Colorado State University – Pueblo Academic Program Assessment Report for AY 2013-2014

Program:____Military Science (MS), Army ROTC______

Completed by:___Keel, Mark R. CPT_____

Assessment contributors (other faculty involved in this program's assessment): ______

Please complete this form for <u>each undergraduate, minor, certificate, and graduate program</u> (e.g., B.A., B.S., M.S.) in your department. Please copy any addenda (e.g., rubrics) and paste them in this document, and submit it to the dean of your college/school as per the deadline established. The dean will forward it to me as an email attachment before June 1, 2015. You'll also find the form at the assessment website at http://www.colostate-pueblo.edu/Assessment/ResultsAndReports/Pages/default.aspx.

Please describe the 2014-2015 assessment activities for the program in Part I. Use Column H to describe improvements planned for 2015-2016 based on the assessment process. In Part II, please describe activities engaged in during 2014-2015 designed to close-the-loop (improve the program) based on assessment activities and the information gathered in 2013-2014. Thank you.

I. Program student learning outcomes (SLOs) assessed in this cycle, processes, results, and recommendations.

A. Which of the	B. When	C. What	D. Who was	E. What is	F. What	G. What were the	H. What
program SLOs	was this	method was	assessed?	the expected	were the	department's	changes/improvements
were assessed	SLO last	used for	Please fully	achievement	results of	conclusions about	to the <u>program</u> are
during this	assessed?	assessing the	describe the	level and	the	student	planned based on this
cycle? Please	Please	SLO? Please	student	how many or	assessment	performance?	assessment?
include the	indicate	include a copy	group(s) and	what	?		
outcome(s)	the	of any rubrics	the number	proportion of			
verbatim from	semester	used in the	of students	students			
the assessment	and year.	assessment	or artifacts	should be at			
plan.		process.	involved.	it?			
All SLOs were	Spring,	1. Exams	All students	Achievement	See	Four MS IVs were	Increase emphasis on the
assessed this	2014	2. Leadership	across all	level is	comments	commissioned	importance of high
cycle		assessments	Military	measured by		meeting our annual	academic performance:
		3. Leadership	Science	meeting the		goal. Cadets show	mandatory tutoring for
		Training	classes (7 MS	minimum		great potential as	anyone below a 2.8 GPA;

Date: 13 May 2015_____

Due: June 1, 2015

Exercises	I, 4 MS II, 7 MS III, 4 MS IV) were evaluated as	requirement to progress to the next MS/ Class	leaders base on character and decision making	grade checks with each Cadet's professors per semester quarter.
	appropriate for their current academic	level. 100% of enrolled students need to meet	but academically, students continue to barely meet requirements with only a few	
	year. MS IIIs will receive further development	these requirement or face disenrollmen	performing above average. Cadets have shown great improvement in	
	and assessment during Cadet Summer	t from the program.	physical fitness with all passing the APFT. One MS III is pending a medical	
	Training.		review board due to extensive recovery time for an injury.	

Comments: All MS IVs successfully complete the ROTC program and commissioned into the Army as Second Lieutenants; All MS IIIs meet the requirements to advance to the MS IV level; 2 of 4 MSIIs met the requirements to enter the advanced course (1- did not get accepted into the nursing program; 1- did not meet the minimum GPA to advance.)

II. Follow-up (closing the loop) on results and activities from previous assessment cycles. In this section, please describe actions taken during this cycle that were based on, or implemented to address, the results of assessment from previous cycles.

did you address? SL Please include Ple the outcome(s) se verbatim from the assessment plan.	When was this O last assessed? lease indicate the emester and year.	C. What were the recommendations for change from the previous assessment? Cadet Command Curriculum Department will deliver a newly designed course plan beginning with the MS I	D. Were the recommendations for change acted upon? If not, why? Yes	E. What were the results of the changes? If the changes were not effective, what are the next steps or the new recommendations? The new MS I curriculum format was executed and found to effectively increase interaction from the students resulting in a greater understanding of the material; facilitated more application of the subject rather that
corresponding with course material taught in class. Examples include land navigation, basic rifle marksmanship, squad tactics. Patrolling tactics, and first aid.		class in Fall 2014 and continue with each MS class each year after. The new course plan will be based on the ELM. Develop a more individualized fitness program to address the student's weaknesses and better prepare them for the APFT.		straight lecture (send and receive mode) style of teaching. The Experiential Learning Model was also applied to Labs. Instead of feeding the Student a scenario then simply performing a practiced task, they were given the opportunity to operate under the Mission Command Concept. They developed a plan to accomplish the commander's intent (outcome based training), execute the plan and conducted After Action Reviews to identify lessons learned. Performance drove subsequent missions. All Students passed the Army Physical Fitness Test but there is still a need for

Comments: