Colorado State University – Pueblo Academic Program Assessment Report for AY 2013-2014

Program: MSE Date: 5/28/14

Completed by: N. Jaksic

Assessment contributors (other faculty involved in this program's assessment): Drs. DePalma, Yuan, Paudel, Sarper, Fraser, and Bedoya-

Valencia

I. Program student learning outcomes (SLOs) assessed in this cycle, processes, results, and recommendations.

A. Which of the	B. When	C. What	D. Who was	E. What is	F. What were	G. What were the	H. What
program SLOs	was this	method was	assessed?	the	the results of	department's	changes/improvements
were assessed	SLO last	used for	Please fully	expected	the	conclusions about	to the <u>program</u> are
during this	assessed?	assessing the	describe the	achievement	assessment?	student	planned based on this
cycle? Please		SLO? Please	student	level and		performance?	assessment?
include the		include a copy	group.	how many			
outcome(s)		of any rubrics		students			
verbatim from		used in the		should be at			
the assessment		assessment		it?			
plan.		process.					
Analyze and/or	May 2014	Methods: EN	Four (4) MSE	80% or more	100% of	Students	No changes to the
design a		562 Final	first year	of the	students were	performed well.	program are planned at
mechatronic		Course Exam	graduate	students	able to	However, again,	this time since this is the
system		and/or Project	students and	should meet	analyze	there were too	second offering of
		Reports	one	or exceed	and/or design	few students for a	courses in this program.
		Rubrics: Design	undergradua-	expectations	a mechatronic	valid statistical	
		Strategy,	te senior		system.	analysis.	
		Solutions, and	who were		Student		
		Tools	enrolled in		designs		
			Spring 2014		demonstrated		
					correct design		
					strategies		
					(Final),		
					solutions		

Due: May 31, 2014

Apply advanced engineering principles in the design and analysis of a system or process to meet specified needs	May 2014	Methods: EN 560 Final and/or Homework, EN 513 Final, Homework, and/or Project Reports Rubrics: Design Strategy and Constraints	In EN 560 there were two MSE first year graduate students who were enrolled in Fall 2013. In EN 513 there were 4 graduate students (1 MSISE and 3 MSE) who were enrolled in	80% or more of the students should meet or exceed expectations	(Final), and the use of computer tools like MATLAB (Projects). Again, exit interviews were not administered since there were no program graduates. 100% of the students in EN 560 were able to apply correct statespace design strategy under given constraints and demonstrate their knowledge when solving complicated control problems.	All students (100%) in EN 560 and EN 513 performed well. However, no firm conclusions could be reached due to small sample size.	No changes to the program are planned at this time since this is the second offering of courses in this program.
---	----------	---	--	--	--	---	--

			Spring 2014.		100% of the		
			3pring 2014.		students in		
					EN 513 were		
					able to apply		
					advanced 		
					engineering		
					principles in		
					the design		
					and analysis		
					of a system to		
					meet		
					specified		
					needs. This		
					was assessed		
					by a specific		
					project where		
					they had to		
					write		
					software to		
					find a route		
					from a		
					specified city		
					in Romania to		
					another		
					specified city.		
Communicate	May 2014	Methods: EN	Five (5) MSE	80% or more	Students in	100% of students	
effectively in	,	593: Paper	first-year	of the	EN 593 wrote	met or exceeded	
writing and		Evaluation	graduate	students	proposals for	expectations for	Short student satisfaction
orally.		and/or	students who	should meet	potential	this SLO.	surveys will be developed
		Presentation	were	or exceed	thesis topics.		and administered.
		Evaluation	enrolled in	expectations	All five MSE	Again, due to the	
		EN 507:	EN 593 and 4	CAPCOLUCIONS	graduate	small sample size	
		Presentation	graduate		students	no meaningful	
		Evaluation	students (1		(100%) met	analysis of the	
		Lvaluation	students (1		(100/0) IIIEL	analysis of the	

	or Project MSISE and 3	and/or	assessment	
report		exceeded the	results could be	
evalua		expectation	performed.	
Rubric		for this SLO.		
writte	en: EN 507 (fall			
Articu	lation, 2013)	In EN 507, all		
organ	ization,	4 students		
neatn	ess,	(100%) met or		
gramr	mar and	exceeded the		
spellir	ng,	expectation		
writin	g style,	for the paper		
docun	nent	presentation.		
forma	itting	Students in		
Oral:		EN 507 wrote		
Delive	ery, length	and		
and de	etail,	presented a		
mecha	anics,	project report		
dialec	t, visual	on a virtual		
aides,		reality topic.		
appea	arance,			
1	stening	Student		
	esponse	surveys were		
	estions	not		
		administered.		

Comments: The assessment report includes only MSE-Mechatronics . The first set of courses in MSE-Railroad Engineering were offered in Fall 2013, so they are not assessed here.

B. Follow-up (closing the loop) on results and activities from previous assessment cycles. In this section, please describe actions taken during this cycle that were based on, or implemented to address, the results of assessment from previous cycles.

A. What SLO(s) did you address? Please include the outcome(s) verbatim from the assessment plan.	B. When was this SLO last assessed?	C. What were the recommendations for change from the previous assessment?	D. Were the recommendations for change acted upon? If not, why?	E. What were the results of the changes? If the changes were not effective, what are the next steps or the new recommendations?
Analyze and/or design a mechatronic system	May 2013	No changes to the program are planned at this time since this is the first offering of courses in this program. The rubrics will need to be improved to reflect the "analyze" portion of this SLO. In rubric "Tools," the first cell, at the end of the sentence we will add "to analyze and/or design mechatronic systems." Exit interviews will be further developed and administered to expected program graduates.	Yes. The rubrics are updated as planned. Exit interviews were developed, however, they were not administered since there were no graduates.	Updated rubric was more precise and thus more effective. As learned from the MSISE program, exit interviews are under review – we may need to make them mandatory.
Apply advanced engineering principles in the design and analysis of a system or process to meet specified needs	May 2013	No changes to the program are planned at this time since this is the first offering of courses in this program. Exit interviews will be further developed and administered to expected program graduates.	Exit interviews were developed.	Since exit interviews were not administered due to lack of graduates, the review of results is postponed for the next year.
Communicate	May 2013	Effective communication	Yes.	Since the developed rubrics seem to be

effectively in	r	rubrics will be disseminated	Communication rubrics	well suited for the program, the
writing and orally	t	to students.	were developed and	development of a course-specific
			disseminated.	survey was abondened. Instead, a
	V	We will make sure that paper		general student satisfactory survey
	а	and presentation evaluations	Work was graded with	dealing with this SLO will be
	a	are done with strict	strict adherence to the	considered.
	a	adherence to all components	developed rubrics.	
	C	of this rubric.		
			In one class (EN 593) an	
	S	Student surveys will be	informal critique session of	
	С	developed and administered.	student work was	
			conducted instead of using	
			student surveys.	

Comments: There was only one year of previos assessment data with only a small sample of students, thus the results are not statistically significant and/or reliable.

Assessment Rubrics

MSE Mechatronics Emphasis

Analyze and/or design a mechatronic system

	Exceeds expectations 5%	Meets expectations 75%	Does not meet expectations 20%
Design Strategy	Develops a design strategy, including a plan; decomposes work into subtasks, and develops a timetable.	Uses a design strategy with guidance.	No design strategy is attempted.
Solutions	Develops several potential designs and based on the analysis of those designs finds an optimal design solution using the system view approach.	Can develop and compare multiple solutions to a mechatronic design problem, but does not usually arrive at the best result; conducts optimization but neglects one or two key aspects. Does not use the system view approach.	Cannot design a mechatronic system or individual component without a significant amount of help. Only focuses on one solution to a problem; no optimization attempted.
Tools	Uses computer tools and engineering resources effectively to analyze and/or design mechatronic systems.	There is evidence of mostly correct use of computer tools and engineering resources.	There is no evidence of use of computer tools and engineering resources.

Apply advanced engineering principles in the design and analysis of a system or process to meet specified needs

	Exceeds expectations 5%	Meets expectations 75%	Does not meet expectations 20%
Design Strategy	Develops a design strategy, including a plan; decomposes work into subtasks, and develops a timetable.	Uses a design strategy with guidance.	No design strategy is attempted.
Constraints	Develops a solution that includes all realistic constraints.	Develops a solution that fails to include one or more minor realistic constraints.	There is no consideration of realistic constraints.

Communicate effectively in written form

	Exceeds expectations 5%	Meets expectations 75%	Does not meet expectations 20%
Articulation	Articulates ideas clearly and concisely using visual aids where appropriate.	Articulates ideas, but the idea flow is somewhat disjointed. Does not always use visual aids appropriately (e.g. a table and a graph representing the same information are used; a figure is not addressed in the narrative).	Does not develop/articulate ideas well. Makes points that are hard to understand. Does not use visual aids.
Organization	Organizes the material in a logical sequence (paragraphs, subheading, etc.).	In general, organizes the material well; however, occasionally paragraphs combine multiple thoughts. Does not identify sections and sub-sections clearly.	Imposes little or no structure or organization; does not use subheadings or proper paragraph structure.
Neatness	Presents material neatly and professionally.	Occasionally, does not present material neatly.	Does not present material neatly.
Grammar and Spelling	Uses grammar and spelling correctly.	Makes one or two spelling/grammar errors per page.	Makes spelling/grammar errors throughout more than 1/3 of the paper.
Writing Style	Uses professional writing style.	Sometimes uses jargon, improper voice, improper tense, inappropriate style, etc.	Uses inappropriate writing style for the audience and for the assignment.
Document Formatting	Conforms to the prescribed format.	Conforms to the prescribed format in many portions of the assignment.	Does not follow the prescribed format.

Communicate effectively in oral form

	Exceeds expectations 5%	Meets expectations 75%	Does not meet expectations 20%
Delivery	Plans and delivers an oral presentation effectively; applies the principle of "tell them."	Presents key elements of an oral presentation adequately, but does not apply "tell them" clearly.	Organizes the presentation poorly (e.g. no clear introduction or summary is delivered).
Length and Detail	Presents technical content appropriate for the time allowed and the audience level.	Presents excessive or insufficient detail for time allowed and/or the audience level.	Presents for an inappropriately short or long time period; omits key results during the presentation.
Mechanics	Makes eye contact; can be easily heard; speaks comfortably with minimal prompts; does not block the screen; doesn't show any distracting habits.	Exhibits minor difficulties (e.g. makes sporadic eye contact; occasionally is difficult to hear or understand; overuses prompts or does not use prompts enough; occasionally stumbles or loses place; occasionally blocks the screen; occasionally exhibits some distracting habits (um, ah, clicking pointer, etc.)).	Exhibits major difficulties with the presentation (e.g. makes no eye contact; is difficult to hear or understand; reads from prepared script; blocks the screen; exhibits distracting habits (um, ah, clicking pointer, etc.)).
Dialect	Uses proper American English.	Occasionally uses an inappropriate style of English-too conversational; uses understandable English.	Uses poor English and/or poor pronunciation.
Visual Aides	Uses visual aides effectively.	Presents visual aides that have minor errors or are not always clearly visible.	Presents multiple slides that are unclear or incomprehensible.
Appearance	Exhibits professional appearance.	Appears too casual for a professional presentation.	Appears inappropriately dressed for the occasion (e.g. wears shorts, sandals, etc.)
Listening and Response to Questions	Listens carefully and responds to questions appropriately; is able to explain and interpret results for various audiences and purposes.	Sometimes misunderstands questions; does not respond appropriately to the audience, or has some trouble answering questions.	Does not listen carefully to questions; does not provide appropriate answers, or is unable to answer questions about the presentation material.

Sample MSE Exit Interview

Name: xxxxx xxxxxx

Date: What other schools and/or degrees did you consider?

What could be done to make the MSE Program at CSU-Pueblo more attractive to potential students in the same circumstance you were when you began?

How was the experience of being a new (International) MSE student?

What do you think of the degree and education you received at CSU-Pueblo?

What are your future plans?

How did you hear about our MSE program?

How do you feel your degree and education have prepared you for your intended career?

How do you feel that your education could have been improved?

What's the worst thing that happened to you since you got here?

How confident are you in analyzing and/or designing mechatronic systems using appropriate engineering tools?

How confident are you in applying advanced engineering principles in analyzing and/or designing systems or processes to meet specified needs?

Could you provide any suggestions for changes in the program?