation after					
		these courses					
		are completed;					
		583L, 622L,					
		638L, 683L.					
Job Placement	Collection:	Graduate	N=30	80% of	2016	Benchmark met.	Maintain.
	Annually	nursing program		graduates will	N=30 with 11	Response rates still	Continue to encourage students to
	March	coordinator		be employed	responses;	about 36%. Sent	respond and join alumni
		sends out		in role-related	100%	survey to graduate	association at graduation. Faculty
	Analysis:	Graduation		professional	employed full-	personal emails	will look at social media to identify
	Annually	Satisfaction/Job		, practice	time	during last semester;	employed students.
	Fall	Placement		, within one		asked graduates for	. ,
		Survey, collect		year.		permanent contact	
		results and		,		person who would	
		shares with				have access to	
		faculty.				current email	
						address, impressed	
						upon graduates'	
						importance of	
						responding to the	
						survey; followed up	
L		1				survey, tonoweu up	

		with phone calls and	
		posted survey on	
		SON Facebook page.	

Comments on part I: Many areas are stable and new evaluation areas are being developed.

II. Closing the Loop. Describe at least one data-informed change to your curriculum during the 2017-2018 cycle. These are those that were

based on, or implemented to address, the results of assessment from previous cycles.

A. What SLO(s) did you address? Please include the outcome(s) verbatim from the assessment plan.	B. When was this SLO last assessed to generate the data which informed the change? Please indicate the semester and year.	C. What were the recommendations for change from the previous assessment?	D. How were the recommendations for change acted upon?	E. What were the results of the changes? If the changes were not effective, what are the next steps or the new recommendations?
Summative Assessment of All End-of-Program SLOs Direct Measure of All End-of- Program SLOs: 100% of students will score Competent or Proficient on the Oral Comprehensive Examination. 2016-2017 End-of-Program Aggregated	Collected Summer Annually Analysis: Annually Spring	Electronic process developed for spring 2018 attached with clearer instructions/definitions and will use this method for 3 years.	Electronic form was completed and initiated this year for measuring outcomes, clearer data was developed for possible areas of improvement. Copy of form attached below.	Benchmark not met. On revised analytic rubric form, SLO's were individually identified.
Results:				currently being utilized and will be modified to

N=36 97% of students achieved a 'competent' or 'proficient' rating. 				 include more IPC activities. In 620, 633 and 681 an assignment for IPC will be added. 3 Lead instructors will meet to decide how to integrate during the summer for the fall semester. SLO 3- Faculty did not make QI a priority across the curriculum in all synthesis courses. A QI on-line assignment will be added in the first course of the last year for each emphasis.
SLO 2- 2% did not meet interprofessional collaboration (IPC) benchmark. SLO 3- 3% did not meet quality improvement (QI) benchmark.				
Summative Assessment of All End-of-Program SLOs Direct Measure: 100% of NP students will score 84% or better on the evidence-based practice section of the oral comp exam.	Collection: Annually Summer & Fall Analysis: Annually Spring	Will monitor at this time, changes to strengthen research skills are being developed with the transition to the DNP curriculum so no other current change at this time.	Benchmark not met with a 6% improvement noted from 2016. The six students who did not meet the 84% benchmark on this section of the exam, did pass the overall exam.	Development: Starting Spring 2018 faculty broke down the 20 point Evidence –Based Practice section into 4 criteria areas: Standards and Guidelines, Advocacy, Theoretical Framework and Leadership. This will allow analysis of specific areas of weakness. Each criteria area will be worth 5 points. In addition the oral comprehensive exam process was changed to a video submission with electronic grading for the renamed Graduate Nursing Oral Comprehensive Examination.
2016-2017 Individual Student Performance:				

N=36		
82% scored higher		
than 84%		

Comments on part II: SLO Elements are monitored for three years for trends and actions.

Graduate Nursing Oral Comprehension Examination Evaluation

The comprehensive oral examination provides an opportunity for the student to demonstrate synthesis of the knowledge and skills required of an advanced practice nurse. Each presenter will be graded by at least 3 faculty. Faculty scores will be compiled. A compiled _nal score of 84 or higher out of a possible 100 points is required to pass the exam.

* Required What is the presenter's rst name? * Your answer What is the presenter's second name? * Your answer What is the faculty's rst name? * Your answer What is the faculty's last name? * Your answer **Quality of Presentation** Practice Problem What is the email that you would like your con_rmation of submitted information sent to? * Your answer What is the date? * Date mm/dd/yyyy Out of 10 possible points, how did the presenter score in Quality of Presentation? * Your answer Comments / Speci_c Examples Your answer Evidence-Based Practice - Interpretation and Use of Research, Standards and/or Guidelines

Out of 20 possible points, how did the presenter score in Practice Problem? * Your answer Comments / Speci c Examples Your answer Out of 5 possible points, how did the presenter score in Evidence-Based Practice - Interpretation and Use of Research, Standards and/or Guidelines? * Your answer Evidence-Based Practice - Advocacy Evidence-Based Practice - Theoretical Framework Comments / Speci c Examples Your answer Out of 5 possible points, how did the presenter score in Evidence-Based Practice - Advocacy? * Your answer Comments / Speci c Examples Your answer **Evidence-Based Practice - Leadership** Ethical Decision Making (ANA Code of Ethics) Out of 5 possible points, how did the presenter score in Evidence-Based Practice - Theoretical Framework? * Your answer Comments / Speci c Examples Your answer Out of 5 possible points, how did the presenter score in Evidence-Based Practice - Leadership? * Your answer Comments / Speci c Examples Your answer

Technology

Out of 20 possible points, how did the presenter score in Ethical Decision Making (ANA Code of Ethics)? * Your answer Comments / Speci_c Examples Your answer Patient Centered Care (NONPF, NP Competencies) Out of 10 possible points, how did the presenter score in Technology? * Your answer Comments / Speci c Examples Your answer TOTAL SCORE Never submit passwords through Google Forms. This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google. Report Abuse - Terms of Service - Additional Terms Out of 20 possible points, how did the presenter score in Patient Centered Care (NONPF, NP Competencies)? * Your answer Comments / Speci c Examples Your answer Please total your _nal score out of 100 points. If total score is less than 84% please email the Graduate Nursing Program Coordinator.

Your answer SUBMIT Forms

Comprehensive Exam Analytic Rubric

* Required

This analytic rubric is designed from the Summative Systematic Evaluation Plan to evaluate the CSU-Pueblo Master's degree Expected Level of Achievement for the program Student Learning Outcomes during comprehensive exams. The information provided on the following pages will be complied for all students, not individual students and used in multiple assessment and accreditation reports. The information provided is not connected to individual students' grades for comprehensive exams. The "Masters Comprehensive Oral Examination Evaluation Rubric" completed by a minimum of three graduate faculty for each student during the comprehensive exam determines their grade.

First Name of Graduate Faculty Evaluator: *

Your answer

Last Name of Graduate Faculty Evaluator: *

Your answer

What email do your want your evaluation submission con_rmation

sent to? *

Your answer

(AGACNP) Adult / Gerontology Acute Care Nurse Practitioner

(AGACNP/FNP) Adult / Gerontology Acute Care / Family Nurse Practioner

(PMHNP) Psychiatric-Mental Health Nurse Practitoner

Nurse Educator

SLO 1 - Integrate Evidence-Based Practice (EBP) into advanced nursing practice

Student Emphasis: *

Date: * Date mm/dd/yyyy SLO 1 - Integrate Ethical Decision Making (EDM) into advanced nursing practice

Using the table above, what Level of Achievement did the student achieve for SLO 1 - Integrate Evidence-Based Practice (EBP) into advanced nursing practice *

Advanced Beginner Competent Pro_cient Integrate Evidence-Based Practice (EBP)

SLO 1 - Integrate Technology (Tech) into advanced nursing practice

Using the table above, what Level of Achievement did the student achieve for SLO 1 - Integrate Ethical Decision Making (EDM) into

advanced nursing practice *

Advanced Beginner Competent Pro_cient Integrate Ethical Decision Making (EDM) SLO 2 - Interprofessional Collaboration (IPC) into advanced

nursing practice

Using the table above, what Level of Achievement did the student achieve for SLO 1 - Integrate Technology (Tech) into advanced

nursing practice *

Advanced Beginner Competent Pro_cient Integrate Technology (Tech) SLO 2 - Safety and Quality (SQ) into advanced nursing practice

Using the table above, what Level of Achievement did the student achieve for SLO 2 - Interprofessional Collaboration (IPC) into advanced nursing practice * Advanced Beginner Competent Procent

Interprofessional Collaboration Using the table above, what Level of Achievement did the student achieve for SLO 2 - Safety and Quality (SQ) into advanced nursing practice * Advanced Beginner Competent Pro cient Safety and Quality (SQ) SLO 2 - Patient-centered care (PCC) into advanced nursing practice Using the table above, what Level of Achievement did the student achieve for SLO 2 - Patient-centered care (PCC) into advanced nursing practice ' Advanced Beginner Competent Pro cient Patient-centered Care (PCC) SLO 3 - Explore quality improvement initiatives that affect delivery of advanced nursing practice (QI for ANP) Using the table above, what Level of Achievement did the student achieve for SLO 3 - Explore quality improvement initiatives that affect delivery of advanced nursing practice (QI for ANP) * Advanced Beginner Competent Pro_cient Explore quality improvement initiatives that affect delivery of advanced nursing practice (QI for ANP) SLO 3 - Explore quality improvement initiatives that affect delivery of health services (QI HCS) into advanced nursing practice Never submit passwords through Google Forms. This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google. Report Abuse - Terms of Service - Additional Terms Using the table above, what Level of Achievement did the student

achieve for SLO 3 - Explore quality improvement initiatives that

affect delivery of health services (QI HCS) into advanced nursing

practice * Advanced Beginner Competent Pro_cient

Explore quality improvement initiatives that affect delivery of health services (QI HCS) SUBMIT

Forms

Healthy People 2020 Rubric

N551 - Fall 2016

You all have your selected topic from the list of Healthy People 2020. This assignment will consist of a power point presentation that you will post in Blackboard along with a brief oral summary done during class. The combined assignment is worth 30% of your grade; 20% for the PPT and 10% for the oral summary in class.

As for the power point portion (worth 100 points), you will need the following:

- a cover slide with your topic, name, date, course (Health Promotion N551), & Professor's name (Professor Howard) 10 points
- Goal & Overview of Topic (1-3 slides) 20 points
- Summary of Objectives of Topic (1-3 slides) 20 points
- Interventions & Resources to include the following three components: Summary of Evidence-Based Resources; Clinical Recommendations of Screenings (when & why) if applicable to your topic - some topics do not have clinical recommendations; Summary of Consumer Information (8 slides or less) - 40 points
- Reference slide containing at least one reference from website where you accessed information (APA format on reference slide) 10 points

The PPT will be due prior to your presentation date. I will have a sign-up sheet in class Thursday so you can select the date you present. Oral presentations of your topic will be brief (8 minutes or less) and will just be summary of what you learned about your topic in regard to Health Promotion.

Oral Presentation (8 minutes or less): Worth 50 points

- PPT submitted Wednesday prior to presentation by MN 10 points
- Business casual attire for presentation 10 points
- Summary of topic orally (hand held notes allowed if needed) 25 points
- Completed presentation in 6 minutes or less 5 points

The Healthy People 2020 Topics you selected to present on are listed below:

- Access to Health Services
- Adolescent Health
- Arthritis, Osteoporosis and Chronic Back
- Blood Disorders and Blood Safety
- Cancer
- Chronic Kidney Disease
- Dementias, Including Alzheimer's Disease

- Diabetes
- Disability and Health
- Early and Middle Childhood
- Educational and Community-Based Programs
- Environmental Health
- Family Planning
- Food Safety
- Genomics
- Global Health
- Healthcare-Associated Infections
- Health Communication and Health Information Technology
- Health-Related Quality of Life and Well-Being
- Hearing and Other Sensory or Communication Disorders
- Heart Disease and Stroke
- HIV
- Immunization and Infectious Disease
- Injury and Violence Prevention
- Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Transgender Health
- Maternal, Infant, and Child Health
- Medical Product Safety
- Mental Health and Mental Disorders
- Nutrition and Weight Status
- Occupational Health
- Older Adults
- Oral Health
- Physical Activity
- Preparedness
- Public Health Infrastructure
- Respiratory Diseases
- Sleep Health
- Social Determinants of Health
- Substance Abuse
- Tobacco Use
- Vision

Grand Round Case Study Assignments Instructions and Grading Rubric

Online Week: In Blackboard your group will be given a brief synopsis to guide your case study for the focused topics during the online week for your group Grand Round Case Study. You will use a Wiki tool to develop your group case study. Everyone in the group will use the Wiki page I create for you. Do not create another Wiki page without direction from instructor. Please contact the HELP desk at 719-549-2002 and email the course instructor if your group has any technical issues. Use the Wiki page to develop your case study. Discuss, ask questions and make decisions by making comments *below* the Wiki, not in the Wiki page. If you put your name in the Wiki page make sure to erase it for the final product. This is a group grade and I can see who did what (added, deleted, altered or changed) submitted content in the Wiki and in what chronological order during the online week. This assignment will require you to access and contribute to the grand round case study at least 3 days during the 7 days of the assignment period. You can divide up sections complete the case study before completing the other requirements. You will be in the same group for all three grand round case studies this semester. Each student needs to complete a different section for each case study. For example: Molly completes Differential Diagnoses or the majority of this section for case study number one. In case study number two she completes the APN Role section and case study number three she completes the most likely diagnosis and treatment plan section. In all three Molly made corrections, additions and asked questions and make other comments below the Wiki page to contribute to the overall Grand Round assignment at least three different days out of the seven days of the assignment. **This is about one specific patient**. Do not try to cover every possibility, commit to a plan.

Grading Rubric

- 35/35 points for all group members if:
 - ✓ All group members participate nearly equal in assignment in the Wiki page during online week
 - ✓ All group members participate in the comments section to plan, discuss and finalize assignment at least 3 different days during the online week to finalize assignment
 - ✓ All required elements listed below are included in the Wiki page before the assignment deadline
- 30/35 points for all group members if:
 - ✓ Lacking required elements in one area or lacking detail for required elements in any 2 areas listed below. No corrections or regrading will be allowed after the due date this semester.
- 25/35 points or less for all group members if:
 - ✓ Lacking required elements in 2 or more areas or lacking detail for required elements in any 3 or more areas listed below.
 No corrections or regrading will be allowed after the due date this semester.

Grand Round Case Study Required Elements

Keep the required elements in order. You can format so certain elements are separated in your write-up to avoid duplication and emphasize important topics by addressing them individually within your assignment.

1. Case Study: Add relevant chief complaints, history, Review of systems, physical exam findings, vital signs, personal/family history, previous or recent diagnostics, etc.

2. **Etiology/Epidemiology/Pathophysiology/Genetics/Genomics:** Concise but complete including patient education, referrals as needed, etc. and only significant content related to the patient in your Case Study, differentials and clearly identify the most likely

diagnosis and any comorbidities. This semester is about complex patients you must address all of the patient's needs. Pathophysiology should be specific to how the different disease processes are influencing each other and considerations for pharmacology and non-pharmacology treatments (adverse reactions, potential toxicity, decreased effectiveness, etc. You may want to use the P-Drug tables you used in pharmacology)

3. Cultural/Health Disparities/Family Theory/Associated Family History: Concise but specific to the content in your case study and your APN role for this specific patient. *Application to practice.*

4. **Differential diagnoses:** List top 5 differential diagnoses and associated distinguishing history, physical exam, diagnostic testing or other diagnostic *information (don't forget lab values and other test results that are typical for this type of patient in the case study)* that assists the NP to accurately differentiate the diagnoses from one another. Use a table with headings to quickly differentiate between differentials, not all the details for each.

5. **Most Likely Diagnosis, Treatment plan with Developmental considerations**: be specific to the patient in your case study and use bullet points for your evidence-based treatment plan (reference current Practice Guidelines and at least two additional peer reviewed journal articles related to treatment plan). Be specific what pharmacological and/ or non-pharmacological interventions you would order for this patient. Do not list options, you have to commit to a specific evidence-based treatment and follow-up plan. Your follow-up plan needs to include more than just when you will have the patient return to see you. The APN implications in this section need to include any labs you will follow or community resources you will refer to the patient to utilize, etc. Whatever is applicable for the patient you create. List in parentheses after applicable content for your patient the associated NP competencies. Just listing the competencies and stating you are using them is not enough this semester. You must be specific in what you do for the patient demonstrating the competency and identifying the competency in parentheses.

6. **APN Role/Implications**: Discuss any local, state or national rules, regulations, health-care policy or other barriers to providing quality and safe patient care for the patient in your patient in the case study. *Does insurance cover the tests you did in the differential diagnosis section? (ie: genetic testing in the pregnancy case study). What codes would you bill for the visit you describe in the grand round?*

7. List all **references** APA format at the end of the Wiki page (Don't forget in textreferences and a reference list at the end of your Wiki page)

Post-Neurosimulation Survey Results: NSG 622

Criteria: To what extent do students demonstrate respect for patient preferences, values, and needs?

BB Survey: Evaluate pre and post simulation survey/each cohort/ every Summer semester in NSG 622

Instructions:

The purpose of this pre and post simulation survey is to assess your comfort and confidence level related to: respect for patient preferences, values and needs before and after the simulation activities. The results from the surveys will be compiled and reported to the accreditation organization as part of our student learning assessment process. The surveys will not be included as part of your participation grade or evaluation of your skill performance. Please answer to the best of your beliefs.

Likert Scale:

1=Disagree

2= Slightly Disagree

3= Not sure

4= Slightly Agree

5=Agree

Question 1:

I feel confident caring for patients when their values are significantly different from my own.

Question 2:

I feel comfortable caring for critically ill patients whose religious beliefs differ significantly from my own beliefs.

Question 3:

I feel confident communicating with family members to make end of life decisions.

Question 4:

I feel comfortable discussing patient and family preferences in critical situations.

Question 5:

I am confident in my role as a Nurse Practitioner to respectfully assess and meet the needs for my critically ill patients.

Question 6:

I understand what resources are available to assist me when the family's wishes conflict with the patient's preferences.

NSG 683

Group project – This will be a WIKI online project. Divide portions of the assignment and enter your input into WIKI.

- A. Define the problem and client population affected and statistics.
- B Literature review for current knowledge of problem
- C. Legislation that may affect area (eg, patient's rights, state statutes)
- D. When problem emerged: is it getting better or worse?
- E. Include summary of an interview with a professional who is involved in the area: Identify by role, not by name.
- F. Barriers to solving the problem economic, tradition, etc.
- G. Is the problem solvable in your estimation?
- H. What could you do as a change agent?
- I. Describe one or more of the QSEN competencies to improve patient care in your

N506 Advanced Practice Roles, Issues & Ethics

Ethical Decision Making Assignment

Grading Rubric

Each student will individually complete this assignment. It should be no more than 2 typed pages. You are asked to answer the following questions after reviewing the material in Module 3, week 6. Resources should be cited using APA format. Please be sure to label with course, your name, the date and please virus check before uploading to Blackboard.

- 1. List the key elements of the ANA Code of Ethics
- How will these elements help to guide you as you embark on your journey in advanced practice nursing?
- 3. Review the ethical models. How would you use a model to work through and ethical dilemma you have experienced in practice?

Grading:

- 1. Student lists the key elements of the ANA Code of Ethics (20 points)
- Student describes how the key elements in the ANA Code of Ethics will help guide them in their journey in advanced practice nursing (30 points)
- Student will utilize an ethical model to work through an ethical dilemma they have experienced (30 points)
- 4. Sources will be cited in APA format and correct grammar and spelling will be utilized (10 points)