Colorado State University – Pueblo Academic Program Assessment Report for AY 2013-2014

Due: June 1, 2015

Program: Social Science

Completed by: Susan Calhoun-Stuber and Elizabeth Grutt

Assessment contributors (other faculty involved in this program's assessment): \_\_\_\_\_

Please complete this form for <u>each undergraduate</u>, <u>minor</u>, <u>certificate</u>, <u>and graduate program</u> (e.g., B.A., B.S., M.S.) in your department. Please copy any addenda (e.g., rubrics) and paste them in this document, and submit it to the dean of your college/school as per the deadline established. The dean will forward it to me as an email attachment before June 2, 2015. You'll also find the form at the assessment website at <u>http://www.colostate-pueblo.edu/Assessment/ResultsAndReports/Pages/default.aspx</u>.

Please describe the 2014-2015 assessment activities for the program in Part I. Use Column H to describe improvements planned for 2015-2016 based on the assessment process. In Part II, please describe activities engaged in during 2014-2015 designed to close-the-loop (improve the program) based on assessment activities and the information gathered in 2014-2015. Thank you.

I. Program student learning outcomes (SLOs) assessed in this cycle, processes, results, and recommendations.

A. Which of the program SLOs were assessed during this cycle? Please include the outcome(s) verbatim from the assessment plan.	B. When was this SLO last assessed? Please indicate the semester and year.	C. What method was used for assessing the SLO? Please include a copy of any rubrics used in the assessment process.	D. Who was assessed? Please fully describe the student group(s) and the number of students or artifacts involved.	E. What is the expected achievement level and how many or what proportion of students should be at it?	F. What were the results of the assessment?	G. What were the department's conclusions about student performance?	H. What changes/improvements to the <u>program</u> are planned based on this assessment?
We planned to assess SLO 1 Think critically, such that the student will be able to: Define theory and describe its role in building social science knowledge; Compare and	NA (Assessment plan developed in 2011-2012 This was to be the first year to assess SLO 1)	Due to the lack of Social Science students who met the evaluation criteria, that of senior status and registered in an upper division Social Science elective,	NA	No assessment this cycle	NA	NA	Review and update program assessment plan in line with following proposed actions <u>Program level actions</u> <u>recommended</u> : 1. Re-evaluate the status of all course syllabi

Date: May 29, 2015

contrast foundational	assessment of SLO	2. Find qualified individuals to
theories and	#1 was not done	
essential		update the course syllabi
perspectives and	during this cycle	& evaluate costs of new course
their underlying		Creation to be able to offer
assumptions in the		some integrated social science
core social science		offerings
disciplines; Explain		3. Revision* of social science
how theories and		major requirements to include
perspectives reflect		9-12 credits of NEW Social
the historical context		Science courses
of times and cultures		4. Revision of social science
in which they were		
developed; Select		major requirements to include
one or more basic		a "track" and "no track" option
theoretical		with identified areas of
orientations or		concentration Example:
perspectives and		A. Track = 12 [credit] core, 24
apply them to a		track, 6 electives B. No Track =
current or historical		15 (1 <sup>st</sup> discipline), 12 (2 <sup>nd</sup>
event or series of		discipline), 3 electives
events; Differentiate		5. Revision of recognized core
key cultural,		disciplines to include:
economic, political,		Anthropology, History ( <u>Chicano</u>
and social		<u>Studies</u> ), Political Science,
phenomena that influence individuals		Psychology, Sociology, and
and social structures		
and illustrate their		Women's Studies (Cultural
impacts, both		Geography, <u>Media &amp; Society</u> ,
historically and		Communication, Philosophy)
currently		(Underline = new core
,		Disciplines)
		*World & Western Civ. II (core
		course) is not offered and some of
		the course requirements for
		another core course, Principles of
		Macroeconomics, are not
		conducive to print based students, a population of students served by
		the social science major which at
		present is only offered as an
		external degree program. In
		addition to having an interest in
		developing a stronger
		developing a stronger

			multidisciplinary foundation for the major and integrative social science
			courses, it's important to re-
			evaluate the major's requirements
			for these reasons.

## II. Follow-up (closing the loop) on results and activities from previous assessment cycles. In this section, please describe actions taken during this cycle that were based on, or implemented to address, the results of assessment from previous cycles.

A. What SLO(s) did you address? Please include the outcome(s) verbatim from the assessment plan.	B. When was this SLO last assessed? Please indicate the semester and year.	C. What were the recommendations for change from the previous assessment?	D. Were the recommendations for change acted upon? If not, why?	E. What were the results of the changes? If the changes were not effective, what are the next steps or the new recommendations?
Assessment plan specified SLO #4 Demonstrate self awareness, cultural competence and social action, as well as an appreciation of multiple perspectives, such that the student will be able to: o Show how patterns of thought and knowledge, including one's own, are directly influenced by	First planned assessment cycle for SLO #4	Due to the lack of Social Science students who met the evaluation criteria, that of senior status and registered in an upper division Social Science elective, the Co-Chairs of the Social Science Committee recommended examining the current structure for gathering data and working on revising the current assessment plan to include a 4 year timeline for evaluation of all student learning outcomes with the intent to earmark 2 of the 4 learning outcomes in each annual cycle. This would allow	Social science program structure and curriculum was reviewed Information on other accredited "online" social science bachelor degree programs was compiled and reviewed Recommendations were drafted for possible changes to the major and are being evaluated for possible submission to the Curriculum and Academic Program Board in fall 2015 A student survey was developed and finalized in 2014 for implementation to begin with spring 2015 graduates	The next steps include finalizing decisions about program restructuring, including curricular changes, and submitting proposed changes in fall to appropriate approval bodies Use the newly developed student survey with 2015 graduates to determine its effectiveness in gathering data useful for evaluating and improving the program

r		
culture, history,	assessment of all 4 outcomes	
geography and	within the next 2 academic	
economic, political	year cycles.	
and social structures		
o Compare and	A survey for program	
contrast micro and	graduates to complete should	
macro perspectives	be developed as an indirect	
and illustrate how	measure of the program's	
each explains the	effectiveness in achieving	
status and	student learning outcomes.	
experiences, past		
and present, of		
minority or		
marginalized groups		
o Interpret how		
historical and social		
contexts create		
diverse groups and		
identities and shape		
the role of the		
individual within		
society and human		
relations across		
cultures		

## Comments: