Colorado State University - Pueblo Academic Program Assessment Report for AY 2015-2016

Program: BS in Engineering Date: 10 June 2016

Completed by: Jane M Fraser

Assessment contributors (other faculty involved in this program's assessment): Professors Bedoya, DePalma, Jaksic, Wollega, and Yuan.

Please describe the 2015-2016 assessment activities for the program in Part I. Use Column H to describe improvements planned for 2016-2017 based on the assessment process. In Part II, please describe activities engaged in during 2015-2016 designed to close-the-loop (improve the program) based on assessment activities and the information gathered in 2014-2015. Thank you.

In the Department of Engineering, we use ABET language. "Assessment is one or more processes that identify, collect, and prepare data to evaluate the attainment of student outcomes and program educational objectives. ... "Evaluation is one or more processes for interpreting the data and evidence accumulated through assessment processes. Evaluation determines the extent to which student outcomes and program educational objectives are being attained. Evaluation results in decisions and actions regarding program improvement." (http://www.abet.org/network-of-experts/for-current-abet-experts/refresher-training/module-4-quality-improvement-of-student-learning/)

All assessment data are kept in notebooks in Technology 274, with one notebook per outcome (outcomes a-k are specified by ABET). Each semester, faculty members complete a form reporting on the assessments done in the courses each taught that semester. The assessment data for each outcome are evaluated on a three year schedule. That evaluation and minutes from the department meeting with the discussion and conclusion are presented below the table.

I. Program student learning outcomes (SLOs) assessed in this cycle, processes, results, and recommendations.

A. Which of the	B. When	C. What	D. Who was	E. What is the	F. What were	G. What were	H. What
program SLOs	was this	method was	assessed?	expected	the results of	the	changes/improvements to
were assessed	SLO last	used for	Please fully	achievement level	the	department's	the <u>program</u> are planned
during this	assessed?	assessing the	describe the	and how many or	assessment?	conclusions	based on this assessment?
cycle? Please	Please	SLO? Please	student	what proportion of		about student	
include the	indicate	include a copy	group(s) and	students should be		performance?	
outcome(s)	the	of any rubrics	the number	at it?			
verbatim from	semester	used in the	of students				
the assessment	and year.	assessment	or artifacts				
plan.		process.	involved.				

/la\ 4la a la na a al	F	/la\ := =	ALLDCE	A+ + 750/ -f	The seal	Ma sanahuda d	Manuall makes arms that
(h) the broad education	Every	(h) is supposed	All BSE	At least 75% of	The goal was	We concluded	We will make sure that
necessary to	outcome	to be assessed	seniors in EN	students will	met in Spring	that our	assessments are done in EN
understand the	is	in EN 343	487 in Spring	discuss their	2013, 2014,	students are	343 in the future. We
impact of	assessed	Engineering	2013, 2014,	project results	and 2016,	meeting this	currently ask students to
engineering	every	Economics, but	2015, and	with respect to	but not in	criterion.	write separately on the
solutions in a	semester.	we have not	2016.	global and societal	2015 when		impact of solutions and on
global,	Outcome	had such		issues (including	only five of		sustainability; we will
economic,	(h) was	assessments. It		sustainability	the seven		integrate those required
environmental, and societal	last	is assessed for		aspects of their	reports		paragraphs into one.
context	evaluated	the BSE		projects) as	(71%) had		
CONTEXT	in Spring	students in		evidenced by	strong		
	2016.	their senior		inclusion of such	discussions.		
		project class,		sections in their			
		EN 487.		final reports.			
(i) a recognition	Every	(i) Is assessed	All students	In EN 101, the goal	The goals	We concluded	We plan to be more explicit
of the need for,	outcome	in EN 101 and	in EN 101 in	varies somewhat	were met in	that our	with students on what we
and an ability to engage in life-	is	EN 487	Fall 2014,	depending on who	all classes	students are	want in the paragraph
long learning	assessed		Spring 2015,	is teaching the	except EN	meeting this	describing their lifelong
long learning	every		Fall 2015,	course but the	487 in Spring	criterion.	learning. We will continue
	semester.		and Spring	following is typical:	2015, when 9		to require a paragraph
	Outcome		2016.	The goal for this	out of 16		related to lifelong learning:
	(i) was last		Assessment	assignment is for	students and		describe what you already
	evaluated		involves a	70% of all students	3 out of 7		knew; describe what you
	in Spring		question on	to score at least 8	projects gave		needed to learn to
	2016.		the midterm	of 10 available	clear		complete the project; and
			concerning	points.In EN 487,	statements		describe how you learning
			lifelong	each team must	on lifelong		that material. The
			learning. All	include a	learning.		instructions to students in
			BSE students	paragraph			EN 487/488 and the rubric
			in EN 487 in	describing how			will be revised to reflect this
			Spring 2013,	they learning new			change.
			2014, 2015,	material in order			
			and 2016.	to complete their			
				project.			
				l .	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

Comments: The chart below is a summary of the assessments for outcome (h). This summary was discussed by the faculty and the result of that discussion is show below. A similar chart and discussion are also attached below for outcome (i).

(h) the broad education necessary to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global, economic, environmental, and societal context

(h) the broad education necessary to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global, economic, environmental, and societal context.

a global, e	conomic, env	rironmer	ital, and societal context.		_
Course	Semester	Goal met?	Notes	IE, E, or both?	
EN 343	Fa 15	moti	Notes	Both	1
EN 487/488	Sp 13	Yes	Five of six reports included appropriate discussion of global and societal issues. All discussed sustainability	Both	Jaksic
EN 487/488	Sp 14	Yes	Four of five five teams discussed global and societal issues: factory in China, recycling. Only the Open-Source Robotic Arm did not discuss such issues. They did not generally include a separate section on such issues.	Both	Jaksic
EN 487/488	Sp 15	Yes	Seven of nine reports had strong discussions. Four projects were related to sustainability. Topics discussed included material choice, utilization of resources, energy use, and more.	Both	Fraser
		Yes	Both primarily IE teams had projects related to sustainability (evacuation and Pueblo county energy savings) and their discussions were strong.	IE	Fraser
		No	Five of the seven (71%)BSE reports had strong discussions.	EN	Jaksic
EN 487/488	Sp16	Yes	The two reports had adequate, but not really strong sections.	IE	Fraser
EN 487/488	Sp16				Jaksic

The evidence shows that our students are able to understand and discuss the impact of engineering solutions.

We stress sustainability as the topic for students to address since sustainability includes the global, economic, environmental and societal context.

Jane Fraser, 11 May 2016

9 May 2016 discussion:

We should continue to ask senior project teams to write a separate paragraph, even if the entire project has a focus on such impacts because we want them to recognize and focus on these ideas at some point during the project. We currently ask teams to provide two paragraphs:

The report must include a section on the impact of your proposed solution in a **global and societal context**. Issues you may consider include: impact on workers, impact on the local community, environmental issues, and other relevant issues facing the community, state, nation, and world.

The report must include a section on **sustainability** aspects of the project. Topics may include optimization of resources, product life cycle, benefits to the current and future generations, etc.

We will integrate these two paragraphs into one, since sustainability involves a global, economic, environmental and societal context.

We think that our PROPEL funded emphasis on integrating sustainability throughout the curriculum has changed the curriculum and has had an impact on our students. For example, in the senior seminar in fall 2015, Professor Bedoya asked the students to brainstorm sustainability issues in the design of a smart phone and they were successful, with industrial engineering students focusing on manufacturing and material choice and engineering students focusing on design and energy use. We are having an impact on our students. They have to get it: it's their earth.

This section is worth 5 points of the final grade and will be graded using the following rubric:

Points	
5	Impacts of science or technology that are mentioned are appropriate, and the explanation of those impacts is complete. Tradeoffs are considered, as well as how the choice of engineering design affects the impacts.

4	Impacts discussed are appropriate, but explanation is incomplete or unclear.
3	Explanation is clear, but impacts discussed are incomplete or only somewhat appropriate.
2	Impacts mentioned are incomplete or only somewhat appropriate, and explanation is incomplete or unclear.
1	Impacts mentioned are either very obvious or not important, and there is no explanation of them.
0	Section is omitted or has none of the features described above.

Also, the report must include a section on **sustainability** aspects of the project. Topics may include optimization of resources, product life cycle, benefits to the current and future generations, etc.

This section is worth 5 points of the final grade and will be graded using the following rubric:

Points	
5	Section consists of one or more paragraphs. Sustainability aspects are well documented and integrated within the project.
4	Section consists of a single paragraph with appropriate examples, but justifications are incomplete or vague.
3	Section consists of a single paragraph but is not integrated into the project.
2	Section includes a single sentence.
1	Sustainability is mentioned only as a part of another objective.

0	Section is omitted.

(i) a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning

We focus on a recognition of the need for life-long learning in EN 101 and assess it by asking on the midterm why engineers need to engage in life-long learning. We all reinforce life-long learning in all Engineering courses. In EN 487/488, the students discuss how you detect and remedy a gap in knowledge; we will make sure the discussion covers the points we discussed. We will assess lifelong learning in EN 487/488 by having the students include a section on it in their reports.

Points we will stress in EN 487/488 discussion:

You detect a gap in knowledge when someone point it out to you, when you feel a lack of certainty, or when you have questions you can't answer. You fill a gap in knowledge by reading books, industry publications, and articles. You can find and ask an expert. In some cases you can learn by trial and error: you can try, make errors, and fix what you did. If you forget something from a previous course, you need to learn it again. Part of life-long learning is reviewing what you knew once so you can apply it again. As you progress in your career, you will accumulate knowledge, but you will also gain professional wisdom. Remembering concepts is more important than details. You need to know where you can find answers. You need to know who you can ask to find answers.

Assessment in EN 487/488: The Senior Design final report must include a section "in which you (briefly) describe knowledge that you did not learn in any engineering course, but that you had to learn in order to complete your project. This section is meant to demonstrate your ability to engage in lifelong learning. How did you determine what you needed to learn? How did you select the material and the learning method you used?"

That section is worth 5 points of the final grade and will be graded using the following rubric:

Points	
5	Describes clearly knowledge that was needed and why it was needed; how learning material was located and evaluated; how a learning method was selected; material used to learn the new knowledge; and how the knowledge was applied in the project.
4	All required topics are covered but some are not clearly described.

3	Description is clear but some required topics are omitted.
2	Some required topics are omitted and others are not clearly described.
1	The required section is present, but only minimally completed.
0	Section is omitted or has none of the features described above. Project did not involve learning and using knowledge not learned in an engineering course.

From 10 May 2016 department meeting minutes:

Leonardo reported on a discussion at the IISE national meeting. People recommended against using multiple choice questions to assess this outcome (we already do not do this) and recommended getting students into student organizations to promote lifelong learning. Programs assess the outcome by looking at the percent of students in student organizations. Our department pays the first year of student membership in any one professional organization: IISE, IEEE, ASME, SWE, NSBE, MAES, SHPE; we will put a permanent announcement on the Engineering Majors blackboard so students are aware of this policy.

Jude described how Space Grant projects require lifelong learning. In the required reports, he asks students to answer questions such as: what did you learn, how did you know you needed to learn this material, and how did you choose to learn the material. He doesn't require students to answer all questions. He plans to add examples of good answers to future instructions for the report.

We agreed with Jane's conclusion that we have a good strategy for promoting lifelong learning throughout the program and we have a good method of assessment. The evidence is that our students are meeting this criterion.

We discussed our assessment of lifelong learning in the senior project classes, EN 487 and EN 488. We agreed that most of the attention in that class is appropriately on the project. We will continue to require a paragraph related to lifelong learning: describe what you already knew; describe what you needed to learn to complete the project; and describe how you learning that material. The instructions to students in EN 487/488 and the rubric will be revised to reflect this change.

II. Follow-up (closing the loop) on results and activities from previous assessment cycles. In this section, please describe actions taken during this cycle that were based on, or implemented to address, the results of assessment from previous cycles.

A. What SLO(s) did you address? Please include the outcome(s) verbatim from the assessment plan.	B. When was this SLO last assessed? Please indicate the semester and year.	C. What were the recommendations for change from the previous assessment?	D. Were the recommendations for change acted upon? If not, why?	E. What were the results of the changes? If the changes were not effective, what are the next steps or the new recommendations?
(d) an ability to function on multi-disciplinary teams,	Every outcome is assessed every semester. Outcome (d) was last evaluated in Fall 2014.	"We plan to determine if we are teaching the correct content by asking our advisory boards what they want graduates to know; we plan to review how and what we are teaching about teamwork; and we plan to review how we are assessing teamwork."	We had an indepth discussion during our BSE advisory board meeting of what the companies look for in teamwork. We worked with Barb Hadley and Fred Stulz to develop a new course on teamwork.	In Spring 2016, the new course was offered as EN 491. We will offer it again in Fall 2016. We are working on plans to make this a permanent course.

Comments: