Colorado State University – Pueblo Academic Program Assessment Report for AY 2013-2014

Program: BS - CIS

Date: May 31, 2014

Completed by: Juyun (Joey) Cho

Assessment contributors (other faculty involved in this program's assessment): Yoanna Long, James Ma, Roberto Mejias, Rick Huff, Wayne Martinez, Erin Frew, Bruce Raymond

Please complete this form for <u>each undergraduate, minor, certificate, and graduate program</u> (e.g., B.A., B.S., M.S.) in your department. Please copy any addenda (e.g., rubrics) and paste them in this document, and return it to Erin Frew, <u>erin.frew@colostate-pueblo.edu</u> as an email attachment before June 2, 2014. You'll also find the form at the assessment website at <u>http://www.colostate-pueblo.edu</u> as an email <u>pueblo.edu/Assessment/Resources/Pages/default.aspx</u>.

Please describe the 2013-2014 assessment activities for the program in Part I. Use Column H to describe improvements planned for 2014-2015 based on the assessment process. In Part II, please describe activities engaged in during 2013-2014 designed to close-the-loop (improve the program) based on assessment activities and the information gathered in 2012-2013. Thank you.

I. Program student learning outcomes (SLOs) assessed in this cycle, processes, results, and recommendations.

A. Which of the program SLOs were assessed during this cycle? Please include the outcome(s) verbatim from the assessment plan.	B. When was this SLO last assesse? Please indicate the semester and year.	C. What method was used for assessing the SLO? Please include a copy of any rubrics used in the assessment process.	D. Who was assessed? Please fully describe the student group(s) and the number of students or artifact involved.	E. What is the expected achievement level and how many or what proportion of students should be at it?	F. What were the results of the assessment?	G. What were the department's conclusions about student performance?	H. What changes/improvements to the <u>program</u> are planned based on this assessment?
Learning	This is the first time.	Artifacts	18	Our	Overall,	Students are	We will emphasize more
objective 1:		collected in CIS	individuals	expected	students	performing at	on the design techniques

Applycic		122 Soniar		achievement	met our	acceptable levels in	such as ER diagram and
Analysis,		432, Senior				•	-
design, and		professional		level is that	expected	analysis and	functional/usability/reliab
implementatio		project		at least 70%	performance	implementation &	ility/performance
n and				of students	levels.	maintenance area.	requirements and
maintenance				are at either	Specifically,	However, students	continure to monitor
				the "meets	for analysis	performance in the	SLOs in this area.
				expectations	goal, 100%	design area needs	
				" or	of students	to be improved.	
				"exceeds	evaluated		
				expectations	either met		
				" levels.	or exceeded		
					expectations		
					. For design		
					goal, 62% of		
					students		
					evaluated		
					either met		
					or exceeded		
					expectations		
					. For		
					implementat		
					ion and		
					maintenance		
					goal, 78% of		
					students		
					evaluated		
					either met		
					or exceeded		
					expectations		
Loorning	This is the	Artifacts	13	Our	In oral	Students are	We will discuss how we
Learning			13 individuals				
objective 2:	first time.	collected in CIS	mulviduals	expected	communicati	performing at	can improve SLO in the
Communication		432, Senior		achievement	on, 100% of	acceptable levels in	literacy (grammar,
		professional		level is that	students	oral and written	spelling, and punctuation)
		project		at least 70%	evaluated	communication	area.

of studentseither met are at either expectationsarea. However, we area teither expectationsarea. However, we consider the results that showed 66%expectationsin the area in the areaof students met or exceedsof students met or exceeds (body uiteracy area needs to be improved."evels.in the area expectationsof students interare articulation, and content clarity & completenesbe improved.S.In written completenesorganization students evaluated evaluatedarticulation, students evaluated evaluatedin the area of studentsof students evaluated evaluated evaluatedarticulation, students evaluated evaluatedin the area of studentsof students evaluated evaluatedarticulation, students evaluatedin the area of studentsof students evaluatedarticulation, students evaluatedin the area of studentsof students evaluatedarticulation, students evaluatedin the area of students evaluatedof students evaluatedarticulation, students evaluated				
the "meets expectations " or " or " exceeds expectations " "exceeds expectations " "exceeds expectations " "exceeds (body language, " levels. " levels." " levels. " levels." " levels. " levels." " levels. " levels." " le				
expectations "or "of kinety expectations "exceeds expectations "levels." "levels." "levels." organization, subject matter knowledge, articulation, and content clarity & completenes S. In written communicati on, 100% of students evaluated either met or exceeded expectations in the area of students met or exceeded in the iteracy area needs to be improved." iteracy area needs iteracy area needs				
"or of kinetics "exceeds (body expectations" exceeded in the literacy area needs to be improved. "lowels. organization, subject matter knowledge, articulation, and content clarity & completenes s. to be improved. In written communicati In written communicati In written communicati In written completenes or students evaluated either met of subject In written communicati In written communicati or students evaluated either met of subject In written communicati In written communicati of subject in written communicati evaluated In written communicati In written communicati of subject evaluated either met of subject In written communicati In written communicati of subject evaluated either met of subject In written communicati In written communicati	the "meets		that showed 66%	
Image: spectation of comparison of compari		in the area	of students met or	
expectations "levels.ianguage), organization, subject matter knowledge, articulation, and content clarity & completenes s.to be improved.Imater Nowledge, articulation, and content clarity & completenes s.imater imater imater s.imater imater imater s.Imater Nowledge, articulation, and content clarity & completenes s.imater imater imaterimater imater imaterImater Nowledge, articulation, and content clarity & completenes s.imater imater	" or	of kinetics	exceeded in the	
"levels. organization, subject matter knowledge, articulation, and content clarity & completenes s. In written communicati on, 100% of students evaluated either met or exceeded either met or exceeded either met or exceeded either met of subject matter knowledge and logic flow, but 66% of students evaluated	"exceeds	(body	literacy area needs	
subject matter knowledge, articulation, and content clarity & completenes s. In written communicati on, 100% of students evaluated either met or exceeded expectations in the area of subject matter knowledge and logic flow, but 66% of students evaluated	expectations	language),	to be improved.	
matter knowledge, articulation, articulation, articulation, articulaticulatitition, articulation,	" levels.	organization,		
knowledge, articulation, and content clarity & completenes s. In written communicati on, 100% of students evaluated either met or exceeded expectations in the area of subject matter knowledge and logic flow, but 66% of students evaluated		subject		
articulation, and content clarity & completenes 5. In written communicati on, 100% of students evaluated either met or exceeded expectations in the area of subject matter knowledge and logic flow, but 66% of students evaluated		matter		
articulation, and content clarity & completenes 5. In written communicati on, 100% of students evaluated either met or exceeded expectations in the area of subject matter knowledge and logic flow, but 66% of students evaluated		knowledge,		
and content clarity & completenes s. In written communicati on, 100% of students evaluated either met or exceeded expectations in the area of subject matter knowledge and logic flow, but 66% of students evaluated		-		
Image: Sector of Sector o				
S. In written communicati on, 100% of students evaluated either met or exceeded expectations in the area of subject matter knowledge and logic flow, but 66% of students evaluated		clarity &		
In written communicati on, 100% of students evaluated either met or exceeded expectations in the area of subject matter knowledge and logic flow, but 66% of students evaluated		-		
communicati on, 100% of students evaluated either met or exceeded expectations in the area of subject matter knowledge and logic flow, but 66% of students evaluated		s.		
on, 100% of students evaluated either met or exceeded expectations in the area of subject matter knowledge and logic flow, but 66% of students evaluated evaluated evaluated		In written		
students evaluated evaluated either met or exceeded expectations in the area of subject matter knowledge and logic flow, but 66% of students students evaluated		communicati		
Image: stude in the stude in		on, 100% of		
inthe met or exceeded or exceeded expectations in the area in the area of subject matter knowledge and logic flow, but 66% of students students evaluated evaluated		students		
or exceeded expectations in the area of subject matter knowledge and logic flow, but 66% of students evaluated		evaluated		
expectations in the area of subject matter knowledge and logic flow, but 66% of students evaluated		either met		
in the area of subject matter knowledge and logic flow, but 66% of students evaluated		or exceeded		
in the area of subject matter knowledge and logic flow, but 66% of students evaluated				
Image: studentsImage: students </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
matter knowledge and logic flow, but 66% of students evaluated				
Image: Second		-		
and logic flow, but 66% of students evaluated				
flow, but 66% of students evaluated		-		
66% of students evaluated				
students evaluated				
evaluated				
either met		either met		

Learning objective 3: Team skills	This is the first time.	Artifacts collected in CIS 432, Senior professional project	27 individuals	Our expected achievement level is that at least 70% of students are at either the "meets expectations " or "exceeds expectations " levels.	expectations in the area of literacy (grammar, spelling, and punctuation) More than 90% of students evaluated either met or exceeded expectations in the area of attending team meetings, participating team discussion, non-meeting discussion (email, online chatting, phone calls), and understandi ng the project concepts, but 57% of	Students are performing very well except for the leadership area.	We will discuss how we can improve SLO in the leadership area.
---	----------------------------	---	-------------------	--	---	--	--

	evaluated	
	either met	
	or exceeded	
	expectations	
	in the area	
	of	
	leadership.	

Comments:

Three rubrics and a curriculum map were created in the AY 2012-2013 and have been revised during the AY 2013-2014. One rubric for the oral and written communication was newly created this year based on our peer institution's examples and the business rubrics distributed at the workshop led by Mary Allen in September 2012. Our learning goals, the curriculum map, and rubrics were evaluated by three business school deans sent by AACSB (Association to Advanced Collegiate Schools of Business) accreditation organization in February, 2014, and our program was successfully approved to join AACSB.

The CIS 432 (Senior professional project) class is a capstone course in our program. Students work as a team on a real-life project requested by local community. Students have completed the eight projects this year including 1) CSUP - Mass communication equipment reservation and checkout system, 2) CSUP-ITS – student tracking and scheduling system, 3) Paws for life – Shelter management system, employee/volunteer tracking/donation tracking system, 4) Debourgh Manufacturing Corporation – Customer order reporting system, 5) Pikes Peak Regional science fair registration system, 6) Moutain States Restoration job control system, 7) Pueblo county software liscense tracking system, and 8) Weisbrod aircraft museum STEM lab web project.

We have conducted senior exit survey in spring 2014 (see senior exit survey and result files) and we will discuss the survey results in our first CIS faculty meeting in fall, 2014.

B. Follow-up (closing the loop) on results and activities from previous assessment cycles. In this section, please describe actions taken during this cycle that were based on, or implemented to address, the results of assessment from previous cycles.

A. What SLO(s)	B. When was this	C. What were the	D. Were the	E. What were the results of the
did you address?	SLO last assessed?	recommendations for change	recommendations for	changes? If the changes were not
Please include	Please indicate the	from the previous	change acted upon? If not,	effective, what are the next steps or
the outcome(s)	semester and year.	assessment?	why?	the new recommendations?
verbatim from				
the assessment				
plan.				
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Comments: We did not assess our program during the AY 2012-2013. We will try to close the loop next year.

CIS Learning Objectives

At the conclusion of the CIS program, students will demonstrate the ability to:

Objective 1. Analyze, design, implement, and maintain an information system.

Objective 2. Communicate clearly in writing and speaking.

Objective 3. Work effectively as a team member for a common purpose.

Objective 4. Identify ethical issues and provide alternatives or solutions.

CIS Learning Objectives 1: Analyze, design, and implement and maintain an information system

April 16, 2013

Date: _____

Rater: _____

Course: _____ Student: _____

Evaluation Criteria	Exceeds Expectations	Meets	Needs	Score
		Expectations	Improvement	
Analysis	Shows strong ability to	Shows some ability	Often fails to	
	identify what an	to identify what an	identify what an	
	Information System	Information System	Information System	
	should do	should do	should do	
Design	Shows strong ability to	Shows some ability	Often fails to	
	identify how	to identify how	identify how	
	components of an	components of an	components of an	
	Information System	Information System	Information System	
	should be implemented	should be	should be	
	and integrated	implemented	implemented	
Implementation	Shows strong ability to	Shows some ability	Often fails to	
and Maintenance	implement, test, debug,	to implement, test,	implement, test,	
	and deploy an error-free	debug, and deploy	debug, and deploy	
	& completely	implement an error-	an error-free &	
	functioning Information	free & completely	completely	
	System	functioning	functioning	
		Information System	Information System	

CIS Learning Objectives 2: Communicate clearly in writing and speaking.

November 15, 2013

Date: _____

Rater: _____

Course: _____

Student: _____

Oral Communication Rubric

Evaluation Criteria	Exceeds Expectations	Meets Expectations	Needs Improvement	Score
Kinetics (Body Language)	Presenter's body language and voice tone demonstrates high confidence and comfort with the subject matter. Presenter demonstrates high confidence, empathy and comfortable interaction with the audience. Presenter gestures are confident, relaxed and natural and match the content and purpose of the presentation. Presenter makes an excellent delivery with a voice that projects enthusiasm, interest and confidence.	Body language and voice tone reflect the presenter's relative comfort and command of the subject matter in interacting with the audience. Presenter uses appropriate gestures and body language that are somewhat confident. Presenter makes a good delivery with some level of confidence in body language and voice modulation.	Body language and voice tone reveal presenter's discomfort and lack of confidence with the subject matter. Presenter reveals a reluctance to interact with the audience. Presenter's body movement is terse and stiff. Presenter may appear fearful or highly nervous of his/her audience. Presenter's body language lacks confidence, and voice projection is often hard to understand.	

Organization	Presenter follows a	Presenter follows a	Presenter offers no
Organization	very clear and logical	logical sequence in their	logical sequence of
		0 1	information.
	sequence in their	presentation but does	information.
	presentation that the	not provide any	Presenter does not
	audience can follow.	additional information.	provide clear
	Presenter focuses on	Presenter uses a	explanations and
	the defined and	"checklist" approach to	elaborations of the
	critical points of the	the presentation	subject matter.
	-	material.	subject matter.
	presentation and	material.	Presenter fails to
	provides clear	Presentation structure is	focus on the critical
	explanations for each	adequate and	points of the
	point.	mechanical but lacks	presentation.
	Presenter provides	strong definition and	
	clear and concise	emphasis.	Presenter does not
	"takeways" and	emphasis.	provide clear and
	conclusions for the		concise conclusions
	audience.		for the audience.
	audience.		
Subject	Dracantar clearly		
I SUDICUL	r resenter cleariv	Presenter reflects a	Presenter is unclear
•	Presenter clearly demonstrates	Presenter reflects a relative comfort with the	Presenter is unclear and not well informed
Matter	demonstrates	relative comfort with the	and not well informed
-	demonstrates excellent and in-		and not well informed with the subject
Matter	demonstrates excellent and in- depth knowledge and	relative comfort with the	and not well informed
Matter	demonstrates excellent and in- depth knowledge and confidence with the	relative comfort with the subject matter.	and not well informed with the subject
Matter	demonstrates excellent and in- depth knowledge and	relative comfort with the subject matter. Presenter demonstrates a	and not well informed with the subject matter.
Matter	demonstrates excellent and in- depth knowledge and confidence with the	relative comfort with the subject matter. Presenter demonstrates a good understanding of	and not well informed with the subject matter. The presenter appears
Matter	demonstrates excellent and in- depth knowledge and confidence with the subject matter.	relative comfort with the subject matter. Presenter demonstrates a good understanding of the details and	and not well informed with the subject matter. The presenter appears to be unsure and
Matter	demonstrates excellent and in- depth knowledge and confidence with the subject matter. Presenter	relative comfort with the subject matter. Presenter demonstrates a good understanding of the details and interaction of the	and not well informed with the subject matter. The presenter appears to be unsure and disorganized in their
Matter	demonstrates excellent and in- depth knowledge and confidence with the subject matter. Presenter demonstrates a clear	relative comfort with the subject matter. Presenter demonstrates a good understanding of the details and interaction of the elements of the subject matter.	and not well informed with the subject matter. The presenter appears to be unsure and disorganized in their presentation of the subject material.
Matter	demonstrates excellent and in- depth knowledge and confidence with the subject matter. Presenter demonstrates a clear understanding of the	relative comfort with the subject matter. Presenter demonstrates a good understanding of the details and interaction of the elements of the subject matter. Presenter addresses and	 and not well informed with the subject matter. The presenter appears to be unsure and disorganized in their presentation of the subject material. Presenter may just be
Matter	demonstrates excellent and in- depth knowledge and confidence with the subject matter. Presenter demonstrates a clear understanding of the details and	relative comfort with the subject matter. Presenter demonstrates a good understanding of the details and interaction of the elements of the subject matter. Presenter addresses and replies to most questions	and not well informed with the subject matter. The presenter appears to be unsure and disorganized in their presentation of the subject material. Presenter may just be repeating facts
Matter	demonstrates excellent and in- depth knowledge and confidence with the subject matter. Presenter demonstrates a clear understanding of the details and interconnection links	relative comfort with the subject matter. Presenter demonstrates a good understanding of the details and interaction of the elements of the subject matter. Presenter addresses and replies to most questions regarding the subject	 and not well informed with the subject matter. The presenter appears to be unsure and disorganized in their presentation of the subject material. Presenter may just be repeating facts without understanding
Matter	demonstrates excellent and in- depth knowledge and confidence with the subject matter. Presenter demonstrates a clear understanding of the details and interconnection links of the elements of the subject matter.	relative comfort with the subject matter. Presenter demonstrates a good understanding of the details and interaction of the elements of the subject matter. Presenter addresses and replies to most questions	 and not well informed with the subject matter. The presenter appears to be unsure and disorganized in their presentation of the subject material. Presenter may just be repeating facts without understanding details or interaction
Matter	demonstrates excellent and in- depth knowledge and confidence with the subject matter. Presenter demonstrates a clear understanding of the details and interconnection links of the elements of the subject matter. Presenter clearly and	relative comfort with the subject matter. Presenter demonstrates a good understanding of the details and interaction of the elements of the subject matter. Presenter addresses and replies to most questions regarding the subject	 and not well informed with the subject matter. The presenter appears to be unsure and disorganized in their presentation of the subject material. Presenter may just be repeating facts without understanding details or interaction with other elements of
Matter	demonstrates excellent and in- depth knowledge and confidence with the subject matter. Presenter demonstrates a clear understanding of the details and interconnection links of the elements of the subject matter. Presenter clearly and thoroughly addresses	relative comfort with the subject matter. Presenter demonstrates a good understanding of the details and interaction of the elements of the subject matter. Presenter addresses and replies to most questions regarding the subject	 and not well informed with the subject matter. The presenter appears to be unsure and disorganized in their presentation of the subject material. Presenter may just be repeating facts without understanding details or interaction
Matter	demonstrates excellent and in- depth knowledge and confidence with the subject matter. Presenter demonstrates a clear understanding of the details and interconnection links of the elements of the subject matter. Presenter clearly and thoroughly addresses questions from the	relative comfort with the subject matter. Presenter demonstrates a good understanding of the details and interaction of the elements of the subject matter. Presenter addresses and replies to most questions regarding the subject	 and not well informed with the subject matter. The presenter appears to be unsure and disorganized in their presentation of the subject material. Presenter may just be repeating facts without understanding details or interaction with other elements of the subject matter.
Matter	demonstrates excellent and in- depth knowledge and confidence with the subject matter. Presenter demonstrates a clear understanding of the details and interconnection links of the elements of the subject matter. Presenter clearly and thoroughly addresses	relative comfort with the subject matter. Presenter demonstrates a good understanding of the details and interaction of the elements of the subject matter. Presenter addresses and replies to most questions regarding the subject	 and not well informed with the subject matter. The presenter appears to be unsure and disorganized in their presentation of the subject material. Presenter may just be repeating facts without understanding details or interaction with other elements of the subject matter. Presenter cannot
Matter	demonstrates excellent and in- depth knowledge and confidence with the subject matter. Presenter demonstrates a clear understanding of the details and interconnection links of the elements of the subject matter. Presenter clearly and thoroughly addresses questions from the	relative comfort with the subject matter. Presenter demonstrates a good understanding of the details and interaction of the elements of the subject matter. Presenter addresses and replies to most questions regarding the subject	 and not well informed with the subject matter. The presenter appears to be unsure and disorganized in their presentation of the subject material. Presenter may just be repeating facts without understanding details or interaction with other elements of the subject matter.

Articulation (Delivery)	Presenter makes a professional and thorough analysis and presentation to the audience. Presenter speaks clearly and loudly enough and for all in audience to hear, at a comfortable rate, makes no grammatical errors, and pronounces all terms correctly and precisely. Presenter is enthusiastic and engaging. Presenter is extemporaneous and natural.	Presenter speaks clearly and loudly enough to be heard by most in audience, at an appropriate rate, (some/rare awkward pauses or halting delivery), makes few grammatical errors, and pronounces most terms correctly with fluid delivery overall.	the subject matter. Presenter mumbles, speaks too quietly to be heard by many in audience, mispronounces words, and makes serious and persistent grammatical errors throughout the presentation. Presenter loses train of thought and is tentative.
Content Clarity and Completeness	Presenter handles all elements professionally. Presenter develops and supports ideas using well- chosen examples and creative details.	Presenter handles material competently and includes essential information which is factually correct.	Presenter misses two or more essential elements. Presentation contains major factual errors and mis- representations.

Written Communication Rubric

Evaluation	Exceeds Expectations	Meets Expectations	Needs Improvement	Score
Criteria	1	1	1	
Subject Matter Knowledge	Clearly demonstrates excellent and in-depth knowledge of the subject matter. Demonstrates an excellent understanding of the details and interconnection links of the elements of the subject matter.	Demonstrates fair knowledge of the subject matter. Demonstrates a fair understanding of the details and interconnection links of the elements of the subject matter.	Demonstrates poor/inadequate knowledge of the subject matter. Demonstrates a poor understanding of the details and fails to show interconnection links of the elements of the subject matter.	
Literacy (grammar, spelling, punctuation)	No grammar, spelling, punctuation errors and excellent word usage. Writing at expected grade level or above.	Has some grammatical errors, spelling and punctuation errors. Writing at one or two levels below current grade level.	Has many grammatical errors, spelling and punctuation errors. Writing at more than two levels below current grade level.	
Logical Flow	Demonstrates ability to produce professional quality documents (fully footnoted and referenced, with proper cover pages, headings, footings, and table of contents)	Produces documents with minimal professional elements (footnotes, references, cover pages, headings, footings, table of contents)	Produced documents are lacking significant professional elements (footnotes, references, cover pages, headings, footings, table of contents)	
Proper References	Expertly integrates relevant articles, uses correct citations, and references based on certain academic writing styles.	Fairly integrates relevant articles, has some correct citations and references.	Fails to integrate relevant articles, citations, or references.	

CIS Learning Objectives 3: Work effectively as a team member for a common purpose

April, 19 2013

Date: _____

Rater: _____

Course: _____

Student: _____

Evaluation Criteria	Exceeds Expectations	Meets Expectations	Needs Improvement	Score
Attending team meetings	Attends all team meetings without being late	Attends most team meetings. If likely to be absent or late, informs others ahead of time	Rarely attends team meetings Attendance record is haphazard and inconsistent; may be absent or late without notice	
Participating meeting discussions	Actively participates in discussion and asks questions	Participates in discussions, letting others provide the direction	Observes passively and says little or nothing	
Participating non- meeting discussions, i.e. emails, online chatting, or phone calls	Actively participates in or initiates discussions and project related communication	Participates in discussions, letting others provide the direction	Rarely responds to team project related discussions	
Leadership	Takes a large part in setting group goals and agendas	Takes some part in setting group goals and agendas	Let others set and pursue the agenda	
Understanding of project concepts	Listens actively and shows understanding by paraphrasing or by acknowledging and building on others' ideas	Occasionally introduces the information or asks questions	Has limited understanding of the project concepts	

Contributing to	Carries own share of the	Carries own share	Does not fulfill own	
the final group's responsibilities, o		of the group's	share	
deliverables. i.e. and organizes or helps r		responsibilities		
report,	organize final			
PowerPoint, etc.	deliverables			

CIS Learning Objectives 4: Identify ethical issues and provide alternatives or solutions

April 19, 2013

Date: _____

Rater:

Course: _____

Student: _____

Evaluation	Exceeds Expectations	Meets Expectations	Needs Improvement	Score
Criteria				
Identify ethical	Identifies critical and	Identifies the critical	Identifies no critical	
issues	any additional ethical	ethical issues	ethical issues	
	issues			
Identify	Identifies multiple	Identifies an	Identifies no	
alternative	alternative solutions	alternative solution	alternative solutions	
solutions				
Supply	Provides multiple	Provides an	Provides no	
appropriate	appropriate solutions	appropriate solution	appropriate solutions	
solutions				

CIS Senior Exit Survey Computer Information Systems Program Spring 2014

A CIS Program Learning Objectives

I feel my CIS degree has adequately taught/prepared me for the following areas:

- 1 Analyze, design, implement and maintain an information system
- 2 Communicate clearly in writing and speaking
- 3 Work effectively as a team member for a common purpose
- 4 Identify ethical issues and provide alternatives or solutions
- B Preparation for a Career in CIS
 - 1 I feel my CIS degree has adequately prepared me for a job in the CIS field
 - 2 I feel confident in my ability to be successful in a CIS job
 - 3 I have learned everything I need to know to be a good employee in a CIS job
 - 4 Overall, I feel I have the skills and abilities necessary for a successful career in CIS

С	Course Quality and Utility
	Course Description

- 1 Intro to Word and Windows
- 2 PowerPoint & Web Publishing
- 3 Excel Spreadsheets
- 4 MS Access DBMS
- 5 Computer Information Systems
- 6 Intro to Java Programming
- 7 PC Architecture
- 8 Object-Oriented Analysis & Design
- 9 Adv. Program Design with Java
- 10 Network Concepts
- 11 Intro. to Web Development
- 12 UNIX Operating Systems
- 13 Database Systems
- 14 Senior Profesional Project
- 15 Senior Seminar
- 16 Advanced Programming w/ C#
- 17 IT Security
- 18 Network Systems Admin
- 19 Internet Server-Side Programming
- 20 IT Security Management
- 21 Computer Forensics
- 22 Cooperative Education/Internship **Other Course:**
- 23 Principles of Management
- 24 Project Management
- 25 Business Communication

- 26 Other (describe):
- 27 Other (describe):
- 28 Other (describe):
- 29 Other (describe):
- 30 Other (describe):

What were the most valuable things you received from your CIS education at CSU-Pueblo?

- R1 Base programming, Technical Skills
- R2 I've learned that people do people things
- R3 I gained more thorough understanding of how information systems can be used together as v
- R4 The most valuable things I learned from my CIS education would be ethics
- R5 contacts and the ability to communicate with others with & without technical jargon
- R6 My ethics and how to carry myself in the world
- R7
- R8 Discipline
- R9 Programming and teamwork skills
- R10 Programming !!
- R11 Knowledge of networks
- R12 Communication and verbal skills, soft skills, and a basic foundation of knowledge in CIS
- R13 That teamwork is essential
- R14 Programming skills
- R15 Programming skills
- R16 A degree
- R17 information that will help me be successful
 - Insight into how real business work

Team work, dedication, commitment, and relationships with professors.

Be a self learner

Meeting great teachers and life long networks. I learned so much that tied with other classes

R1	
R2	
R3	
R4	
R5	
R6	
R7	If you could change ONE thing about the CIS program/department/faculty to improve the c
R8	Ensure that all teachers <u>want</u> to teach
R9	Get the computer science major in this school; bring more CIS related teacher
R10	I really would like to see a Web Development course that covers java Script more indept pose
R11	I would highly encourage this degree to emphasize on part of the engineering side and possik
R12	Don't force all to learn pas basic programming, not everyone can program
R13	Better or more labs for CIS major to use with the programs we need
R14	-

R15	I wish we have the network access to do our lab work
R16	Improve the technology here so its not so outdated
R17	More programming language
	Nothing
	Update tech, i.e. browsers/OS's
	That & teach could write code from start to finish
	-
	Have android, apple application programming classes
R1	More hands on activities
R2	More real world ar hands on projects
R3	Don't get rid of good professors and don't increase work load of current professors
R4	Improve the amount of hands of experiments
R5	practical learning
R6	Keep professors around for certain areas of study
R7	Discuss any other improvements that you feel should be made to the CIS curriculum/progra
R8	Keeping skills being learned current
R9	Stop requiring textbooks that are constantly updated, old texts are nearly the same. I think the
R9 R10	
	I can't think of any As provide stated, the engineering expect of the field as well as much more software down
R11	As previously stated, the engineering aspect of the field as well as much more software deve
R12	Test all professors can take and pass their own test so that students can perceive their teach
R13	More life situation
R14	
R15	Need more practical classis
R16	Not sure how it is now but PC architecture taught about computers from the 80s and 90s wh
R17	-
	See Aboved
	Upgrade to windows 7 or 8, to teach Mac Applications
	Have application programming
	None
	Keeping up to date with current technology
R1	I would like to have seen more optional CIS classes
R2	The network/wifi, Server maintence
R3	More hands on activities
R4	More hands on with network and security classes less concept more labs
R5	
R6	
R7	Please list any topics/courses you feel should be included in the CIS program that are not c
R8	Ruby, more Hardware Labs
R9	Open learning (ask San Nicolas); Computer science courses; The serue only earl gruy tea
R10	AJAX; LINUX server
R11	Algorithm
R12	Utility of the cloud system and new trending technology as well as more forensic courses
R13	-
R14	-
R15	Ethical hacking

R16 More like senior project

R17 Ruby! Frameworks

_

Computer ethics/business ethics Management Information Systems

Android/Apple application programming App development, smartphone security

more coding such as older like c, c++ App development, smartphone security

5	4	3	2	1				
4	1 -	Λ	1	0	Tot			
4 8	15 10	4	1	0		24 22		
8 11	10 9	3 2	1 1	0 0		22		
11	8	2 4	1	1		23 24		
10	0	4	T	T		24		
6	12	2	2	1		23		
11	7	2	1	1		22		
6	13	5	2	0		26		
8	10	3	3	0		24		
Quality				1 1+:1	:+.,			
Quality 5	4	3	2	Util 1	5	4	3	2
11	- 7	3	1	1	12	5	3	0
11	8	2	1	1	11	6	3	0
11	7	2	0	1	11	6	3	0
11	6	4	0	1	7	9	3	1
6	12	6	0	1	6	10	4	1
13	5	5	0	1	13	7	1	1
10	8	5	1	0	8	8	6	0
10	8	7	1	1	8	7	7	0
8	10	6	2	1	8	10	2	1
5	7	9	4	1	7	8	4	1
8	10	3	4	2	10	7	2	0
5	10	6	4	0	6	9	4	2
7	11	7	0	0	7	11	2	0
7	11	3	0	2	7	11	2	0
7	10	4	0	0	10	5	5	0
7	9	5	0	0	7	9	3	0
5	7	5	1	1	7	5	5	0
5	6	2	2	0	6	6	2	0
5	6	4	1	1	6	7	2	1
6	5	2	1	0	6	5	6	0
6	6	5	0	5	6	4	5	0
3	5	6	1	2	8	5	3	0
7	10	2	1	3	7	8	3	0
, 6	10	3	0	0	5	9	6	0
3	5	2	0	0	5	8	3	0
5	5	2	0	U	5	0	5	0

well as how property install and maintain various platforms

it was amazing

juality of student learning or learning outcomes it delivers, what it would be?

sibly AJAX bly algorithm

am/faculty to improve the quality of the student learning experience:

nat some courses could be taught without a text (all programming classes)

lopment availability ers as qualified

ich is useless

urrently taught, or any approaches to teaching you feel should be included:

1
1
1
1
1
0
0
0
0
0
0 2
2 2
2
0
0
0
1
1
0
2
1
0
1 3
3
0
0 1 1

CIS Senior Exit Survey Computer Information Systems Program Spring 2013

The Computer Information Systems (CIS) Department is interested in your perception of the utility of the education you have received from Colorado State University - Pueblo, specifically in the CIS program. The primary focus of this assessment is on the content and delivery of courses you completed in the CIS Department. Your responses to the following items will have a direct impact on the CIS program and CIS course offerings. The results of this survey will be summarized (your individual response will NOT be identified) and go directly to the CIS program coordinator and the CIS faculty for purposes of evaluation and possible improvements to the CIS curriculum and program.

CIS Program Learning Objectives

Please respond to each of the following items by circling your answer.

Item	Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree		Somewhat Neutral Disagree	
I feel my CIS degree has adequately taught/prepared me for the following areas:	Agree	Agree	Incuttat	Disagree	Disagree
Analyze, design, implement, and maintain an information system	5	4	3	2	1
Communicate clearly in writing and speaking	5	4	3	2	1
Work effectively as a team member for a common purpose	5	4	3	2	1
Identify ethical issues and provide alternatives or solutions	5	4	3	2	1

Preparation for a Career in CIS

Please respond to each of the following items by circling your answer.

Item	Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neutral	Somewhar Disagree	t Strongly Disagree
I feel my CIS degree has adequately prepared me for a job in the CIS field.	5	4	3	2	1
I feel confident in my ability to be successful in a CIS job.	5	4	3	2	1
I have learned everything I need to know to be a good employee in a CIS job.	5	4	3	2	1
Overall, I feel I have the skills and abilities necessary for a successful career in CIS.	5	4	3	2	1

Course Quality and Utility

Please circle your response in each category of Quality and Utility. If you did not take the course described, cross out the course description and leave the response blank.

described, cross out the course description	Qualit The le	y: vel (coi	of qualit ntent and	y ir		Utility: The level of usefulness of the course content and instruction to your future				
Course Description					Low	career.				
	High Quality		Average Quality		Quality	High Utility		Averag Utility		Low Utility
Intro to Word & Windows	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1
PowerPoint & Web Publishing	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1
Excel Spreadsheets	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1
MS Access DBMS	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1
Computer Information Systems	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1
Intro to Java Programming	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1
PC Architecture	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1
Object-Oriented Analysis & Design	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1
Adv. Program Design with Java	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1
Network Concepts	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1
Intro. to Web Development	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1
UNIX Operating Systems	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1
Database Systems	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1
Senior Professional Project	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1
Senior Seminar	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1
Advanced Programming w/ C#	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1
IT Security	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1
Network Systems Admin	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1
Internet Server-Side Programming	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1
IT Security Management	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1
Computer Forensics	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1
Cooperative Education/Internship	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1
Other Courses:										
Principles of Management	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1
Project Management	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1

Business Communications	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1
Other (describe):	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1
Other (describe):	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1
Other (describe):	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1
Other (describe):	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1
Other (describe):	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1

Please respond to each of the following questions:

What were the most valuable things you received from your CIS education at CSU-Pueblo?

If you could change ONE thing about the CIS program/department/faculty to improve the quality of student learning or learning outcomes it delivers, what would it be?

Discuss any other improvements that you feel should be made to the CIS curriculum/program/faculty to improve the quality of the student learning experience:

Please list any topics/courses you feel should be included in the CIS program that are not currently taught, or any approaches to teaching you feel should be included:

THANKS for your feedback! Your perspective on the CIS program will have a direct and immediate impact on the quality of the program.

	1 - Analyze, Design	2 - Written/Oral	3 - Team Skills	4 - Ethics
CIS 100				
Intro to Word &				
Windows				
CIS 103				
PowerPoint & Web				
Publishing				
CIS 104				
Excel Spreadsheets				
CIS 105				
MS Access DBMS				
CIS 150		x (I) Research Paper		x (I) Case Study
Computer		Research ruper		case stady
Information Systems				
CIS 171	c,d (I)			
Intro to Java	homework			
Programming				
CIS 185	a,d (I)	x (I)	х	
PC Architecture	in-class lab exercise	Oral Presentation		
CIS 240	a,b (I)			
	Homework, Exam			
Object-Oriented				
Analysis and Design				
CIS 271	b,c,d (D)		x (D)	
Adv. Program Design	Project		No artifact	
with Java				
CIS 289	a,b (D)	x (D)		x (D)
Network Concepts	Case Projects	Paper, Presentation		Exam
CIS 311	a,b,c,d (D)		x (D)	
Introduction to Web	Individual Project,		Group Project	
Development	Group Project			
CIS 315	c,d (D)			x (D)
UNIX Operating	Homework, Exam,			No artifact
System	quiz			
, CIS 350	a,b,c (D)	x (D)	x (D)	x (D)
	Quiz, Homework,	Project Report,	Peer Review	No artifact
Database Systems	Exam, Project	Presentation		
	a,b,c,d (M)	x - written (M) Oral(M)	x (M)	x (M)
CIS 432				
	Team Semester	Team Project	Semester Project	Case Study
Senior Professional	Project	Document &		
Project		Presentation		
CIS 493		x (M)		x (M)
Senior Seminar		Case Study		Case Study

a. analyze, b. design, c. implement, d. maintain

I: Introductory, D: Developing, M:Mastery

CIS 100, 103, and 104 are core for all business students so, it's not suitable to include them in CIS student-only assessment