Colorado State University – Pueblo Academic Program Assessment Report for AY 2014-2015

Due: June 1, 2015

Date: 5-14-2015

Program: Social Work

Completed by: Carol L. Langer

Assessment contributors (other faculty involved in this program's assessment): ______

Please complete this form for <u>each undergraduate, minor, certificate, and graduate program</u> (e.g., B.A., B.S., M.S.) in your department. Please copy any addenda (e.g., rubrics) and paste them in this document, and submit it to the dean of your college/school as per the deadline established. The dean will forward it to me as an email attachment before June 2, 2014. You'll also find the form at the assessment website at <u>http://www.colostate-pueblo.edu/Assessment/ResultsAndReports/Pages/default.aspx</u>.

Please describe the 2014-2015 assessment activities for the program in Part I. Use Column H to describe improvements planned for 2015-2016 based on the assessment process. In Part II, please describe activities engaged in during 2014-2015 designed to close-the-loop (improve the program) based on assessment activities and the information gathered in 2013-2014. Thank you.

I. Program student learning outcomes (SLOs) assessed in this cycle, processes, results, and recommendations.

A. Which of the	B. When	C. What	D. Who was	E. What is	F. What	G. What were the	H. What
program SLOs	was this	method was	assessed?	the	were the	department's	changes/improvements
were assessed	SLO last	used for	Please fully	expected	results of the	conclusions about	to the <u>program</u> are
during this	assessed?	assessing the	describe the	achievement	assessment?	student	planned based on this
cycle? Please	Please	SLO? Please	student	level and		performance?	assessment?
include the	indicate	include a copy	group(s) and	how many			
outcome(s)	the	of any rubrics	the number	or what			
verbatim from	semester	used in the	of students	proportion			
the assessment	and year.	assessment	or artifacts	of students			
plan.		process.	involved.	should be at			
				it?			
Engage	Fall 2014	ACAT	Seniors in the	80% of our	Only 14 of	We have scored	Next academic year, we
diversity and			first	students	28 students	low on this SLO for	are using texts that use
difference in	All of our	We administer	semester of	should score	were at or	years. We've	theories in discussing
practice	SLOs have	this exam	their final	at or above	above the	added content,	practice with diverse

multiple measures, at least one direct measure, and at least one indirect measure.	every year.	internship. There were 28 students who took the exam.	the 50 th percentile; the aggregate score will be at or above the 50 th percentile; the aspiration percentile is the 70th.	50 th percentile; thus, only 50% of our students scored at or above the 50 th percentile. The aggregate score was the 43 rd percentile.	revised courses, and have required experiential activities; however, our scores have tended to be low. The conclusion we have tentatively reached is that the ACAT questions are application questions, and we have taught primarily from a knowledge base. So, we are changing our texts and our pedagogy.	populations. Past texts have focused only on the populations. We will also utilize case scenarios and application-based assignments.

Comments:

II. Follow-up (closing the loop) on results and activities from previous assessment cycles. In this section, please describe actions taken during this cycle that were based on, or implemented to address, the results of assessment from previous cycles.

A. What SLO(s)	B. When was this	C. What were the	D. Were the	E. What were the results of the
did you address?	SLO last assessed?	recommendations for change	recommendations for	changes? If the changes were not
Please include	Please indicate the	from the previous	change acted upon? If not,	effective, what are the next steps or
the outcome(s)	semester and year.	assessment?	why?	the new recommendations?
verbatim from				
the assessment				

plan.			
The social worker shall apply ethical principles.	Recommendations for change included creating a required course in ethics and values.	We created a required course. On the basis of last year's SLO assessment, that course has subsequently been revised to include case study content, including models of resolving ethical dilemmas.	The result from the most recent test, Fall 2014, is that the aggregate score increased to the 46 th percentile, 5 points higher than the last measurement. We can follow this longitudinally to identify whether or not the course revision has helped to increase our score.

Comments:

Respectfully submitted,

Carol L. Langer, Department Chair