Created by IEC January 2011, Revised October 2011, Revised July 2012

#### Colorado State University – Pueblo Academic Program Assessment Report for AY 2013-2014 Due: May 15, 2014

#### Program: FRENCH MINOR

### Completed by: AUDREY DEHDOUH-BERG

#### Assessment contributors (other faculty involved in this program's assessment): Dr. Alegría Ribadeneira – Associate Chair

Please complete this form for <u>each undergraduate</u>, <u>minor</u>, <u>certificate</u>, <u>and graduate program</u> (e.g., B.A., B.S., M.S.) in your department. Please copy any addenda (e.g., rubrics) and paste them in this document, and return it to Erin Frew, <u>erin.frew@colostate-pueblo.edu</u> as an email attachment before June 1, 2013. You'll also find the form at the assessment website at <u>http://www.colostate-pueblo.edu</u> as an email pueblo.edu/Assessment/Resources/Pages/default.aspx. Thank you.

### \*\* Please read the following background information before examining the data in the French minor assessment report.

Students minoring in French, Italian, and Spanish will be required to demonstrate a level of proficiency sufficient to converse comfortably on everyday topics, to attain intermediate levels of proficiency in writing and reading the target language, and to understand and appreciate the target language cultures.

These outcomes will be achieved by showing proficiency in the 5 C's:

- 1) Communication: The communication standard stresses the use of the target language for communication in —real life situations. It emphasizes —what students can do with language|| rather than —what they know about language. Students are asked to communicate in oral and written form, to interpret oral and written messages, to show cultural understanding when they communicate, and to present oral and written information to various audiences for a variety of purposes.
- 2) Cultures: Cultural understanding is an important part of language education. Experiencing other cultures develops a better understanding and appreciation of the relationship between languages and other cultures, as well as the student's native culture. Students become better able to understand other people's points of view, ways of life, and contributions to the world.
- 3) Connections: Target-language instruction must be connected with other subject areas. Content from other subject areas is integrated with target language instruction through lessons or courses that are developed around themes common to other subject areas.

Due. Way 15, 2014

Date: MAY 12, 2014

Page **1** of **14** 

- 4) Comparisons: Students are encouraged to compare and contrast the target language and cultures with their own. They discover patterns, make predictions, and analyze similarities and differences across languages and cultures. Students often come to understand their native language and culture better through such comparisons.
- 5) Communities: Extending learning experiences from the language classroom to the home and multilingual and multicultural community emphasizes living in a global society. Activities may include: field trips; use of e-mail and the Internet; participation in clubs, exchange or study-abroad programs, and cultural activities; school-to-work opportunities; and opportunities to hear speakers of Spanish at the University and in the classroom.

In order to assess these outcomes all graduating seniors minoring in French participate in three assessment measures:

1. **An Oral Proficiency Interview (OPI)** which determines students' oral language communication level based on the ACTFL proficiency guidelines. (For the rubric see page 13)

2. A Written Proficiency Test (WPT) which determines students' written language level based on ACTFL proficiency guidelines. In addition, this short written exam measures the students' knowledge of Cultures, Connections and Comparisons. (For the rubric see page 12)

3. **An exit survey** which measures their perceptions and knowledge of Communication, Cultures, Connections, Comparisons and Communities. (Please see 14)

### I. Program student learning outcomes (SLOs) assessed in this cycle, processes, results, and recommendations.

A. Which of the program SLOs were assessed during this cycle?	B. When was this SLO last assessed?	C. What method was used for assessing the SLO?	D. Who was assessed? Please fully describe the student group.	E. What is the expected achievemen t level and how many students should be at it?	F. What were the results of the assessment?	G. What were the department's conclusions about student performance?	H. What changes/improvements to the <u>program</u> are planned based on this assessment?
OUTCOME #1 COMMUNICATION	SUM 14 3 <sup>rd</sup> year	OPI (Oral Proficiency	STUDENTS WHO	OPI: 85% of students	100% reached the	This area is strong.	For oral component:
The communication standard stresses the use of the target language for communication in —real life situations. It emphasizes —what students can do with language   rather than —what they		Interview)	COMPLETED THEIR FRENCH MINOR (8 OPIs)	should be Intermediat e or higher	goal. 0 – S 1 – AH 2 – AM 0 – AL 3 – IH 2 – IM 0 – IL	Student satisfaction with communicative ability is high.	<ol> <li>Maintain strong Oral Component at all course levels, based on ACTFL (American Council on the Teaching of Foreign Languages) Intermediate High levels.</li> <li>Continue to offer outside opportunities for Oral Practice.</li> <li>Encourage instructor to continue participating in professional development</li> </ol>
know about language. Students are asked to communicate in oral and written form, to interpret oral and written		EXIT SURVEY	9 surveys	SENIOR SURVEY: 85% of students should	SURVEY: 100% agreed or strongly agreed.	Strong outcome.	so she can keep up teaching for oral proficiency.

messages, to show cultural understanding when they communicate, and to present oral and written information to various audiences for a variety of purposes.		WRITTEN PROFICIENC Y TEST (WPT)	8 written samples	"agree" or "strongly agree" to all questions pertaining to communicat ion. WRITTEN SAMPLE: 85% of students should be intermediat e High or higher	100% reached the goal. 0 – S 0 – AH 2 – AM 0 – AL 6 – IH 0 – IM 0 – IL		Continue with proficiency- oriented approach.
OUTCOME #2 CULTURE Cultural understanding is an important part of language education. Experiencing other cultures develops a better understanding and appreciation of the relationship between	SUM 14 3 <sup>rd</sup> year	EXIT SURVEY	STUDENTS WHO COMPLETED THEIR FRENCH MINOR 9 surveys	SENIOR SURVEY: 85% of students should "agree" or "strongly agree" to all questions pertaining to Cultures.	SURVEY: 100% agreed or strongly agreed.	Student perception of this outcome is high.	<ol> <li>Continue with our focus on culture.</li> <li>Keep offering cultural activities outside class.</li> </ol>

languages and other cultures, as well as the student's native culture. Students become better able to understand other people's points of view, ways of life, and contributions to the world.		WRITTEN PROFICIENC Y TEST (WPT)	8 written samples	WRITTEN SAMPLE 85% of students should do "Yes, well" or "Yes, very well" in assessment of Cultures.	100% did well or very well.	This area is strong.	
OUTCOME #3 CONNECTIONS Target-language instruction must be connected with other subject areas. Content from other subject areas is integrated with target language	SUM 14 3 <sup>rd</sup> year	EXIT SURVEY	STUDENTS WHO COMPLETED THEIR FRENCH MINOR 9 surveys	SENIOR SURVEY: 85% of students should "agree" or "strongly agree" to all questions pertaining to Connections	SURVEY: 100% agreed or strongly agreed.	This is a strong area.	<ol> <li>Continue to be explicit about the connections students can make with other areas.</li> <li>Keep on offering a "special topics" 300 level course in order to explore various themes.</li> </ol>
instruction through lessons or courses that are developed around themes common to other subject areas.		WRITTEN PROFICIENC Y TEST (WPT)	8 written samples	WRITTEN SAMPLE: 85% of students should do "Yes, well" or "Yes, very well" in	100% did well or very well.	This is a strong measure.	

				assessment of Connections			
OUTCOME # 4 COMPARISONS Students are encouraged to compare and contrast the target language and cultures with their own. They discover patterns, make predictions, and analyze similarities and differences across languages and cultures. Students often come to understand their native language and culture better through such comparisons	SUM 14 3 <sup>rd</sup> year	EXIT SURVEY WRITTEN PROFICIENC Y TEST (WPT)	STUDENTS WHO COMPLETED THEIR FRENCH MINOR 9 surveys 8 written samples	SENIOR SURVEY: 85% of students should "agree" or "strongly agree" to all questions pertaining to Comparison s WRITTEN SAMPLE: 85% of students should do "Yes, well" or "Yes, very well" in assessment of Comparison s	SURVEY: 100% agreed or strongly agreed. 87.5% did well or very well.	Student perception of this outcome is high. Although we reached the goal, we should shoot for 100%.	<ol> <li>Continue fostering comparisons in culture, language, etc. inside and outside class.</li> <li>For written communication, students at 200 and 300 level need to have at least one paper where they do a comparison/contrast. Such assignment will help them practice this skill.</li> </ol>

OUTCOME #5	SUM 14	EXIT	STUDENTS	GRADUATE	SURVEY:	This is a gray area	1. Continue extending
COMMUNITIES	3 <sup>rd</sup> year	SURVEY	WHO	SURVEY:	77.8% agreed	(see B. chart	learning experiences from
			COMPLETED	<mark>85% of</mark>	or strongly	"Communities").	the classroom to the
Extending learning			THEIR	students	agreed;		multilingual, and
experiences from			FRENCH	<mark>should</mark>	11.1%		multicultural community.
the language			MINOR	"agree" or	disagreed;		
classroom to the				"strongly	11.1%		2. Persist in creating
home and			9 surveys	<mark>agree</mark> " to	indicated no		opportunities where
multilingual and				the question	basis to		students use FRN to
multicultural				that	judge.		become part of a larger
community				pertains to			community. Language
emphasizes living				Communitie			circles; field trips;
in a global society.				s			internet; clubs; study
Activities may							abroad; speakers of the
include: field trips;							target language.
use of e-mail and							
the Internet;							3. Maintain promoting
participation in							FL294/394 as service
clubs, exchange or							learning, and field
study-abroad							experience that connects
programs, and							French learning with
cultural activities;							communities.
school-to-work							
opportunities; and							
opportunities to							
hear speakers of							
Spanish at the							
University and in							
the classroom.							

Comments: All proposed changes and improvements remain to be discussed with other FL faculty. These are preliminary observations by the French Coordinator. The three measures used this year (OPI, Grad Survey and WPT) give a good picture of where we are at.

B. Follow-up (closing the loop) on results and activities from previous assessment cycles. In this section, please describe actions taken during this cycle that were based on, or implemented to address, the results of assessment from previous cycles.

A. What SLO(s) did you address? Please include the outcome(s) verbatim from the assessment plan.	B. When was this SLO last assessed?	C. What were the recommendations for change from the previous assessment?	D. Were the recommendations for change acted upon? If not, why?	E. What were the results of the changes? If the changes were not effective, what are the next steps or the new recommendations?
COMMUNICATI	Summ 13	<ul> <li>Preliminary proposal:</li> <li>1. Maintain strong Oral Component at all course levels.</li> <li>2. Maintain outside class opportunities for oral practice.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Added oral components in all course levels.</li> <li>Preserved outside class opportunities for Oral Practice through on- and off-campus language circles.</li> </ol>	On Oral Proficiency Interviews, we scored 10% below our goal. However, 37.5% students scored higher than the proficiency goal that is expected of them at the end of the French minor. In order to meet the 85% goal in the future, all students will be assessed when they are just finished with taking classes so we can prevent language attrition. 12.5% student scored Advanced High. It is noteworthy to mention that this particular student is a heritage speaker of French. The French program will continue to recruit, and help heritage speakers achieve high levels of proficiency in French. On both senior survey, and written sample we maintained 100% this year.

CULTURE	Summ 13	Preliminary proposal:		Survey-wise, we maintained 100% this year.
		1. Continue to offer a myriad	1. We continued to offer	year.
		of cultural activities outside	numerous cultural activities	The written sample results doubled
		of class.	outside of class.	since last summer. In addition to the recommendations I had jotten down
		2. For written	2. In 200 level classes,	last summer, I made a point to present
		communication, students at	students continued to	every holiday/cultural traditions, even
		200 and 300 level need to	write on cultural aspects.	if only briefly when they were occurring
		work more on writing	However, with the	throughout the school year.
		compositions on cultural	adoption of a new	
		aspects of the French-	textbook, 200 level	
		speaking world.	students will get to do so	
			even more, starting Fall	
			2014. In 300 level classes,	
			students wrote more on	
			cultural aspects. This was	
			due to the nature of the	
			Special Topics classes they	
			were taking.	
CONNECTIONS	Summ 13	Preliminary proposal:	1. We offered two 300	On both senior surveys, and written
			Special Topics courses	samples, we maintained 100% this
		1. Sustain the explicit	(Cinema in the French-	year.
		connections students can	speaking world / Business	
		make through courses.	in the Francophone world).	We will continue to offer special topic courses, and encourage student
		2. Continued support of	2. Our students enjoy, and	enrollment in service learning, and field
		FL394 as service learning, and	take advantage of	experience.
		field experience that	FL294/394. They seize the	
		connects French learning	opportunity to complete a	
		with other subject areas.	field experience in the	
			French-speaking world	
			where they reflect, and	

			write about it critically.	
COMPARISONS	Summ 13	Preliminary proposal: 1. Increase fostering	We have continued with	Senior survey-wise, we maintained 100% this year.
		comparisons in language, culture, etc.	our effort to advance comparisons.	Our results on the written samples have met the goal we have set; thus, increasing 27.5% since last year.
		2. For written communication, students at		However, it is realistic to expect students to score 100% (see I. for
		200 and 300 level need to have at least one paper where they do a		recommendations).
		comparison/contrast. Such assignment will help them practice this skill.		
COMMUNITIES	Summ 13	Preliminary proposal:	1. We continued to create strong opportunities for	On the senior survey, we noticed a 22.2% drop.
		1. Continue extending learning experiences from the classroom to the community.	students such as enduring connections with the study abroad programs, a 50 member French	Interestingly, the 22.2% correspond to students who did take part in the community in various manners. We need to make it more explicit that
		2. Preserve opportunities where students use FRN as part of a larger community	community group that meets downtown Pueblo, the continuation of an	the activities in which students participate are learning experiences in the community.
		(language circles in school & at local cafés; French Club; study abroad programs; etc.)	active French Club, etc. We added, and insisted on these opportunities on the	
		3. Continue to promote FL394 as service learning, and field	syllabi, Blackboard, and social media.	
		experience that connects French learning with other subject areas.	2. FL294/394 appeals to students.	

**Comments:** Like last year, we have integrated all the measures to the new assessment cycle (Oral Proficiency Interview, Graduate Survey, and Written Proficiency Test). It has become evident that we need to assess students' proficiency as soon as they have completed their French minor, and to clarify the meaning of engaging in a community.

### ASSESSMENT RUBRIC FOR WRITTEN PROFICIENCY TESTS AND GRADUATE PORTFOLIOS

 Student name
 Grad year
 WPT
 Portfolio

1. Does the student show an understanding of cultures of the Hispanic world?

1. Yes, very well 2. Yes, well 3. Some 4. No 5. N/A

2. Is the student able to use the Spanish Language to connect to other subject areas?

1. Yes, very well 2. Yes, well 3. Some 4. No 5. N/A

3. Is the student able to compare and contrast Spanish Language and cultures with their own?

1. Yes, very well 2. Yes, well 3. Some 4. No 5. N/A

4. Language Proficiency: After reading the students writing mark what the student can do by each box:

1. Can do it 2. Can do most of it 3. Can do some of it 4. Cannot do it 4. N/A

	Global Tasks	ilobal Tasks Content		Accuracy	Text Type		
Int	Create with language	Write about predictable familiar		Understood, by those accustomed to dealing with		Write discrete	
	Describe and narrate in the present	topics related to daily activities		non-native speakers		sentences	
Adv	Narrate and describe in major time frames	Write about topics of general and personal interest.		Understood without difficulty by those unaccustomed to dealing with non-native speakers.		Write paragraphs	
	Discuss topics extensively	Express him/herself in a formal setting		Shows no pattern of errors in basic structures.			
Sup	Support opinions and hypothesize	Write about a wide range of general interest topics.		Errors virtually never interfere		Use extended discourse	
	Speak in the abstract	Write about some special fields of interest and expertise		the native speaker from the message.			

# ASSESSMENT RUBRIC FOR ORAL PROFICIENCY INTERVIEWS – SUMMARY

(Full descriptors found at : <u>http://actflproficiencyguidelines2012.org/speaking</u>)

Proficiency Level	Global Tasks and Functions	Context / Content	Accuracy	Text Type
Superior	Discuss topics extensively, support opinions and hypothesize. Deal with a linguistically unfamiliar situation.	Most formal and informal settings. Wide range of general interest topics and some special fields of interest and expertise.	No pattern of errors in basic structures. Errors virtually never interfere with communication or distract the native speaker from the message.	Extended discourse.
Advanced	Narrate and describe in major time frames and deal effectively with an unanticipated complication	Most informal and some formal settings/Topics of general and personal interest.	Understood without difficulty by speakers unaccustomed to dealing with non-native speakers.	Paragraphs
Intermediate	Create with language. Initiate, maintain and bring to a close simple conversations. Asks questions. Describe and narrate in the present.	Some informal settings and limited transactional activities. Predictable familiar topics related to daily activities	Understood, with some repetition, by speakers accustomed to dealing with non-native speakers	Discrete sentences.
Novice	Communicates minimally. Formulaic and rote utterances, Lists and phrases	Most common informal settings/ Most common aspects of daily life	May be difficult to understand, even for speakers accustomed to dealing with non-native speakers	Individual words and phrases

# CSU-Pueblo-FL Program Questionnaire for Graduating Seniors

A. Please write the number that corresponds to your opinion in the box at the left of each statement.

### 1. Strongly agree 2. Agree 3. Disagree 4. Strongly disagree 5. No basis to judge

### 1. Communication:

I have developed practical skills, such as the ability to communicate orally and in writing in "real life" situations.
I have attained a level of speaking and listening proficiency in the language sufficient to allow me to satisfy basic work requirements in the language.
I have developed the ability to think critically: to analyze, to think abstractly, to support and defend opinions, and to hypothesize in spoken and written form.

### 2. Cultures:

My studies helped me develop the ability to see beyond my own culture.
I have acquired a basic understanding of the history, culture, and literature of the target language and know how to update and enhance this knowledge.
I am more able to understand other people's point of view, ways of life and contributions to the world.

### **3.** Connections:

I was able to connect my language studies to other subject areas such as English, History, Business, Art, Cultural Studies, Literature, Sociology, Music, Political Science, etc.

## 4. Comparisons:

I now have the ability to analyze similarities and differences across languages and cultures

I understand my own culture better after going through this program

# 5. Communities:

I was able to take my language and culture skills beyond the classroom by participating in campus activities, clubs, exchange programs, community activities, school to work opportunities, internships, work situations, etc

Continue on the other side please...