

Program:\_\_Construction Management\_\_\_\_

(Due: June 1, 2018)

Date report completed: \_\_\_\_\_May 31, 2018\_\_\_

Completed by:\_\_\_\_\_Michael MIncic\_\_\_\_\_

Assessment contributors (other faculty involved): \_\_\_\_\_\_MD Islam, Kevin Sparks\_\_\_\_\_

Please describe the 2017-2018 assessment activities and follow-up for your program below. Please complete this form for <u>each undergraduate major</u>, <u>minor</u>, <u>certificate</u>, <u>and graduate program</u> (e.g., B.A., B.S., M.S.) in your department. Please copy any addenda (e.g., rubrics) and paste them in this document, save and submit it to both the Dean of your college/school and to the Assistant Provost as an email attachment before June 1, 2018. You'll also find this form on the assessment website at <u>https://www.csupueblo.edu/assessment-and-student-learning/resources.html</u>. Thank you.

**I. Assessment of Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs) in this cycle.** Including processes, results, and recommendations for improved student learning. Use Column H to describe improvements planned for 2018-2019 based on the assessment process.

A. Which of the program SLOs were assessed during this cycle? Please include the outcome(s) verbatim from the assessment plan.	B. When was this SLO <u>last</u> assessed? (semester and year)	C. What method was used for assessing the SLO? Please include a copy of any rubrics used in the assessment process.	D. Who was assessed? Please fully describe the student group(s) and the number of students or artifacts involved.	E. What is the expected achievement level and how many or what proportion of students should be at that level?	F. What were the results of the assessment? Include the proportion of students meeting proficiency.	G. What were the department's conclusions about student performance?	H. What changes/improvements to the <u>program</u> are planned based on this assessment?
Outcome 3- Perform standard tests, organize and interpret test data and apply test results to improve construction	AY 2014/15	☐Observes good lab practice and operates instrumentation with ease ☐ Determines data that are appropriate to collect and selects	CM 320 Students	-75% will attain 75%	- 100% attained 75%	The results of the data shows that the students are doing a good job in testing, interpreting and communicating results of Soil tests.	No Changes should be made. The strong and rigorous process should continue.

Created by IEC Jan 2011, Revised Oct 2011, Revised July 2012, Revised Apr 2016, Revised Sept 2017

	1						
processes.		appropriate					
		equipment,					
		protocols, etc.					
		for measuring					
		the appropriate					
		variables to get					
		required data					
		Use s					
		appropriate					
		tools to analyze					
		data and verifies					
		and validates					
		experimental					
		results including					
		the use of					
		statistics to					
		account for					
		possible					
		experimental					
		error					
Outcome 3-	AY	□Observes good	CET 102	75% will	84% attained	Since the testing	No changes should be made.
Perform	2014/15	lab practice and operates	Students	attain 75%	75%	and analysis	
standard tests,		instrumentation				process in	
organize and		with ease				surveying helps to	
-		Determines data					
interpret test		that are appropriate				demonstrate the	
data and apply		to collect and				students ability to	
test results to		selects appropriate				test, interpret,	
improve		equipment,				analyze and	
construction		protocols, etc. for				, approve data the	
		measuring the					
processes.		appropriate				CM department	
		variables to get				chooses to report	
		required data				these results.	
		tools to analyze					
		data and verifies					
		and validates					
		experimental					
		results including					
		results including the use of					
		results including the use of statistics					

Outcome 4-	AY		CM 475	75% will	100%	Two evaluation	NO changes should be done the
Function effectively as members or leaders on construction teams.	2014/15	☐Recognize participant roles in a team setting ☐Integrate input from all team members and makes decision ☐Improves communications among teammates and ask for feedback	Students 8 campus students	attain 75%	attained 75% in technical 100% attained 75% in teamwork	method were used one was from external content experts observing the final results the second was the students self evaluation and instructor evaluation of team work.	student performance was outstanding.

Comments on part I:

II. Closing the Loop. Describe at least one data-informed change to your curriculum during the 2017-2018 cycle. These are those that were

based on, or implemented to address, the results of assessment from previous cycles.

A. What SLO(s)	B. When was this	C. What were the	D. How were the	E. What were the results of the changes? If
did you address?	SLO last assessed to	recommendations for change	recommendations for	the changes were not effective, what are the
Please include	generate the data	from the previous	change acted upon?	next steps or the new recommendations?
the outcome(s)	which informed the	assessment?		
verbatim from	change?			
the assessment	Please indicate the			
plan.	semester and year.			
1	AY 2014/15	The instructor plans to	The faculty in the CET 305	In the evlaution of theis years senior projects
-		integrate cost loading and	and 304 cost estimating	and the delievery of change orders
Apply		change orders to the baseline	classes as well as the 400	throughout the project was evident. The
knowledge,		schedules for the final project	level courses wer directed	students were able to react to the changes
techniques, skills and tools		and require a written and or	to raise awareness of the	intelligently and able to explay why and how
of the		oral explanation of the	need to be able to react to	the changes were made.
construction		compariason of pre and post	client change orders in a	-
industry in		schedule changes.	timely and accurate	
construction				

Created by IEC Jan 2011, Revised Oct 2011, Revised July 2012, Revised Apr 2016, Revised Sept 2017

activities		manner. Using procedures	
		usch as cost loading and	
		technology.	

Comments on part II:

The Construction Management Program during this academic cycle suffered a major set back in the departure of program coordinator Daniel Trujillo. Professor Trujillo left the university at the beginning of the Spring semenster leaving a large portion of CM courses begin covered by adjunct faculty. This assessment report continues the plans he had implemented. The CET/CM department continues to recognize the need for the CM graduates have soft skills especially in communicating discipline specifc results to clients. This years assessment results have proven that the students are increasing these skills and are able use those skills in projects such as the senior project.