Colorado State University – Pueblo Academic Program Assessment Report for AY 2015-2016

Program:_____GENERAL EDUCATION_____

Date: ____June 1, 2016_____

Completed by:___Helen Caprioglio___

Assessment contributors (other faculty involved in this program's assessment): ___Gen Ed Board Members_____

Please describe the 2015-2016 assessment activities for the program in Part I. Use Column H to describe improvements planned for 2016-2017 based on the assessment process. In Part II, please describe activities engaged in during 2015-2016 designed to close-the-loop (improve the program) based on assessment activities and the information gathered in 2014-2015. Thank you.

I. Program student learning outcomes (SLOs) assessed in this cycle, processes, results, and recommendations.

A Which of the	D. When	C What	D Who was	L Mbatic	E What ware	C What ware	11 M/bat abangos /improvements
A. Which of the	B. When	C. What	D. Who was	E. What is	F. What were	G. What were	H. What changes/improvements
program SLOs	was this	method was	assessed?	the expected	the results of	the	to the <u>program</u> are planned
were assessed	SLO last	used for	Please fully	achievement	the	department's	based on this assessment?
during this	assessed?	assessing the	describe the	level and	assessment?	conclusions	
cycle? Please	Please	SLO? Please	student	how many or		about student	
include the	indicate	include a	group(s) and	what		performance?	
outcome(s)	the	copy of any	the number	proportion of			
verbatim from	semester	rubrics used	of students	students			
the assessment	and year.	in the	or artifacts	should be at			
plan.		assessment	involved.	it?			
		process.					
All SLOs	Spring	Review of	196 Seniors	Expected	Mean score for	Students did	Further discussions on expected
	2015	Proficiency	were	CSU-Pueblo	seniors was	not meet	achievement and gen ed
		Profile data	assessed.	mean to be	444.35.	expected	curriculum.
		from 2015		at or above	National mean	achievement.	
				the National	at Masters		Complete review and update of
				mean for	institutions was		assessment plan. Also verify
				Masters	447.30.		alignment of institutional gen ed
				Institutions.	Our actual		with upcoming changes to
					mean is 27 th		Colorado GT pathways core
					percentile, but		competencies.
					95% confidence		

		limits for our mean is 443- 447 (20 th to 32 nd percentile).		
--	--	--	--	--

Proficiency Profile skills subscores

SKILL	CSU-Pueblo mean	National Mean	CSU-Pueblo Percentile	95% confidence limits percentile
Critical Thinking	111.28	112.4	17	12 - 17
Reading	118.26	118.7	31	15 - 31
Writing	114.55	114.8	21	21 - 50
Mathematics	112.9	114.4	13	13 – 20
Humanities	114.39	115.2	18	12 - 38
Social Sciences	113	114.0	26	13 - 26
Natural Sciences	115.35	115.9	27	12 - 27

Comments:

Planning is underway for faculty development support through CTL (Center for Teaching and Learning) MAESTRO grant for implementing quality online Gen ed course offerings.

II. Follow-up (closing the loop) on results and activities from previous assessment cycles. In this section, please describe actions taken during this cycle that were based on, or implemented to address, the results of assessment from previous cycles.

A. What SLO(s) did you address? Please include the outcome(s) verbatim from the assessment plan.	B. When was this SLO last assessed? Please indicate the semester and year.	C. What were the recommendations for change from the previous assessment?	D. Were the recommendations for change acted upon? If not, why?	E. What were the results of the changes? If the changes were not effective, what are the next steps or the new recommendations?
All SLOs	AY 2015	Reevaluate and update the assessment plan as part of continual improvement. Engage Gen Ed faculty in a more thorough analysis of data and generation of strategies for improvement. Consult college faculty concerning performance patterns of their majors and Gen ed outcomes taught within the colleges.	Partially at this point— there was insufficient time to complete the update and further work is required.	NA

Comments: