Colorado State University – Pueblo Academic Program Assessment Report for AY 2015-2015

Program: Sociology and Sociology/Criminology BA/BS

Completed by: <u>Susan Calhoun-Stuber, Chair, Dept. of Sociology & Anthropology</u>

Assessment contributors (other faculty involved in this program's assessment): <u>Robert Dunkley</u>

Please complete this form for <u>each undergraduate, minor, certificate, and graduate program</u> (e.g., B.A., B.S., M.S.) in your department. Please copy any addenda (e.g., rubrics) and paste them in this document, and submit it to the dean of your college/school as per the deadline established. The dean will forward it to me as an email attachment before June 2, 2014. You'll also find the form at the assessment website at http://www.colostate-pueblo.edu/Assessment/ResultsAndReports/Pages/default.aspx.

Please describe the 2014-2015 assessment activities for the program in Part I. Use Column H to describe improvements planned for 2015-2016 based on the assessment process. In Part II, please describe activities engaged in during 2014-2015 designed to close-the-loop (improve the program) based on assessment activities and the information gathered in 2013-2014. Thank you.

A. Which of the D. Who was B. When C. What method E. What is the F. What were G. What were the H. What assessed? program SLOs was this was used for expected the results of department's changes/improvements to Please fully were assessed SLO last assessing the achievement the conclusions about the program are planned describe the during this cycle? assessed? SLO? Please level and how assessment? student based on this assessment? student group(s) **Please include** Please include a copy of many or what performance? and the number the outcome(s) any rubrics used indicate proportion of of students or verbatim from in the the students artifacts the assessment semester should be at assessment involved. it? plan. and year. process. SLO #4 Spring 2014 90% of senior 69% of the Results fall below Using critical Senior level Re-examine program curriculum, Students will be able thinking rubric critical thinking Sociology papers were expectations of senior and specifically required core (attached), 13 majors should courses, in relation to teaching to engage in critical papers written scored proficient level student thinking about student papers during fall 2014 be proficient on on **SLO #4** critical thinking and analytic skills performance on **SLO #4** various aspects of were scored by in an upper SLO#4 in relation to examining social life social life and sociology faculty division course; # and organization of papers = 13organization. including crime and criminal justice institutions.

I. Program student learning outcomes (SLOs) assessed in this cycle, processes, results, and recommendations.

Due: June 1, 2015

Date: May 29, 2015

II. Follow-up (closing the loop) on results and activities from previous assessment cycles. In this section, please describe actions taken during this cycle that were based on, or implemented to address, the results of assessment from previous cycles.

A. What SLO(s) did you address? Please include the outcome(s) verbatim from the assessment plan.	B. When was this SLO last assessed? Please indicate the semester and year.	C. What were the recommendations for change from the previous assessment?	D. Were the recommendations for change acted upon? If not, why?	E. What were the results of the changes? If the changes were not effective, what are the next steps or the new recommendations?
SLO #1 Students will be able to comprehend and criticize the major theoretical perspectives that inform modern sociological and criminological thought. Specifically, students will be able to: a.) show what these perspectives have in common (the sociological perspective) and how they differ (different theoretical approaches), and b.) criticize these different approaches in terms of their explanatory strengths and weaknesses for purposes of understanding what each reveals and what each obscures.	Spring 2013	To consider using alternate assessment tools, rather than rely exclusively on the Sociology MFT which covers a different knowledge base than offered in the curriculum of our program.	Yes, the recommendations were acted upon during AY 2013- 2104.	SLO#1 and SLO#3 were not assessed in AY 2014- 2015; attention in this cycle was focused on critical thinking because the results on this learning outcome were the lowest relative to expectations. The change in assessment methods from 2013 to 2014 allowed for more accurate assessment of SLO #1 in relation to theoretical perspectives covered in our curriculum; however because the results in the 2014 assessment for SLO #1 still fell below expectations, we will continue to examine content and assignments in core courses to determine what changes can be implemented in order to more effectively teach comprehension and application of major theoretical perspective in the discipline. In AY 2015-2016 the program assessment plan will be revised to include curriculum mapping and reevaluation of student learning outcomes, assessment measures, and assessment timeline.
SLO#3 Students will learn to apply social analysis to substantive social issues and problems,	Not previously formally assessed	No recommendations for change in relation to SLO#3 as assessment results during AY 2013-2014 met expectations for senior sociology majors		See above action steps

emphasizing crime and deviance, but also including ethnicity, gender, inequality, power, and globalization. Specifically, they will be able to apply sociological and criminological theories and methods to these substantive areas in order to understand social problems, in particular crime and deviance, and inform crime-related social policy. SLO #4 Students will be able to engage in critical thinking about various aspects of social life and organization, including crime and criminal justice institutions.	Spring 2013	To consider using alternate assessment tools, rather than rely exclusive on the Sociology MFT	Yes, the recommendations were acted upon during AY 2013- 2104 and again in AY 2014- 2015	The change allowed for appropriate assessment of SLO #4 but because the results fell below expectations, we will examine assignments in core courses to determine what changes can be implemented in order to more effectively teach critical thinking skills.
---	-------------	---	---	---

Comments: