

Program: ChemistryDate: 5/20/16Completed by: Chad Kinney

Assessment contributors (other faculty involved in this program's assessment): \_\_\_\_\_

Please complete this form for each undergraduate, minor, certificate, and graduate program (e.g., B.A., B.S., M.S.) in your department. Please copy any addenda (e.g., rubrics) and paste them in this document, and submit it to the dean of your college/school as per the deadline established. The dean will forward it to me as an email attachment before June 2, 2016. You'll also find the form at the assessment website at <http://www.csupueblo.edu/Assessment/ResultsAndReports/Pages/default.aspx>.

Please describe the 2015-2016 assessment activities for the program in Part I. Use Column H to describe improvements planned for 2016-2017 based on the assessment process. In Part II, please describe activities engaged in during 2015-2016 designed to close-the-loop (improve the program) based on assessment activities and the information gathered in 2014-2015. Thank you.

**I. Program student learning outcomes (SLOs) assessed in this cycle, processes, results, and recommendations.**

A. Which of the program SLOs were assessed during this cycle? <b>Please include the outcome(s) verbatim from the assessment plan.</b>	B. When was this SLO last assessed? <b>Please indicate the semester and year.</b>	C. What method was used for assessing the SLO? <b>Please include a copy of any rubrics used in the assessment process.</b>	D. Who was assessed? Please fully describe the student group(s) and the number of students or artifacts involved.	E. What is the expected achievement level and how many or what proportion of students should be at it?	F. What were the results of the assessment?	G. What were the department's conclusions about student performance?	H. What changes/improvements to the <u>program</u> are planned based on this assessment?
1. Students will exhibit a comprehensive knowledge of the fundamental theories and concepts necessary in the chemical sciences.	Data are collected at the end of every semester. The SLO was last assessed in Spring 2015.	The ACS Exams Institute provides standardized exams that cover all the major sub-disciplines within chemistry. The chemistry program uses these exams where appropriate	All students taking core chemistry courses will take the ACS exams (481 ACS exam scores were reported during the 15-16 AY.	Faculty expect that students on average will score at or above the 50 <sup>th</sup> percentile on both the ACS and MFAT standardized exams.	Student results on ACS exams, where comparison to national data is available, was general near the 50 <sup>th</sup> percentile for course averages (i.e. 40 <sup>th</sup> percentile and	Based on the expected knowledge of chemistry established by the American Chemical Society as well as tested by the MFAT exam, students at CSU-Pueblo are generally performing at	In general, areas for improvement in SLO performance continues to be with students in trailer sections, which is limited to the General Chemistry and Organic Chemistry curricula. Potential ways to address this is through more intensive supplemental

		(general, organic, physical, analytical, inorganic, and biochemistry). The Major Field Achievement Test (MFAT) is also required of all graduating seniors and is used to assess student knowledge in chemistry.	This does not represent 481 unique students since many students take multiple chemistry courses, and therefore, take multiple exams in a given AY). Ten students completed the MFAT exams during the 15-16 AY.		up). In some course the average performance was greater than the 50 <sup>th</sup> percentile. Exceptions to this include trailer courses in the general chemistry and organic chemistry curriculum (e.g. CHEM 121 – Spring 2016, CHEM 122 – Summer 2015, CHEM 301 – Spring 2016, CHEM 302 – Summer and Fall 2015), which were below the 50 <sup>th</sup> percentile even when taught by the same instructor as the on-sequence course. Trailer sections are commonly comprised of many students that have previously been unsuccessful in course, and this phenomenon of lower scores on ACS exams in trailer sections compared to on-sequence courses has been observed in previous AYs. The MFAT exam scores again demonstrate favorable performance among senior	or above the national average among their peers at other institutions of higher education. Exceptions to this are generally limited trailer sections for those courses that have them. The majority of students completing a degree in chemistry at CSU-Pueblo demonstrate an knowledge of chemistry that exceeds that of most student completing a chemistry degree at other institutions using the MFAT exam as an assessment tool.	instruction (SI), which has been offered through the PROPEL Center. The future of the PROPEL Center is uncertain; so this may not be a feasible option. Some faculty in the Chemistry Department have proposed new approaches to teaching General Chemistry using a studio approach (small sections of combined lecture and lab). This will require additional resources, which have been included in recent NSF-IUSE and the upcoming USDOEd grant proposals. Without additional resources, these approaches are not feasible in the current and likely future fiscal climates on campus. If successful, these approach could be considered for adaptation to the organic chemistry curriculum. Students that successfully complete the first two years of the chemistry curriculum (CHEM 121/L, 122/L, 301/L, and 302/L) largely succeed in the program and perform well on national exams like the MFAT. However, the department continues to witness a large number of underprepared students in the early chemistry curriculum, which ultimately leads to high attrition. As noted above, it is likely that the best way to address these student deficiencies are approaches which require more resources (SI and smaller sections in the early curriculum.)
--	--	---	--	--	---	---	--

					<p>students with the average scores in all chemistry sub-disciplines tested being well above the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile and the overall combined average on the exams in the 61<sup>st</sup> percentile. Furthermore, 2 of the 10 students tested at the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile or greater overall and 6 of the 10 students tested at the 69<sup>th</sup> percentile or greater overall. Summary of current AY and historic ACS and MFAT exam results are included with this assessment report.</p>		
<p>2. Students will exhibit the mathematical and problem-solving skills necessary in the chemical sciences.</p>	<p>Data are collected at the end of every semester. The SLO was last assessed in Spring 2015.</p>	<p>The ACS Exams Institute provides standardized exams that cover all the major sub-disciplines within chemistry. The chemistry program uses these exams where appropriate (general, organic, physical, analytical, inorganic, and biochemistry). The Major Field Achievement Test (MFAT) is also required of all</p>	<p>All students taking core chemistry courses will take the ACS exams (481 ACS exam scores were reported during the 15-16 AY. This does not represent 481 unique students since many students take multiple chemistry courses, and therefore, take multiple exams</p>	<p>Faculty expect that students on average will score at or above the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile on both the ACS and MFAT standardized exams.</p>	<p>Student results on ACS exams, where comparison to national data is available, was general near the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile for course averages (i.e. 40<sup>th</sup> percentile and up). In some course the average performance was greater than the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile. Exceptions to this include trailer courses in the general chemistry and organic</p>	<p>Based on the expected knowledge of chemistry established by the American Chemical Society as well as tested by the MFAT exam, students at CSU-Pueblo are generally performing at or above the national average among their peers at other institutions of higher education. Exceptions to this are generally limited trailer sections for those courses that have them. The majority of students</p>	<p>Similar conclusions as SLO 1 stated above.</p>

		<p>graduating seniors and is used to assess student knowledge in chemistry.</p>	<p>in an given AY). Ten students completed the MFAT exams during the 15-16 AY.</p>		<p>chemistry curriculum (e.g. CHEM 121 – Spring 2016, CHEM 122 – Summer 2015, CHEM 301 – Spring 2016, CHEM 302 – Summer and Fall 2015), which were below the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile even when taught be the same instructor as the on-sequence course. Trailer sections are commonly comprised of many students that have previously been unsuccessful in course, and this phenomenon of lower scores on ACS exams in trailer sections compared to on-sequence courses has been observed in previous AYs. The MFAT exam scores again demonstrate favorable performance among senior students with the average scores in allchemistry sub-disciplines tested being well above the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile and the overall combined average on the exams in the 61<sup>st</sup></p>	<p>completing a degree in chemistry at CSU-Pueblo demonstrate an knowledge of chemistry that exceeds that of most student completing a chemistry degree at other institutions using the MFAT exam as an assessment tool.</p>	
--	--	---	--	--	--	--	--

					percentile. Furthermore, 2 of the 10 students tested at the 95 <sup>th</sup> percentile or greater overall and 6 of the 10 students tested at the 69 <sup>th</sup> percentile or greater overall. Summary of current AY and historic ACS and MFAT exam results are included with this assessment report.		
3. Students will be able to research, review and understand the current chemical literature and be able to critically evaluate, write about and professionally present such material.	Data are collected at the end of every semester. The SLO was last assessed in Spring 2015.	Although aspects of Learning Outcome Three are incorporated into much of the curriculum, assessment of the third learning outcome takes place during the required senior seminar course, Chem 493 and in other higher level courses. All faculty are expected to attend the student's senior seminar and an evaluation tool is distributed to every member present. Evaluation tool included.	Development of the skills required for this SLO occur throughout the curriculum. However, final assessment occurs as part of the CHEM 493-Senior Seminar Course (9 students 15-16 AY).	Faculty evaluations of the senior seminar are pooled and included in the student's grade for the course which is compiled by the instructor of record. Evaluations are given on a 100-point scale and faculty expect students to achieve an average of 70 or better for satisfactory performance.	Eight of the nine students assessed through the CHEM 493 course were at the 70% mark or better. The one student who did not meet this expectation completed the course with an "IN".	Generally speaking students have developed the needed skills throughout the chemistry curriculum to meet this SLO. This is demonstrated by performance at or above the expected level of achievement in CHEM 493 as assessed by the department faculty as a whole.	Given the performance in meeting this SLO the aspects of the chemistry curriculum designed to meet it appear appropriate at this time. No changes are deemed necessary at this time.

Comments:

**II. Follow-up (closing the loop) on results and activities from previous assessment cycles. In this section, please describe actions taken during this cycle that were based on, or implemented to address, the results of assessment from previous cycles.**

A. What SLO(s) did you address? Please include the outcome(s) verbatim from the assessment plan.	B. When was this SLO last assessed? Please indicate the semester and year.	C. What were the recommendations for change from the previous assessment?	D. Were the recommendations for change acted upon? If not, why?	E. What were the results of the changes? If the changes were not effective, what are the next steps or the new recommendations?
SLO 1 and 2	Data are collected at the end of every semester. The SLO was last assessed in Spring 2015.	Given the consistently identified deficiencies in trailer courses early in chemistry curriculum the proposed change was to use the SAFE Course approach used during the summer and currently supported through the PROPEL grant.	Given that the SAFE approach requires additional time and effort on the part of the instructor as well as limits class size, this approach would require additional resources to higher instructors (VAP). This was acknowledged in the recommendations from the previous year. The chair discussed the feasibility of this approach with the dean, but unfortunately the resources were not available to pursue this potential solution.	These changes were not feasible due to lack of resources. Therefore, as highlighted above, approaches that rely on outside funding are currently being pursued through two substantial external funding requests.

Comments:



## Seminar Assessment & Comments

CHEM 493

Student Presenter \_\_\_\_\_

Topic \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Seminar Score \_\_\_\_\_

Abstract (%) \_\_\_\_\_

100 point scale

*The objective of the 50 minute talk is to illustrate the student's ability to coherently present information of a specific nature.*

Topic: (10 pts) \_\_\_\_\_

Appropriateness of topic: *narrow enough* to include specific material while having *breadth of interest*? Is it sufficiently *chemical in nature*?

Is it of *general interest*? Is it timely?

Content: (35 pts) \_\_\_\_\_

Is there *sufficient chemistry* in the presentation? Is the material presented *relevant to the topic*, correct, well-documented and current? Is it *clearly* and *logically* presented?

Organization: (20 pts) \_\_\_\_\_

Does the *introduction* provide a *good overview*? Does each *topic flow naturally* from the previous one? Does the presentation "*tell a story*"? Is the *material appropriate* for the intended audience?

Presentation: (20 pts) \_\_\_\_\_

Does the presenter maintain *good eye contact*, and use *appropriate strength of voice*, while *engaging listeners*?

(40 min) Start time \_\_\_\_\_ Stop time \_\_\_\_\_

Graphics, Diagrams, Figures: (10 pts) \_\_\_\_\_

Do the visual aids *supplement the presentation* or are they superfluous? Do visual aids fit *logically* into presentation? Are they *discussed in detail*? Are they *easy to read and follow*?

Use of Power Point: (5 pts) \_\_\_\_\_

How well was the *visual presentation* put together? (general *appearance, clarity, and legibility of slides*; *effective* use of Power Point).

General Impressions:

# American Chemical Society Standardized Final Examination Data Year 2004- present

## Academic

ACS Final  (Exam name & year)	Semester	Raw Score Average						Percentile Average		Percentile	Difference
	Given	U.S.	Std. Dev.	N =	CSU- P	Std. Dev.	N =	U.S.	CSU-P	Raw	Weighted
General Chemistry Exams											
1st Term (CHEM 121)											
1st term (2000) DL	Su 05	39.6	11		41.3	11.3	16	51	56	5	80
1st term (2000) LW	Fall 04	39.6	11		44	14	58	51	65	14	812
1st term (1997)LW	Fall 05	39	11	2000	39	12	63	51	48	-3	-189
1st term (1997)LW	Fall 06	39	11	2000	42	11	38	51	57	6	228
1st term (2000)LW	Fall 07	40	11		39	12	73	48	48	0	0
1st term (2005)LW	Fall 08	40	12	4524	38	10	56	48	45	-3	-168
1st term (2000)RF	F08	39.6	11		33.8	9.8	15	51	33	-18	-270
1st term (2000) DL	Su 07	39.6	11		39.1	10.4	16	51	49	-2	-32
1st term (2000) DL	Su 08	39.6	11		42.9	13.2	19	51	61	10	190
1st term (2000) DL	Su 09	39.6	11		45.9	15.1	10	51	70	19	190
1st term (2005) CK	Spring 2010	40.35	12.26	4524	32.05	10.91	65	50	28	-22	-1430
1st term (2009) KP	Spring 2010	37.1	11.4	3827	38.2	11.6	74	51	54	3	222
1st term (2009) RF	F10	37.1	11.4	3827	38.2	12.2	33	51	54	3	99
1st term (2005) DL	Su 10	40.35	12.26	4524	45.08	11.09	22	50	63	13	286
1st term (2009) DD	Su 11	37.13	11.39	3827	36.8	10.3	26	51	50	-1	-26
1st term (2009) CC	F11	37.13	11.39	3827	33.9	11.2	78	51	41.8	-9.2	-717.6
1st term (2009) CC	Sp12	37.13	11.39	3827	34.3	10.7	90	51	42.9	-8.1	-729
1st term (2009) RF	F12	37.13	11.39	3827	37.1	9.1	71	51	50.5	-0.5	-35.5
2nd term (2009) CC	Sp 14	37.13	11.39	3827	34.3	9.4	73	51	43.2	-7.8	-569.4
General Chemistry I 2009 (rev. 2011) CC	F2012	37.13	11.39	3827	36	7.75	17	50	48	-2	-34
General Chemistry I 2009 (rev. 2011)	S2013	37.13	11.39	3827	33.92	9	83	50	42	-8	-664
Gen. Chem. First Term 2009	Fall 2013	37.13	11.39	3827	34.7	9.7	81	51	43.4	-7.6	-615.6
1st term (2009) CC	Sp 14	37.13	11.39	3827	34.3	9.4	73	51	43.2	-7.8	-569.4
1st Term Form 2009 Rev 2011 CC	Fall 2014	37.13	11.39	3827	38.54	12.06	34	51.3	56	4.7	159.8
First Term General Chemistry KP	F2014	40.35	12.26	4524	44.08	10.91	26	50	61	11	286
1st term (2009) KP	Sp 2015	37.13	11.39	3827	34.1	12.2	61	51.3	42	-9.3	-567.3
First Term Form 2009 (CC)	Fall 2015	37.13	11.39	3827	36.78	10.95	74	51.39	50.31	-1.08	-79.92



First Term Form 2005 (CC)	Spring 2016	40.35	12.26	4524	36.69	11.08	64	50.70	41.07	-9.63	-616.32
				Full Year (CHEM 122)							
Full year (1999) LW	Spring 05	40.19	10.03	955	37.5	9.5	48	51	41	-10	-480
Full year (1999) RS	Fall 04	40.19	10.03	955	42	12.7	33	51	59	8	264
Full year concept (2001) LW	Spring 05	33.1	11		31.9	9.9	49	53	48.5	-4.5	-220.5
Full year (1999) DD	Su 05	40.19	10.03	955	34.6	7.6	22	51	35	-16	-352
Full year (1999) RS	Fall 05	40.19	10.03	955	43.4	10.8	34	51	62	11	374
Full year (1999) LW	Spring 06	40.19	10.03	955	37	11	41	51	39	-12	-492
Full year concept (2001) LW	Spring 06	33	10		33	11	39	53	53	0	0
Full year (1999) DD	Su 06	40.19	10.03	955	42.4	9.1	20	51	60	9	180
Full year (2005)LW	Sp 07	35.5	11.5	1858	32.2	9.5	47	52	43	-9	-423
Full year concept (2001) LW	Sp 07	31.2	9.99		32.2	9.5	48	52	56	4	192
Full year (2005)LW	Su 07	35.5	11.5	1858	37.7	12.6	11	52	61	9	99
Full year (2005)LW	Sp 08	35.5	11.5	1858	34	11	27	51	48	-3	-81
Full year concept (2001) LW	Sp 08	31.2	9.99		35	11	26	53	60	7	182
Full year (2005)LW	Sp 09	35.5	11.5	1858	36	11	31	51	54	3	93
Full year concept (2001) LW	Sp 09	31.2	9.99		34	14	31	53	56	3	93
Full year (2005) DL	Su 08	35.5	11.5	1858	33	9.7	21	51	42	-9	-189
Full year (2005) DL	Fall 08	35.5	11.5	1858	34.1	16.4	23	51	48	-3	-69
Full year (2005) CK	Su 09	35.45	11.51	1858	36.85	14.09	20	51	58	7	140
Full year (2005) DD	Su10	35.45	11.51	1858	35	9.8	33	51	51	0	0
Full year (2005) KP	Fall 10	34.76	11.29	3201	34.07	10.9	41	51	51	0	0
Full year (2005) DL	Spring 11	35.5	11.5	1858	33.3	10.2	59	51	46	-5	-295
General Chemistry, 2005 MC	Fall 12	35.45	11.51	900	30.5	10.33	45	51	35	-16	-720
General Chemistry, 1999 MC	Spring 2013	40.19	10.03	900	36.8	8.12	49	51	39	-12	-588
Full year (2005) KP	Fall 10	35.45	11.51	1858	31.88	10.28	41	51	42	-9	-369
Gen. Chem. 2005 MC	F2013	34.45	11.51		31	8.66	39	54	40	-14	-546
Gen. Chem. Conceptual 2001 MC	Sp2014	31.25	9.99		32.7	8.6	41	51	56	5	205
Gen. Chem. 2005 MC	Sp2014	34.45	11.51		30.5	9.7	41	54	41	-13	-533
Gen. Chem. 1999 MC	Fall 2014	40.19	10.03		32.65	8.55	42	51	30.4	-20.6	-865.2
Gen. Chem. 2001 (Concept) MC	Fall 2014	31.25	9.99		42	17.6	41	51	44	-7	-287
Gen. Chem. 2005 MC	Spring 2015	34.45	11.51		35.97	10.18	35	48	51.2	3.2	112

Gen. Chem. 2001 (Concept) MC	Spring 2015	31.25	10.0		34	7.3	34	51	60	9	306
Gen Chem 1999 (MC)	Summer 2015	40.19	10.03		36.75	8.24	11	51	39	-12	-132
Gen Chem 2005 (MC)	F2015	34.45	11.5		37.92	11.32	35	48	61	13	455
Gen Chem 2001 (concept) (MC)	F2015	31.25	9.99		34	9.2	36	51	61	10	360
Gen Chem 2015 (MC)	Sp2016	41.44	9.38	166	40	8.36	36				
Gen Chem 2017 (MC)	Sp2016				36	7.8	33				

prelim data  
Trial test, no  
data exists  
for this exam

Total Students					2672	Average			-2	0
----------------	--	--	--	--	------	---------	--	--	----	---

### Pre-General Chemistry

Toledo (1998) DL	Su 05	31.5	7.2		31.8	7.2	18	51	51	0	0
Toledo (1998) DL	Su 07	31.5	7.2		32.5	8.2	16	51	54	3	48
Toledo (1998) DL	Su 08	31.5	7.2		35.2	9.4	21	51	70	19	399
Toledo (1998) DL	Su 09	31.5	7.2		34.6	8.1	13	51	67	16	208
Toledo (1998) RF	F08	31.5	7.2		30.3	7.8	21	51	44	-7	-147
Toledo (1998) DL	F09	31.5	7.2		30.6	6	63	51	47	-4	-252
Toledo (1998) RF	F10	31.5	7.2		32	9.1	50	51	54	3	150
Toledo (1998) DL	Su10	31.5	7.2		32.7	6.4	28	51	58	7	196

Total Students					230	Average			5	0
----------------	--	--	--	--	-----	---------	--	--	---	---

### Organic Chemistry

CHEM 302											
Organic 2002 DD	F 04	43.28	11.83		34.2	7.7	18	48	23	-25	-450
Organic 2002 DD	S 05	43.28	11.83		36.3	7.3	37	48	29	-19	-703
Organic 2004 DD	F05	39.22	12.16	3592	32	8.8	21	50	32	-18	-378
Organic 2004 DD	S06	39.22	12.16	3592	33.1	7.1	41	50	34	-16	-656
Organic 2004 DD	F06	39.22	12.16	3592	35.9	10.8	29	50	41	-9	-261
Organic 2004 DD	Sp07	39.22	12.16	3592	36.8	12.2	42	50	45	-5	-210
Organic 2004 DD	F07	39.22	12.16	3592	36.7	10.3	21	50	45	-5	-105
Organic 2004 DD	Sp08	39.22	12.16	3592	34.7	10.8	38	50	39	-11	-418
Organic 2004 DD	F08	39.22	12.16	3592	35.5	6.9	32	50	41	-9	-288
Organic 2004 DD	Sp09	39.22	12.16	3592	38.2	10.1	28	50	48	-2	-56
Organic 2004 DD	F09	39.22	12.16	3592	34.8	11.8	18	50	39	-11	-198



ACS Final  (Exam name & year)	Semester	Raw Score Average						Percentile Average		Percentile	Difference
	Given	U.S.	Std. Dev.	N =	CSU- P	Std. Dev.	N =	U.S.	CSU-P	Raw	Weighted
Biochemistry (CHEM 412)											
Biochemistry 2003 SB	Spring 04	35.4	9.3		29	5.7	4	50	26	-24	-96
Biochemistry 2003 SB	Spring 05	35.4	9.3		26	5.8	3	50	17	-33	-99
Biochemistry 2003 SB	Spring 06	35.4	9.3		31	1	3	50	34	-16	-48
Biochemistry 2007 SB	Spring 07	32.9	8.9		24	2.7	3	53	18	-35	-105
Biochemistry 2007 SB	Spring 09	32.9	8.9		30	4.1	7	53	39	-14	-98
Biochemistry 2007 SB	Spring 10	32.9	8.9	839	38.5	4.5	4	53	72	19	76
Biochemistry 2013 SB	Spring 12	24.53	6.41		29.1	1.24	4	NA	NA		
Biochemistry 2007 SB	Spring 13- UG	32.9	8.9	839	28.7	4.4	3	53	36	-17	-51
Biochemistry 2007 SB	Spring 13- G	32.9	8.9	839	36.8	7	5	53	62	9	45
Biochemistry 2012 SB	Spring 2014	32.9	8.9	839	34.1	8.14	10	53	55.3	2.3	23
Biochemistry 2012 SF	Spring 2016	32.9	8.9	839	30.4	5.04	9	53	50.73	-2.27	-20.43
Total Students							55	Average		-11	-7
Physical Chemistry											
P-Chem Comp. (1995) RS	Fall 04	31.3	9.2	442	35.0		1	53	67	14	14
P-Chem Comp. (1995) RS	Fall 04	31.3	9.2	442				53		-53	0
P-Chem Thermo. (1996) RS	Fall 04	21.3	7.1					53		-53	0
CHEM 322											
P-Chem Quant. (1995) RS	Spring 05	21.6	5.8		18.7	6.2	10	53	34	-19	-190
P-Chem Quant. (1995) RS	Spring 06	21.6	5.8		19.4	7.9	7	53	40	-13	-91
P-Chem Quant. (1995) RF	Fall 08	21.6	5.8		24.8	7.4	17	53	63	10	170
P-Chem Quant. (1995) RF	Fall 09	21.6	5.8		24.9	6.9	13	53	64	11	143
P-Chem Quant. (1995) RF	Fall 10	21.6	5.8		25.6	4.2	8	53	69	16	128
P-Chem Quant. (1995) RF	Fall 12	21.6	5.8		28.9	6.1	10	53	63	10	100
2006 P Chem (Quantum)	F13	29.2	7.8		29.3	6.1	12	51	49.7	-1.3	-15.6
Quantum Mechanics 2006 (RF)	Fall 2015	29.19	7.8	n/a	29.9	5.7	14	51	53.5	2.5	35

CHEM 321											
P-Chem Thermo. (1996) RS	Fall 04	21.3	7.1		20.6	4.3	8	53	51	-2	-16
P-Chem Thermo. (1996) RS	Fall 05	21.3	7.1		18.4	5.4	12	53	40	-13	-156
P-Chem Thermo. (2006) RF	Spring 09	26.4	7.0		26.4	7.2	19	51	51	0	0
P-Chem Thermo. (2006) RF	Spring 10	26.4	7.0		28.2	8.8	18	51	56	5	90
P-Chem Thermo. (2006) RF	Spring 13	26.4	7.0		29.3	6.4	11	53	61.8	8.8	96.8
2006 P Chem (Thermo) RF	S14	26.4	7.0		24.1	4.5	16	52	40.7	-11.3	-180.8
2006 P Chem (Thermo)	Spring 2015	26.4	7.0	n/a	26.7	7.6	14	51	51.4	0.4	5.6
Thermodynamics 2013 (RF)	Spring 2016	27.48	6.5	378	30.31	8.74	16				
Total Students							197	Average		-3	1
Inorganic Chemistry (CHEM 221)											
1991 Inorganic LW	Spring 05	23.9	8	419	27.8	6.6	4	54	69	15	60
Inorganic (2002) CC	Spring 12	28.4	8.1		31	0	2	52	66	14	28
Inorganic 2009 MC	F2013	31.79	8.95	482	20.6	7.98	18	51	11.8	-39.2	-705.6
Inorganic Chem. 2009 MC	Fall 2014	31.79	8.95		26.13	10.13	15	51	37	-14	-210
Inorg. Chem. Foundations 2016	F2015				31.6	5.8	15				
Inorganic Chemistry (CHEM 421)											
Inorganic 2009 MC	Sp2014	31.79	8.95	482	38	7.5	7	51	69.3	18.3	128.1

\*\*\* No national norms for this exam yet -- only mean, median, and standard deviation values based on 378 students

Trial test, no national data exists for this exam yet.

Inorganic Chem 2009 MC	Spring 2015	31.79	8.95		39.8	7.5	5	51	77	26	130
Inorganic Chemistry 2009	Sp2016	31.79	8.95	482	41.7	6.7	3	51	87	36	108
Total Students							69	Average		8	-7
Analytical Chemistry (CHEM 317)											
Analytical Chemistry 1994 DC	Fall 04	19.5	6.3	233	18.8	5.3	12	54	51	-3	-36
Analytical Chemistry 1994 DC	Fall 05	19.5	6.3	233	17.9	4.5	18	54	45	-9	-162
Analytical Chemistry 1994 CK	Fall 08	19.47	3.37	233	18.76	4.62	18	51	51	0	0
Analytical Chemistry 2007 CK	Fall 10	27.5	7.1	707	28.8	6.7	16	52	59	7	112
Analytical Chemistry 2007 KP	Fall 10	27.5	7.1	707	33.5	5.6	6	52	81	29	174
Analytical Chemistry 1994 CK	Fall 11	19.47	3.37	233	25.9	5	9	51	88	37	333
Analytical Chemistry 2007 CC	F2012	27.52	7.08	707	28	7.36	10	50	55	5	50
Analytical Chemistry 2007 CK	F 2013	27.52	7.08	707	28.11	6.21	19	52	56	4	76
Analytical Chemistry 2007 KP	Fall 2014	27.52	7.08		26.0	7.3	13	52	44	-8	-104
Analytical Chemistry 2007 CK	F 2015	27.52	7.08	707	25.8	6.5	18	52	42	-10	-180
Total Students							139	Average		5	2
Instrumental Analysis											
Instrumental Analysis 2001 DL	Spring 05	32.8	7.8	237	29.8	6	6	47	37	-10	-60
Instrumental Analysis 2001 DL	Spring 06	32.8	7.8	237	29	11.8	13	47	36	-11	-143
Instrumental Analysis 2001 CK	Spring 07	32.8	7.8	237	30.7	8.2	11	47	38	-9	-99
Instrumental Analysis 2001 CK	Spring 09	32.8	7.8	237	29.2	7.8	15	47	36	-11	-165
Instrumental Analysis 2001 CK	Spring 10	32.8	7.8	237	34.3	7.7	12	47	56	9	108
Instrumental Analysis 2009 DL	Spring 11	24.1	6.6		28.7	8.5	10	51	78	27	270
Instrumental Analysis 2009 DL	Spring 13	24.1	6.6		29.8	5.2	8	51	82	31	248
Instrumental Analysis 2009 KP	Spring 12	24.12	6.6		26.1	6.87	7	51	59	8	57
Instrumental Methods 2009 CK	Spring 14	24.12	6.57		26.4	5.68	18	51	67	16	282
Instrumental Analysis 2009 KP	Spring 15	24.12	6.57		22.3	6.8	12	51	42	-9.5	-114
Instrumental Methods 2009 CK	Spring 16	24.12	6.57		23	4.1	21	51	45	-6	-126
Total Students							133	Average		3	2

MFAT Exam													National Mean	Biochem	Crit Think
	# Students		Overall		Physical		Organic		Inorganic		Analytical				
			current yr	cumulative	current yr	cumulative	current yr	cumulative	current yr	cumulative	current yr	cumulative			
semester	number	Cumulative	%tile	%tile	%tile	%tile	%tile	%tile	%tile	%tile	%tile	%tile	%-tile	%-tile	%-tile
S 1995	5	5	77	77	72	72	71	71	78	78	84	84	50		
S-1996	6	11	87	82	91	82	71	71	83	81	96	91	50		
S-1997	7	18	49	69	52	71	48	62	65	75	25	65	49		
AY 97-98	10	28	95	79	94	79	93	73	91	80	91	74	49		
AY 98-99	6	34	46	73	9	67	44	68	51	75	68	73	49		
AY 99-00	9	43	66	71	59	65	64	67	75	75	71	73	49		
AY 00-01	9	52	44	67	51	63	40	62	32	68	54	70	49		
AY 01-02	6	58	85	69	76	64	80	64	76	69	99	73	50		
AY 02-03	2	60	75	69	75	64	75	65	80	69	60	72	50		
AY 03-04	9	69	55	67	60	64	25	59	50	66	65	71	50		
AY 04-05	6	75	80	68	75	65	65	60	85	68	85	72	50		
AY 05-06	4	79	88	69	82	66	85	61	78	68	84	73	50		
AY 06-07	5	84	35	67	50	65	10	58	45	67	50	72	50	1	75
AY 07-08	11	95	55	66	80	66	40	56	70	67	60	70	50	5	80
AY 08-09	10	105	25	62	40	64	10	52	60	67	25	66	45	10	10
AY 09-10	14	119	60	62	80	66	35	50	65	67	65	66	50	45	55
AY 10-11	7	126	55	61	80	67	25	48	55	66	80	67	50	30	50
AY 11-12	5	131	77	62	88	67	59	49	82	66	62	66	46	32	79
AY 12-13	4	135	60	62	60	67	58	49	67	67	36	66	51	21*	60*
AY 13-14	4	139	96	63	98	68	87	50	99	67	98	66	46	46*	58*
AY 14-15	13	152	68	63	58	67	72	52	56	66	56	66	48	67	61
AY 15-16	10	162	61	63	65	67	59	52	56	66	60	65	53	NA	NA

\*AY11-12 and 12-13 were combined to get a large enough N

\*AY12-13 and 13-14 were combined to get a large enough N