Colorado State University – Pueblo Academic Program Assessment Report for AY 2013-2014		Due: June 2, 2014
Program: Department of Nursing – MS	Date: _	
Completed by: Donna Wofford		

Assessment contributors (other faculty involved in this program's assessment): Joe Franta, Susan Williams, Peg Rooney, Kaleen Cullen

Please complete this form for <u>each undergraduate</u>, <u>minor</u>, <u>certificate</u>, <u>and graduate program</u> (e.g., B.A., B.S., M.S.) in your department. Please copy any addenda (e.g., rubrics) and paste them in this document, and submit it to the dean of your college/school as per the deadline established. The dean will forward it to me as an email attachment before June 2, 2014. You'll also find the form at the assessment website at http://www.colostate-pueblo.edu/Assessment/ResultsAndReports/Pages/default.aspx.

Please describe the 2013-2014 assessment activities for the program in Part I. Use Column H to describe improvements planned for 2014-2015 based on the assessment process. In Part II, please describe activities engaged in during 2013-2014 designed to close-the-loop (improve the program) based on assessment activities and the information gathered in 2012-2013. Thank you.

I. Program student learning outcomes (SLOs) assessed in this cycle, processes, results, and recommendations.

A. Which of the	B. When was	C. What method	D. Who was	E. What is the expected	F. What were the	G. What were the	H. What
program SLOs were	this SLO last	was used for	assessed? Please	achievement level (EAL) and	results of the	department's conclusions	changes/improveme
assessed during this	assessed?	assessing the SLO?	fully describe the	how many or what	assessment?	about student	nts to the <u>program</u>
cycle?	Please	Please include a	student group(s)	proportion of students		performance?	are planned based on
	indicate the	copy of any rubrics	and the number of	should be at it?			this assessment?
	semester	used in the	students or artifacts				
	and year.	assessment	involved.				
		process.		1000/ 6 1 1 1 11	- "	- "	
1. Integrate	New SLOs	Final	8 nurse	100% of students will	Pending Summer	Pending summer 2014	
evidence-based	as of fall	comprehensive	practitioner	score 4 or better on	2014	results with new	
practice, ethical	2013	oral exam	students who	evidence-based		curriculum	
decision making			will be	practice section of			
and technology			graduating	rubric (attached).			
into advanced			summer 2014	rabrie (attachea).			
				4000/ 5	E41 .	A11	0
nursing practice		Focused	29 students	100% of students will	EAL met:	Allowing two attempts	Continue with
		Physical Exam	enrolled in NSG	be observed by faculty	1 st 2 nd	resulted in 100% of	the same process
			562L Advance	to satisfactorily	time time	students satisfactorily	and reevaluate in
			Assessment Lab	complete a checklist	89% 100%	demonstrating major	2015.
			Spring 2014	(attached) stressing		safety components of	
				major safety		patient physical exam	
				components.			

		Ethical dilemma case study discussion	30 students enrolled in NSG 506 Roles, Ethics, and Issues fall 2013	100% of students will score 84% or better on case study rubric (attached).	EAL met: 100% of students scored 84% = 5 points or better on case study. N 30 Minimum 60.00 Maximum 70.00 Range 10.00 Average 68.23 Median 69.50 Standard Deviation 2.33 Variance 5.45	expertise for an advance beginner.	Continue to monitor for consistency
2. Utilize interprofessional collaboration to provide safe, quality, patient-centered care	New SLOs as of fall 2013	Group paper that develops a treatment plan for the case presented	10 NSG 633L Family Practicum III students/ groups 3 NSG 683L Psych/Mental Health Practicum III students/ groups Summer 2014 10 students	100% of groups will score 84% or better on paper rubric (attached).	Pending Summe 2014 Pending	Pending	Pending
		Survey	enrolled in NSG 622L Summer 2014	_			

II. Follow-up (closing the loop) on results and activities from previous assessment cycles. In this section, please describe actions taken during this cycle that were based on, or implemented to address, the results of assessment from previous cycles.

A. What SLO(s) did you address? Please include the outcome(s) verbatim from the assessment plan.	B. When was this SLO last assessed? Please indicate the semester and year.	C. What were the recommendations for change from the previous assessment?	D. Were the recommendations for change acted upon? If not, why?	E. What were the results of the changes? If the changes were not effective, what are the next steps or the new recommendations?
Follow Standards and Guidelines in Providing Safe, Patient-centered Care	Annually spring	Change assessment method to focused physical exam using a check list	Yes. Spring 2014 students observed by faculty to satisfactorily complete a focused physical exam checklist stressing major safety components	Moved safety components from 585 (which no longer exists) to 562L Advanced Assessment in the 2 nd semester of the program.
Utilize Best Current Evidence	Annually during last semester of the program	Piloted use of rubric that measures evidence-based practice during comprehensive oral exam summer 2013 and December 2013.	Yes. Rubric was used and critiqued by faculty as recommended.	Faculty continue to have discussion about the usefulness of the rubric, however, general consensus is to use revised verison for Summer 2014 and then reevaluate usefulness.
Communicate/ Collaborate	End of final practicum	Spring 2014 pilot tool and train preceptors for use	Unable to complete pilot tool	Will initiate fall 2014
Integrate Role	End of final semester	Add question related to 'role integration' on 5-minute employer survey. Associate dean wil negotiate with EBI to reinstate question on exit survey	No, SLOs change and 'integrate role' is no longer a SLO.	NA

I:Nursing/grad/assessment/2013-2014/NSG MS Assessment Reprot 2013-2014

Colorado State University-Pueblo Department of Nursing Masters Comprehensive Oral Examination Evaluation Rubric

The comprehensive oral examination provides an opportunity for the student to demonstrate synthesis of the knowledge and skills required of an advanced practice nurse. The examination is based on the following questions:

- 1. What significant problem would you identify in your area of practice and how would you use evidence-based practice, ethical decision making, technology, and patient centered care to address the problem as an advanced practice nurse?
- 2. What theoretical framework have you found to be most useful in your practice? Describe this framework and how it guides you in practice.

Scoring Procedure:

- ✓ Mark each criterion with a rating of 5,4,3,2, or 1. Criterion scores for passing the oral exam should be predominately 4's and 5's. The overall average needs to be greater than 3.5. A score of poor (2) or lower in any criterion will result in an unsuccessful attempt for the oral examination.
- \checkmark 5 = excellent
- √ 4 = good
- √ 3 = fair
- \checkmark 2 = poor
- ✓ 1 = very poor or absent

Each presenter will be graded by at least 3 faculty. Faculty scores will be compiled. A compiled final score of 84% or higher out of a possible 100% is required to pass the exam.

Presenter:	Faculty:	Final Score:	
		Quality of Presentation (15%)	
Criteria		Comments	Score
			5-4-3-2-1
Grammar and Spelling			
Use of Multimedia			
Organization of Presentation			
Presentation Skills			
APA Format/ References			

Practice Problem (15%)				
Criteria	Comments	Score		
		5-4-3-2-1		
Identification of Practice Problem				
Identification of Population Affected		!		
Significance of Problem		!		
Clarity of Roles and Intra-Professional and				
Inter-professional Relationships				

Evidenced-Based Practice (27%)					
Criteria	Comments	Score: 5 – 4 – 3 – 2 –1			
 Interpretation and Use of Research and/or Guidelines 					
Hierarchy of Evidence and/or Guidelines to Identified Practice Problem					
 Identification of Gaps in Research and/or Guidelines 					
 Implications of Research and/or Guidelines for Advanced Practice Nursing 					
 Identification of Legislative, Regulatory (scope) or Standards of Practice that Guide Advanced Practice Nursing and Affect the Identified Practice Problem 					
Description of Chosen Theory or Theoretical Framework and How It Guides Practice					
 Application of a Theory or Theoretical Framework to the Identified Practice Problem and the Advanced Practice Role 					

Ethical Decision Making (12%)				
Criteria	Comments	Score: 5 – 4 – 3 – 2 –1		
• Identification of Ethical Dilemmas and/or				
Potential Consequences in the Identified				
Practice Problem				
Implications of Ethical Dilemmas for				
Advanced Practice Nursing				
• Identification of Quality Improvement for				
Patient Safety				

Technology (15%)				
Criteria	Comments	Score: 5 – 4 – 3 – 2 –1		
Identification of Current Technology for				
Communication and Resources When				
Dealing with the Identified Practice				
Problem				
Application of Identified Current				
Technology				
Identification of Gaps in Technology				

Patient Centered Care (25%)					
Criteria	Comments	Score: 5 – 4 – 3 – 2 –1			
Management Strategies for Patient and Family					
 Treatment Strategies Application of Cultural Considerations Care Plan and Implications for Advanced Practice Nursing Identification of Health Resource Utilization and Consultation 					

Final Score Calculation				
	Percent of Final Score	Points Received	Percent of Your Score	
Quality of Presentation	15	/5		
Practice Problem	15	/5		
Evidence-Based Practice	27	/5		
Ethical Decision-Making	12	/5		
Technology	12	/5		
Patient-Centered Care	19	/5		
Total	100			

Ethical Decision Making

Discussion questions:

Please review the 20 minute slideshow and lecture on Racial Health Disparities and read the two articles posted for this week. Consider the following as you discuss those materials:

1) Utilize Christopher Johns' Ethical Mapping tool and the ANA Code of Ethics to frame your discussion.

a.

·•	7	T	Г		
	Patient's/Family's	Who had the authority to make			
	D	the decision/act within the	Tl- 1-4-2-1-4-1-		
	Perspective	situation?	The doctor's perspective.		
	If there is a conflict	The situation/dilemma	What ethical principles		
	of		inform this situation?		
	perspectives/values,		'Beneficence, malevolence,		
	how might these be		autonomy, utilitarianism,		
	resolved?		duty and virtue, moral		
			imperative'		
	The nurse[s]'	Consider the power of	The organization's		
	perspective	relationships/factors that	perspective		
		determined the way the			
		decision/action was actually			
		taken			
	T. 1. 2. (2004). D.		cond 1 25.11		
	John's C (2004) Recoming a reflective practitioner (2 nd ed.) Malden				

John's, C. (2004). *Becoming a reflective practitioner*. (2nd ed.). Malden, MA:Blackwell Publishing

- b. Link to ANA Code of Ethics with Interpretive Statements. <u>http://nursingworld.org/MainMenuCategories/EthicsStandards/CodeofEthicsforNurses/Codeof-Ethics.pdf</u>
- 2) What role does choice, availability and ethical judgment play in defining and addressing health disparities?
- 3) Consider various definitions of disparity found on pp. 430-431 of the Carter-Pokras and Baquet (2002) article -- do definitions impact upon the issue and its solutions? Do they capture issues presented by Kara James in her lecture?

Evaluation Rubric

CATEGORY	Excellent	Well Done	Good Job	Unsatisfactory
	(4 points)	(3 points)	(2 points)	(1 points)
Contributions	-Always provides	-Usually provides	-Sometimes provides	-Rarely provides useful
	useful ideas when	useful ideas when	useful ideas when	ideas when
Points-30%	participating in the	participating in the	participating in the	participating in the
	group or classroom	group or classroom	group or classroom	group or classroom
	discussion.	discussion.	discussion.	discussion.
	-A definite leader	-A strong group	-A satisfactory group	-Reluctant or refuses to
	who contributes a	member who	member who does	participate.
	high quality effort.	contributes well	what is required.	-No contribution by
	- Contributes	Uses minimal	-Contributes	due date
	thorough critique of	substantive	primarily personal	-Disrespectful
	appropriate outside	information and	opinion or personal	contribution
	sources	documentation	observation	
	- Responds	-Responds to others'	-Primarily poses	
	accurately and	input utilizing	questions to other	
	respectfully to	documented sources	group members	
	other's input	with minimal		
	documenting sources	critique of the		
	with critique	sources		
	-Goes beyond			
	required readings			
	and provides APA			
	citation of outside			
0 114 6	sources	D 11 11 11	D 11 144	D 11 1.1.
Quality of	Consistently Contributes and	Provides high quality	Provides work that	Provides work that
Work	Provides work of the	work.	occasionally needs to be checked/redone	usually needs to be
2011	excellent / Superior		by other group	checked/redone by others to ensure
30%	quality.		members to ensure	quality.
	quanty.		quality.	quanty.
Focus on the	Consistently stays	Focuses on the task	Focuses on the task	Rarely focuses on the
task	focused on the task	and what needs to be	and what needs to be	task and what needs to
	and what needs to be	done most of the	done some of the	be done. Lets others do
15%	done. Very self-	time. Other group	time. Other group	the work.
	directed.	members can count	members must	
		on this person.	sometimes prod, and	
			remind to keep this	
			person on-task.	
Preparedness	Brings needed	Often brings needed	Almost always	Often forgets needed
	materials into	materials to class and	brings needed	materials or is rarely
15%	discussion or class	is ready to contribute	materials but	ready to contribute to
	and is always ready	to learning activities.	sometimes is off task	learning activities.
	to interact /		or lacks contribution	
	contribute to		to learning activities.	
M!4	learning activities.	Dantin alarma airea	Ossasianall-	Danala, man Maran dan
Monitors	Routinely monitors the effectiveness of	Routinely monitors	Occasionally monitors the	Rarely monitors the
Group		the effectiveness of		effectiveness of the
Effectiveness	the group, and makes	the group and works	effectiveness of the	group and does not work to make it more
100/	suggestions to make it more effective.	to make the group more effective.	group and works to	effective.
10%	it more enective.	more effective.	make the group more effective.	enecuve.
Total Dointer			errective.	
Total Points:				

Student Name	<u>:</u>	Date		
Asthma:				
Focused Exam	(20 minutes max.)	Start Time	Finish Time	
5 pts	Hand washing			
10 pts	Chief Complaint:			
30 pts	History of Present II	Iness/Pertinent past medica	al history, surgical history, allergies, etc.	
Pertine Surgica Allergi	al history: None (5 pts es: NKDA (2 pts)	ory: Lives with a smoker, ast s)	hma since age 2 (5 pts) ly; Flovent 44 mcg 2 puffs BID (5 pts)	
		andmother (smoker) since s er – COPD; Parents deceased		
10 pts	Review of Systems (Focused based on most like	ely diagnosis)	
HEENT Respira Cardio time (2	: Recent illness (cold satory: Shortness of br : Chest tightness, fee	eath, breathing faster than Is like pulse is fast, night tin	exposure to allergens, etc., cough (2 pts)	
10 pts	Physical Exam (Focu	sed based on most likely di	agnosis, pertinent systems assessed)	
Genera	al, HEENT, Resp, Cardi	iac, Abdomen (2 pts each sy	ystem)	
10 pts	Physical Exam- Appr	ropriate techniques (5 pts);	Patient comfort/safety (5 pts)	
15 pts	Differential diagnosi	is- at least 3 (10 pts); Use of	f appropriate resources (5 pts)	
5 pts	•	one pharmacological and/o; Use of appropriate resourd	or one non-pharmacological if ces (1 pt)	
5 pts		•	nother smoking cessation, peak flows, 1 pt; Peak flow 1 pt; Medications 1 pt;	
Total/1	100			
Evaluator			Date	

Student Name			Date	
GERD/DYSPEP	SIA:			
Focused Exam	(20 minutes max.)	Start Time	Finish Time	
5 pts	Hand Washing			
10 pts	Chief Complaint:			
30 pts	History of Present III	lness: (Pertinent PMH, sur	gical history, allergies, etc.)	
Past M Surgica Allergi	y of Present Illness: se ledical History: (5 pts) al History: None (5 pts es: NKDA (2 pts) Med History: (5 pts) Fam	s) lications: (5 pts)		
10 pts	Review of Systems:	(Focused based on most lil	cely diagnosis)	
Skin: D EENT: I Chest/ Abdom month	ry, itching; no lesions Denies sore throat; Re Cardio: Denies SOB; Bl	ports dry cough (2 pts) P went up during labor but	t no meds needed & normal now (2 pts) a tubal ligation with C-section delivery 4	
10 pts	Physical Exam: (Focu	used based on most likely o	diagnosis; pertinent systems assessed)	
Genera	al, Skin, EENT, Chest, A	Abdomen (2 pts each system	m)	
10 pts	Physical Exam: (App	ropriate techniques (5 pts)	; patient comfort/safety (5 pts)	
Murph	y's sign negative , milo	d epigastric tenderness; mi	ld erythema in posterior pharynx	
15 pts	Differential diagnos	iis -at least 3 (10 pts); Appr	ropriate use of resources (5 pts)	
5 pts	Treatment (at least of pts); Appropriate use	,	one non-pharmacologic if appropriate) (4	
5 pts	fat diet, weight loss,	frequent small meals, don	ed to medications) elevate head of bed, low 't eat 2 hours before bedtime. (Diagnosis 1 mall meals, etc. 1 pt; Medication 1 pt; Follow	
Total/1	.00			
Evaluator			Date	

Student Name		Date		
PHARYNGITIS/T	ONSILLITIS ON THE PROPERTY OF			
Focused Exam (2	20 minutes max.)	Start Time	Finish Time	
5 pts	Hand washing			
10 pts	Chief Complaint:			
30 pts	History of Present Illnes	s/Pertinent past medica	l history, surgical history, allergies, etc.	
Pertiner Surgical Allergie Medicat Social H active (5		None (5 pts) y, 2010 (5 pts) tudent; Drinks 1-2 beers	per week; No tobacco or drugs; Not sexually	
10 pts	Review of Systems (Foc	used based on most like	ly diagnosis)	
HEENT: Skin: No Neck: De	lesions (1 pts) enies "stiff neck"; report	ty swallowing; ear press		
10 pts	Physical Exam (Focused	based on most likely dia	agnosis, pertinent systems assessed)	
General	(1), HEENT (3), Skin (1),	Neck (3), Abdomen (2)		
10 pts	Physical Exam- Appropr	iate techniques (5 pts); I	Patient comfort/safety (5 pts)	
Strep te	st negative			
15 pts	Differential diagnosis- a	t least 3 (10 pts); Use of	appropriate resources (5 pts)	
		pharmacological and/or e of appropriate resourc	r one non-pharmacological if es (1 pt)	
	up (Diagnosis 1 pt; Heal Medications 1 pt; Follov	th Promotion 1 pt; Non-	lration, hand hygiene, medication, & follow pharmacological treatment 1 pt;	
			Date	

Student Name	<u>:</u>	Date:		
Cluster Heada	ches:			
Focused Exam	(20 minutes max.)	Start Time	Finish Time	
5 pts	Hand washing			
10 pts	Chief Complaint:			
30 pts	History of Present III	ness/Pertinent past medi	cal history, surgical history, allergies, etc.	
Pertine Surgica Allergi Medica Social	al history: None (5 pts es: NKDA (2 pts) ations: Ibuprofen 800 History: Married; Con	ry: Arthritis; Fractured Lefs) mg prn; Tylenol 325 mg,	2 tablets prn;(5 pts) en; Chews tobacco 3-4 x day (5 pts)	
10 pts	Review of Systems (I	Focused based on most lik	kely diagnosis)	
HEENT stuffin headad MSK: C prn for	: Headache, 2 yrs (Day ess/tearing R eye; alco che (5 pts) Complains of mild join pain; "stiff neck" a w	ohol makes HA worse; mil t pain/stiffness at end of c eek ago but no fever or he	5 min. to 3 hours for 5 nights in a row; nasal d hearing loss (unrelated); Tylenol prn for day; no change in headaches; takes Ibuprofen	
10 pts	Physical Exam (Focus	sed based on most likely o	diagnosis, pertinent systems assessed)	
Genera	al (1), HEENT (5), MSK	(1), Neuro (3)		
10 pts	Physical Exam- Appr	opriate techniques (5 pts)	; Patient comfort/safety (5 pts)	
15 pts	Differential diagnosi	s- at least 3 (10 pts); Use	of appropriate resources (5 pts)	
5 pts	Treatment (at least of pts); Use of appropri		or one non-pharmacological if appropriate) (4	
5 pts		• ,	ness of triggers, rest, medication, & follow up harmacological treatment 1 pt; Medications 1	
Total/1	100			
Evaluator			Date	

Student Name			Date	
LOW BACK PAI	IN:			
Focused Exam	(20 minutes max.)	Start Time	Finish Time	
5 pts	Hand washing			
10 pts	Chief Complaint:			
30 pts	History of Present II	Iness/Pertinent past medi	ical history, surgical history, allergies, etc.	
Pertine Surgic Medica Social I	al history: Cholecyste ations: Ibuprofen 400	ry: Gallstones 2010, Fx R vectomy (5 pts) Allergies: 0-800 mg prn; Calcium 500 min. Assist.; no children;		
10 pts	Review of Systems (Focused based on most li	kely diagnosis)	
MSK: L bend o Neuro:	ow back pain radiatin ver (3 pts) Tingling R leg after ly	g down right leg (not con	sleeps 6 hours per night, etc. (2 pts) stant); lying worse than standing; hurts to sation to R leg intact (3 pts) day (2 pts)	
10 pts	Physical Exam (Focu	sed based on most likely	diagnosis, pertinent systems assessed)	
Genera	al (2), MSK (3), Neuro	(3), Abdomen (2)		
10 pts	Physical Exam- Appr	opriate techniques (5 pts); Patient comfort/safety (5 pts)	
Straigh	it leg test positive R			
15 pts	Differential diagnosi	is- at least 3 (10 pts); Use	of appropriate resources (5 pts)	
5 pts		one pharmacological and, Use of appropriate resou	or one non-pharmacological if urces (1 pt)	
5 pts		lealth Promotion 1 pt; No	fting, ice/heat & exercise; medication, & follo on-pharmacological treatment 1 pt;)W
Total/1	.00			
Evaluator			Date	

Evidence-Based Practice/ Family II Synthesis Paper Online Group

Guidelines and Grading Rubric

<u>Purpose:</u> To incorporate evidenced based practice using a patient experience (case study). This assignment is designed to help you identify and communicate in writing the most important (concise) points of history, assessment and APN interventions (pharmacology, CAM and other treatment options) using the highest level of evidence (practice guidelines, research, and other ways of knowing) available to support your practice as a leader to identify and reduce heathcare disparities in a vulnerable population as a Family Nurse Practitioner.

A topic list will be posted in blackboard discussion board at least 2 weeks prior to the due date. Each student can reserve one topic to do their paper. Each student will complete a paper and post it in your group discussion board Tuesday at midnight of the online week. Each student will read each group members paper (3 students in a group) and add referenced substantive comments. The student who wrote the original paper has 7 days to submit their final group paper incorporating all of the changes and references suggested by group members. All group members receive an average grade of the 3 papers, this is a group paper.

<u>Body of paper</u>: Length is required to be between 8 and 10 pages double spaced (not including title, abstract, appendix and reference pages). Any additional pages added to the <u>body</u> of the paper, *after page 10* will not be included in the grading process using the rubric below. The paper should be in APA 6th edition format, except the title page. Use Times New Roman and 12 point for the paper (100 points).

Elements must include but are not limited to:

- o **(5 points) APA Format and Grammar** (Don't forget about the graduate writing center through RAGE)
 - Abstract: Get the reader's attention, only use specific numbers that will get a reader to want to read your paper. See the APA manual for word limits and what information should be included in an abstract
 - ➤ Reference (In text and reference page), see APA manual for order of paper, proper citation and plagiarism information
 - ➤ Post to the draft SafeAssign well before assignment due, make corrections and submit final to the discussion board for your group.
- (10 points) Introduction (vulnerable population/treatment plan/interprofessional collaboration)
 - Summarize direction of your paper; don't repeat Abstract but add more detail (i.e. mortality rate or prevalence etiology and/or epidemiology in the US) as to why the topic is important to the FNP role, healthcare, economics, family genetics/genomics, etc.

o (25 Points) Review of medical topic

➤ Case Study (Concise): List all the significant history, cc, etc.- not all the normal information about the patient unless its substantiates the significance to the case to rule out differential diagnoses. The case study for the most key elements for the topic should be one to two paragraphs in length.

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENT FOR THIS SECTION CONTINUED ON THE NEXT PAGE

➤ Concise review of Epidemiology & Pathophysiology for Diagnosis: include differentials with rationales and diagnostics; may use table or other in appendix and refer to in this section of the paper, see APA for page order.

o (25 Points) Review of Literature and Research (Theory and practice)

Current research review (<5 years old or with instructor permission)

➤ Using a Practice guideline, Systematic Review <u>and</u> a minimum of 2 peer reviewed articles (should be referenced and summarized and concise) develop a plan of care.

Minimum elements that should be present in this part are: number of subjects, methodology, significant findings or pertinent significant findings with associated statistical values and the implications of the findings for the feasibility or non-feasibility for Advanced Practice Nursing

- ➤ Identify <u>all the</u> key treatment plan concepts for the patient; *vulnerable population, diagnosis, developmental, interprofessional collaboration, and Family theory* or conceptual model to describe your approach to *safe, quality, patient centered treatment plan and advocacy for this vulnerable population.* (patient in your case study) Demonstrate how using the theoretical framework you choose will enhance the care you provide, identify healthcare disparities and your role as an APN Implications in the treatment plan and reducing healthcare disparities for this population.
- o (15 Points) Relevance and Implications for APN (Must be a heading in your paper)
 Describe the topic relevance for your practice as an APN in reference to your case
 - Suggested significant (concise) elements that <u>may be</u> included are: Assessment, Differential Diagnoses, Holistic approaches, Interventions and Pharmacological and if applicable any alternative medicine treatments (including CAM), referrals utilizing research, evidenced based practice guidelines and theory.

o (20 Points) Summary/Conclusion- Case and Implications

➤ Don't repeat the abstract or all the details in the body of your paper but summarize the entire paper into what you want the reader to remember (Significance of topic to APN, vulnerable population-patient, family and

summary of research including theory and APN role/competencies to collaborate interprofessionally and patient-centered care.

- ➤ Include few details about: (Concise Summary)
 - ✓ why the topic/case study is important
 - ✓ what specific patients are effected
 - ✓ what the APN should consider and/or do
 - ✓ why the APN should do it (most significant evidence to support practice)
 - ✓ how and when to apply to evidence (research and theory) into APN practice