Colorado State University – Pueblo Academic Program Assessment Report for AY 2014-2015

Program: Liberal Studies

Date: May 27, 2015

Completed by: Jeff Piquette

Assessment contributors (other faculty involved in this program's assessment): <u>The following faculty in Teacher Education participated in</u> <u>eportfolio assessment: Massey, Pettit, Piazza, and Piquette; complete program data were reviewed at the fall and spring department</u> <u>convocation meetings.</u>

Please complete this form for <u>each undergraduate, minor, certificate, and graduate program</u> (e.g., B.A., B.S., M.S.) in your department. Please copy any addenda (e.g., rubrics) and paste them in this document, and submit it to the dean of your college/school as per the deadline established. The dean will forward it to me as an email attachment before June 2, 2015. You'll also find the form at the assessment website at <u>http://www.colostate-pueblo.edu/Assessment/ResultsAndReports/Pages/default.aspx</u>.

Please describe the 2014-2015 assessment activities for the program in Part I. Use Column H to describe improvements planned for 2015-2016 based on the assessment process. In Part II, please describe activities engaged in during 2014-2015 designed to close-the-loop (improve the program) based on assessment activities and the information gathered in 2013-2014. Thank you.

I. Program student learning outcomes (SLOs) assessed in this cycle, processes, results, and recommendations.

A. Which of	B. When was	C. What	D. Who was	E. What is the	F. What were the	G. What were the	H. What
the program	this SLO last	method was	assessed?	expected	results of the	department's	changes/improve
SLOs were	assessed?	used for	Please fully	achievement level	assessment?	conclusions about	ments to the
assessed	Please indicate	assessing the	describe the	and how many or		student performance?	program are
during this	the semester	SLO? Please	student	what proportion			planned based on
cycle? Please	and year.	include a copy	group(s) and	of students			this assessment?
include the		of any rubrics	the number of	should be at it?			
outcome(s)		used in the	students or				
verbatim from		assessment	artifacts				
the		process.	involved.				
assessment							
plan.							

Liberal Studies	2013-2014;	For most SLOs,	All el ed	Expections	Details of	Although mean ratings	Goals for 2014-
uses the term	because the	the program	students	include all of the	assessment results	always showed student	2015 include:
"Standards"	state and	uses multiple	admitted to	following a) all	are summarized	proficiency was on the	
for program	national	measures to	TEP, 2014-	program	below in table 1. In	average above 3.00	
SLOs because	accrediting	draw	2015; all el ed	completers	general, results	across program	1. Continue
that is the	bodies for	conclusions	students	should receive	indicated that a)	outcomes,	
term used by	teacher	about student	completing	ratings of 3.00 or	>93% received	disaggregating this	monitoring whether the
its accrediting	education	and program	TEP, 2014-	higher on	proficient ratings;	information did	revisions to
bodies. SLOs	require the	success. See	2015; first	assessments of	mean ratings were	indicate strengths and	enhance
are included in	program to	table 1 (below).	year teachers	performance on	always above 3.00;	challenges (see table	mathematics
table 1 below,	monitor all	The program	in 2014-2015	all program	Although 3 of 42	1): performance in	content and
aligned with	program	has attached	(grads in 2013-	standards and	program completers	mathematics, including	instruction will
the program's	outcomes to	the program	2014). Please	avg. ratings by the	received ratings	application of math	influence student
broader goals	determine	rubrics used by	note: first year	group should be	below 3.0 on	knowledge in teaching	under the new
for students.	students'	faculty to	teacher data	>3.00, b) 100% of	specific standards,	continues to be a	program.
	eligibility for	assess	for last year's	program	all were proficient	relatively weak area.	
	licensure,all	performance	grads have not	completers and	enough on other	The math program was	
	SLOs are	for some SLOs.	yet been	>80% of individual	standards to be	revised for Liberal	
	assessed every	However,	returned and	students during	recommended for	Studies this last year,	2. Continue to
	year.	including all	are not	the year who took	licensure.	but only new students	monitor effects of
		rubrics would	included.	the exam	Weaknesses had	into the program are	changes in LS
		take over 50		received passing	been identified for	required to follow it.	major (new
	To determine	pages of space.		scores, and c)	these students early	Most of the students	concentration
	eligibility, the	To review all		>80% of	in their programs,	completing the	areas) on
	state of	rubrics, please		graduates' and	and were put on	program and being	licensure subtest
	Colorado	see:		their supervisors'/	support plans to	evaluated at admission	performance and
	requires	http://ceeps.col		principals' ratings	help ensure success.	are still under the old	performance
	completion of	<u>ostate-</u>		of performance	Although the plans	program. It is our hope	during student
	an assessment	pueblo.edu/TEP		are proficient	did not yield final	that the new math	teaching in all
	of graduates	/StandardsAnd		(3.00 or >) and	ratings above 3.0,	program will benefit	areas,
	and their	Goals/Pages/de		avg. ratings are	there was still great	the newer students and	disaggregating
	employers	<u>fault.aspx</u> .		>3.00 on	growth from where	turn this trend around.	performance for
	each year, as			evaluations of all	the students	We should know more	students

Comments: Liberal Studies has identified four goal areas aligned with the eight teacher education program goals and standards that address more specific SLOs for all students. Program standards are aligned with the Colorado Performance Standards for Teachers, as well as the standards of professional and learned societies, and performance on the standards is the crucial level of assessment in terms of student outcomes, not program goals. Teacher Education has developed rubrics (available at http://ceeps.colostate-pueblo.edu/TEP/StandardsAndGoals/Pages/default.aspx) that outline in considerable detail the specific criteria and dimensions of performance that define outcomes required for each standard, and these outcomes are aligned with Liberal Studies goals (see table 1). Also included on the rubrics are benchmarks for performance at three different points in the program – admission to education, admission to student teaching, and program completion. Ratings based on this evidence are completed by faculty using a scale of 1-4, with a rating of 3.00 as an indication of minimally "proficient" on a standard. Formal evaluations are conducted and recorded for each student at admission to education and program completion based on multiple types and sources of evidence.

Table 1. Overview of methods and tools used to assess student outcomes, as well as major conclusions/results of assessment in 2014-2015.

Liberal Studies Goal Area	Program Standards/SLOs	Measures/Tools	Major Results
 <u>Acquisition of Knowledge</u>. Graduates are broadly educated in the liberal arts and sciences: understanding the significant ideas, concepts, structures and values within disciplines, including theoretical, ethical, and practical implications. mastering content knowledge in all areas taught in elementary schools: the arts, math, literature and language, social sciences, sciences, and human development and learning. balancing a breadth of knowledge in the liberal arts and sciences with depth of knowledge within a discipline. 	 2.11 Is knowledgeable in literacy, math, and all content areas in which s/he is preparing to teach. For elementary education, content areas include: civics, economics, foreign language, geography, history, science, music, visual arts, and physical education (1a,b,c) 	 Proficiency Profile (PP) Faculty Recommendations Field Experience Teacher Evaluations GPA in math, composition, and speech courses Cumulative GPA at admission to student teaching Licensure Exam Scores 	At admission to education: When compared to junior students at regional comprehensive institutions nationally, LS students scored within the average range on the <i>PP</i> (within the SEM for each subtest and for overall performance). The overall mean <i>PP</i> scaled score in Fall 2014 was slightly lower than last year, but still higher than those for the previous nine years. Faculty ratings based on recommendations and eportfolio documents indicated that 88% met or exceeded the benchmark rating of 2.00 ('developing") on Standard 2.11. Those not meeting the benchmark were cited for difficulties in writing and math. Cum mean GPA (3.267) was above the GPA required (2.600) and only slightly lower than last year (which was a high year). Average GPAs in courses in writing (3.6), math (2.7), and speech (3.7) exceeded benchmarks, but math is very close. <u>Licensure Exam Scores:</u> 100% of program completers passed the licensure exam; the program uses 3 statistics to track student progress: 1) the overall pass rate (average score for all takers; since some students take the test more than once, repeated takers can skew results), 1 st time pass rate (average score for each student the first time the test was taken), and last time pass rate (average score of students using the last test rather than first test taken). Averages for test administrations during the academic year were 60% (overall), 68% (1 st), and 89% (last). Strengths in subtest performance were seen in scores in English Language Arts and Science.

Liberal Studies Goal Area	Program Standards (SLOs)	Measures/Tools	Major Results
 <u>Construction of Knowledge.</u> Graduates demonstrate habits of thinking, including analytical skills, independent thinking, reasoned judgment, mature values, and imagination: utilizing the tools of inquiry of the humanities, arts, mathematics, and behavioral, social, and natural sciences to understand and evaluate ideas. developing habits of critical intellectual inquiry, including self-direction and self-reflection. making connections from different intellectual perspectives and multiple viewpoints to form cross- disciplinary connections. 	 2.10 Applies expert content knowledge to ensure, enrich and extend student learning. 3.3 Establishes a learning environment that promotes educational equity and implements strategies to address them (2a, 2c, 4e) 5.3 Creates and implements a range of standards-based long term plans, including thematic units, interdisciplinary/ integrated units, literature-based units (2c) 5.10 Works in cooperation with library, media and other resource specialists in providing student instruction on how to access, retrieve, analyze, synthesize, and evaluate information literacy skills (2d) 6.5 Draws upon a variety of sources as supports for development as a learner and a teacher, including colleagues and professional literature (2a, 2d) 8.7 Demonstrates flexibility in thinking and behavior; remains open-minded, reserving judgment for evidence (2b) 	 Eportfolio Ratings at Admission to Education* Faculty and Field Experience Teacher Recommendations Student Teacher Performance Ratings by Supervisors* Ratings by Graduates after one year of teaching Ratings by Supervisors after One Year of Teaching *Tool = Program Rubrics Ratings by graduates and their supervisors are not available until June 2015. 	 <u>At admission to education (2.10, 3.3, 8.7)</u>: Mean eportfolio ratings were in the "developing" range or higher for 96% of students, which is the benchmark for all three standards/outcomes evaluated at admission to education. Faculty ratings are based on both recommendations and eportfolio documents. Low ratings were mostly related to students simply not including or having incomplete work and/or artifacts in the portfolio so that faculty had to award lower ratings. <u>At program completion</u>: Mean performance ratings (for standards at left) all exceeded the 3.00 benchmark for "proficient;" mean ratings were 3.57(Standard 2.10), 3.67(3.3), 3.52(5.3), 3.47(5.10), 3.78(6.5), and 3.78(8.7). For all standards/outcomes, the benchmark was met or exceeded by >95% of the students. Among the 3 (of 42) students not meeting proficiency, 2 received a rating of <3.0 on standard 2.10; one of these students reached proficiency on standards 3.3, 6.5, and 8.7. Performance on standards 3.3, 5.3, and 8.7 were among those receiving the highest mean ratings among all standards/outcomes evaluated for elementary student teachers. Although above benchmark level, the average ratings for standard 5.10 were among the lowest for performance on all standards. Standard 6.5 had an average rating that was about right in the middle.

	Liberal Studies Goal Area	Program Standards (SLOs)	Measures/Tools	Major Results
3.	Communication of Knowledge.	8.9 Communicates through speaking, writing,	Proficiency Profile (PP)	At admission to education (8.9, 7.3):
	Graduates communicate effectively:	and listening in a professional level (3a,b)	Faculty Recs.	Mean eportfolio ratings for 7.3 for all LS
	a. writing clearly in a variety of	7.3 Uses technology to manage and	Field Experience Teacher	students were in the "developing" range,
	academic and practical formats.	communicate information (3c)	Evaluations	the benchmark for this outcome. Among
	b. speaking effectively in a variety of		• GPA in math,	the 7% who did not meet the benchmark
	settings.		composition, and speech	for standard 8.9, weaknesses were cited
	c. utilizing technology as a tool to		courses	in errors in writing among documents in
	inform and communicate.		• Eportfolio rating of these	the eportfolio.
			areas at admission to	Proficiency Profile scores were within 1
			education*	SEM of those of peers at other
			Student Teacher Derformance Batings*	comprehensive universities. Spring 2015
			Performance Ratings*	scores have not arrived as this report is
			*Tool = Program Rubrics	being written, but the mean standard
				score on the writing subtest for admitted
			Ratings by graduates and their	LS students in Fall 2014 was 115.20, an
			supervisors are not available	increase over the past 2 years (114.87,
			until June 2015.	114.60) . The avg. score for the national
				sample is 114.54.
				Mean GPAs remained above admission
				requirements; all eportfolio ratings were
				above the benchmark of 2.00; 91% met
				or exceeded the benchmark rating of 2.00
				("developing") on Standards 8.9 and 7.3.
				At program completion: Mean student
				teacher ratings were at or above
				benchmark levels. The average ratings in
				2014-2015 for these 2 standards were
-	Application of Knowledge Creducter	2.2 Develops reading comprehension and	• Enortfolio Datinas at	3.68 and 3.74. At admission to education (2.10): See
4.	Application of Knowledge. Graduates create standards-based learning	2.3 Develops reading comprehension and promotion of independent reading,	Eportfolio Ratings at Admission to Education	At admission to education (2.10): See results related to standard 2.10 in Goal 2.
	experiences that make knowledge	including: comprehension strategies for a	(2.10)*	
	accessible, exciting, and meaningful for	variety of genre, literary response and	 Faculty and Field 	At program completion: Mean ratings on
	all students:	analysis, content area literacy, and student	Experience Teacher	performance at completion of student
Us	ing multiple representations and	independent reading.	Recommendations	teaching were at or above benchmark
	explanations of disciplinary	2.4 Supports reading through oral and written	Student Teacher	levels for all standards. The table below
	concepts that capture key ideas and	language development including:	Performance Ratings by	summarizes the mean ratings of student
	link them to students' prior	developing oral proficiency in students;	Supervisors*	teachers in 2014-2015. Standards
	understandings.	development of sound writing practices,	Ratings by Graduates	receiving the highest mean ratings (above
		including language usage, punctuation,	after one year of teaching	3.65) and those receiving the lowest

Liberal Studies Goal Area	Program Standards (SLOs)	Measures/Tools	Major Results
 Using different viewpoints, theories, "ways of knowing," and methods of inquiry in teaching of subject matter content. a. Evaluating curriculum for their comprehensiveness, accuracy, and usefulness for representing particular ideas and concepts. b. Engaging students in generating knowledge and testing hypotheses according to the methods of inquiry and standards of evidence used in the discipline. 	 Program Standards (SLOs) capitalization, sentence structure, and spelling; the relationships among reading, writing, and oral language; vocabulary, and structure of standard English. 2.5 Utilizes Academic Standards in Reading and Writing for the improvement of instruction 2.6 Develops students' understanding and use of: number systems, geometry, measurement, statistics/ probability, functions, use of variables. 2.7 Utilizes Colorado Standards in Math for the improvement of instruction 2.8 Integrates literacy and mathematics into content area instruction (4f) 	Measures/Tools • Ratings by Supervisors after One Year of Teaching * Tool = Program rubrics Ratings by graduates and their supervisors are not available until June 2014.	Standard Student Teacher MN Rating 2.3 3.66 2.4 3.67 2.5 3.67 2.6 3.55 2.7 3.59 2.8 3.50 2.9 3.64 2.10 3.57 3.1 3.62
 c. Developing and using curricula that encourage students to see and interpret ideas from diverse perspectives. d. Creating interdisciplinary learning experiences that allow inquiry from several subject areas 	 content area instruction (4f) 2.9 Enhances content instruction through a thorough understanding of all CO standards and bases long-term and lesson planning on standards (4c) 2.10 Applies expert content knowledge to ensure, enrich and extend student learning (4a, b, d) 3.1 Employs a wide range of teaching techniques to match the intellectual, emotional, physical, and social level of each student, and chooses teaching strategies and materials to achieve different curricular purposes 5.3 Creates and implements a range of standards-based long term plans, including thematic, interdisciplinary, literature-based (4c, 4f) 5.4 Understands the cognitive processes associated learning (e.g., critical/ creative thinking, problem structuring and problem solving, invention, memorization and recall) and uses these learning processes so that students can master content standards (4d) 		5.3 3.52 5.4 3.65

II. Follow-up (closing the loop) on results and activities from previous assessment cycles. In this section, please describe actions taken during this cycle that were based on, or implemented to address, the results of assessment from previous cycles.

A. What SLO(s) did you address? Please include the outcome(s) verbatim from the assessment plan.	B. When was this SLO last assessed? Please indicate the semester and year.	C. What were the recommendations for change from the previous assessment?	D. Were the recommendations for change acted upon? If not, why?	E. What were the results of the changes? If the changes were not effective, what are the next steps or the new recommendations?
The following SLOs in Goal 1: 2.11 Is knowledgeable in literacy, math, and all content areas in which s/he is preparing to teach. For elementary education, content areas include: civics, economics, foreign language, geography, history, science, music, visual arts, and physical education (1a,b,c) The following SLOs in Goal 4: 2.6 Develops students' understanding and use of: number systems, geometry, measurement, statistics/ probability, functions, use of variables. 2.7 Utilizes Colorado Standards in Math for the improvement of instruction 2.8 Integrates literacy and mathematics into content area instruction (4f)	Spring 2015: student teacher and graduate/ supervisor results and admissions data (PP)	 Review content in ED 417 (Teaching Elementary Math) in terms of meeting new Colorado/Common Core Math standards. Change math core course from MATH 156 to MATH 109 to improve content for students. 	 No. Unfortunately, the instructor for this course, Dr. Jenny Piazza, was on medical leave for much of the fall semester and so no progress was made. Additionally, in the spring, neither the new Interim Associate Dean nor Dr. Piazza was aware of this as an action item. This will be implemented in 2015-2016. Yes. The program implemented a curriculum change in Fall 2014 to change the math requirements to allow MATH 109, MATH 121, MATH 156, or MATH 126 as LS requirements; the purpose is to provide students with a broader background in diverse areas of math prior to completion of MATH 360/361/362. 	Because changes in curriculum are still fairly new and most students taking the new math program won't be evaluated until next year at admission, we will not know effects until later. Similarly, because Dr. Piazza will not have a chance to do revisions to her course until Fall 2015, we will monitor the change in proficiency in math as the new requirement are implemented.

SLO in Goal 1: 2.11 Is knowledgeable in literacy, math, and all content areas in which s/he is preparing to teach. For elementary education, content areas include: civics, economics, foreign language, geography, history, science, music, visual arts, and physical education (1a,b,c)	2014-2015: licensure test data	Make changes in concentration areas and course content based on last year's review of standards in Liberal Studies.	Yes. The program implemented a new curriculum in Fall 2014 to change all concentrations, increasing them from 12 to 15 hours and strengthening content around the Colorado Academic Standards.	Because changes are relatively new and the majority of students in the new program will not be evaluated until next year when they are admitted to the program, not much is known at this time. Anecdotally, there appears to reason for optimism. A few upper division students have adopted the new catalog and are getting strong evaluations and test scores. The numbers are fairly low, though, so it is early to make general conclusions. We will continue to monitor the change in proficiency as the requirements are more fully implemented.
---	--------------------------------------	--	---	--