Colorado State University – Pueblo Academic Program Assessment Report for AY 2013-2	2014 Due: June 2, 2014
Program: Bachelor of Science in Civil Engineering Technology (BSCET)	Date: May 31, 2014
Completed by: Sylvester A. Kalevela	
Assessment contributors (other faculty involved in this program's assessment):	Nirmal K. Das, Michael A. Mincic

Please complete this form for <u>each undergraduate, minor, certificate, and graduate program</u> (e.g., B.A., B.S., M.S.) in your department. Please copy any addenda (e.g., rubrics) and paste them in this document, and submit it to the dean of your college/school as per the deadline established. The dean will forward it to me as an email attachment before June 2, 2014. You'll also find the form at the assessment website at <a href="http://www.colostate-pueblo.edu/Assessment/ResultsAndReports/Pages/default.aspx">http://www.colostate-pueblo.edu/Assessment/ResultsAndReports/Pages/default.aspx</a>.

#### Listed below are the CET student learning objectives:

Generic Engineering Technology student learning outcomes: Students who complete the CET program at CSU-Pueblo will have the ability to:

- a. apply knowledge, techniques, skills, and tools of the civil engineering discipline to engineering technology activities,
- b. select and apply a knowledge of mathematics, science, engineering, and technology to civil engineering technology problems,
- c. conduct standard tests and measurements; analyze and interpret experimental data; and apply experimental results to improve processes,
- d. design systems, components, or processes for civil engineering technology problems,
- e. function effectively as a members or leaders on a technical team,
- f. identify, analyze, and solve broadly-defined engineering technology problems,
- g. communicate effectively regarding subjects related to engineering technology activities,
- h. demonstrate a disposition to engage in self-directed continuing professional development,
- i. demonstrate an understanding of professional and ethical responsibilities,
- j. demonstrate an understanding of the impact of engineering technology solutions to society, and
- k. demonstrate commitment to quality, timeliness, and continuous improvement.

Civil Engineering Technology Student learning outcomes: In order to enable graduates to attain the CET program educational objectives, CET students are trained to acquire specific skills and the ability to:

- A. utilize principles and appropriate technology to produce drawings, reports, quantity estimates, and other documents related to civil engineering;
- B. conduct standardized field and laboratory tests related to civil engineering;
- C. utilize surveying methods and equipment to perform land measurement or construction layout;
- D. apply fundamental computational methods and elementary analytical techniques to solve civil engineering technology problems.
- E. plan and prepare documents appropriate for design and construction;
- F. perform economic analyses and cost estimates related to design, construction, operations and maintenance of systems associated with civil engineering;
- G. select appropriate engineering materials and practices; and
- H. perform standard analysis and design of elements for structures, hydraulic and hydrologic systems, construction operations, and transportation systems.

Please describe the 2013-2014 assessment activities for the program in Part I. Use Column H to describe improvements planned for 2014-2015 based on the assessment process. In Part II, please describe activities engaged in during 2013-2014 designed to close-the-loop (improve the program) based on assessment activities and the information gathered in 2012-2013. Thank you.

#### I. Program student learning outcomes (SLOs) assessed in this cycle, processes, results, and recommendations.

	Which of the program	B. When	C. What	D. Who was	E. What is the	F. What were	G. What were	H. What
	s were assessed during	was this	method was	assessed?	expected	the results of the	the	changes/improvemen
	cycle? Please include	SLO last	used for	Please fully	achievement	assessment?	department's	ts to the <u>program</u> are planned based
	outcome(s) verbatim	assessed?	assessing the SLO? <b>Please</b>	describe the student	level and how		conclusions	on this
Troi	m the assessment plan.	indicate	include a copy	group(s) and	many or what proportion of		about student performance?	assessment?
		the	of any rubrics	the number of	students should		periormance:	assessifient:
		semester	used in the	students or	be at it?			
		and year.	assessment	artifacts	be at it:			
		ana year.	process.	involved.				
b.	Select and apply	Fall 2013	Tests and	Students	Seventy -five	Only 42% of	Expectations	Review current
	knowledge of	and spring	semester	enrolled in	(75) percent	students in CET	NOT attained	pre-requisites,
	mathematics,	2014	exams	Engineering	of students	202, 40% of	in statics,	determine if
	science,			Mechanics	achieve an	students in CET	strength of	change is
	engineering, and			(CET 202	overall score	206, and 57%	materials and	required, and
	technology to civil			Statics, CET	of 70% in each	of students in	in dynamics.	implement the
	engineering			222 Dynamics	of the three	CET 222	,	necessary
	technology			and CET 206	courses.	achieved		changes to help
	problems.			Strength of		scores of 70%		students perform
	•			Materials of )		or better in the		better in
				,		respective		engineering
						classes.		mechanics.
c.	Conduct standard	Spring	Evaluate lab	Students	Seventy -five	95% of all	Expectations	
	tests and	2014	reports	enrolled in	(75) percent	students	attained lab	
	measurements;		conducted	CET 315 Soil	of students	achieved a	activities	
	analyze and interpret		during the	Mechanics	achieve an	score of 70% or		
	experimental data;		semester	Technology	overall score	better and		
	and apply				of 70% in each	preparing		
	experimental results				of the	reports.		
	to improve				courses.			
	processes.				00013031			
Α.	Utilize principles and	Fall 2013	Senior Design	Graduating	75% of all	75% of student	Expectation	Review this

						1. 1		, 1
	appropriate	and	Project	Seniors	project teams	achieved a	barely	course and
	technology to	spring			select project	score of 70% or	attained	identify
	produce drawings,	2014			construction	better		improvement
	reports, quantity				materials to			opportunities for
	estimates, and other				the			this area of the
	documents related				satisfaction			program.
	to civil engineering.				external			
					reviewers			
B.	Conduct	Spring	Evaluate lab	Students	Seventy -five	95% of	Expectations	
	standardized field	2014	reports	enrolled in	(75) percent	students	attained lab	
	and laboratory tests		conducted	CET 315 Soil	of students	achieved a	activities	
	related to civil		during the	Mechanics	achieve a	score of 70% or		
	engineering.		semester	Technology	score of 70%.	better in		
C.	Utilize surveying	Fall 2013	Course tests	Students	Seventy -five	83.7% of	Expectations	Need to
	methods and	and	and semester	enrolled in	(75) percent	student	attained	strengthen the
	equipment to	spring	exam	surveying	of students	achieved a		application of
	perform land	2014		courses: CET	achieve an	score of 70% or		trigonometry in
	measurement or			102 and CET	overall score	better		this area.
	construction layout.			103	of 70% in each			
					of the			
					courses.			
D.	Apply fundamental	Fall 2013	Tests and End	CET students	75% of	About 83% of	Expectation	Student exhibited
	computational		of semester	enrolled in the	students	the student	satisfied	problems with
	methods and		exam for a	computer	demonstrate	demonstrated		the math
	elementary		computer	application	proficiency in	proficiency in		elements
	analytical		applications in	class (CET	M.S. Excel	M.S. Excel and		required to
	techniques to		problem	226)	and ability to	ability to write		develop
	•		solving		write simple	simple		computer
	solve civil				computer	computer		solutions.
	engineering				programs	programs		Therefore, it is
	technology				using a	using a		recommended to
	problems.				selected	selected		monitor the
					system	system		Algebra
								background of
								student. Algebra
								is a pre-requisite
								to the computer

								course.
E.	plan and prepare documents appropriate for design and construction;	Spring 2014	Senior Design Project	Graduating Seniors	75% of all project teams select project construction materials and methods and achieve a score 70% of better.	90 % of student achieved a score of 70% or better	Expectation attained	Review this course and identify improvement opportunities.

Comments:

- (a) Student outcomes for CET are listed in two sets. One set consists of outcomes (a) through (k); the second set comprises outcomes (A) through (H).
- (b) This is Cycle #3 of 4 cycles that the CET program expects to complete the assessment of all student outcomes for the program

## II. Follow-up (closing the loop) on results and activities from previous assessment cycles. In this section, please describe actions taken during this cycle that were based on, or implemented to address, the results of assessment from previous cycles.

A. What SLO(s) did you address? Please include the outcome(s) verbatim from the assessment plan.	B. When was this SLO last assessed? Please indicate the semester and year.	C. What were the recommendations for change from the previous assessment?	D. Were the recommendations for change acted upon? If not, why?	E. What were the results of the changes? If the changes were not effective, what are the next steps or the new recommendations?
a. Apply knowledge, techniques, skills, and tools of the civil engineering discipline to engineering technology activities.	Spring, 2013	Make sure student appropriate considerations for project cost.	Yes, the recommendations were acted upon. Students were explicitly required to make project cost estimates based on real-world costs	All project teams complete economic analyses and cost estimates for a senior project based on expected practice, including all of the key cost items.

Comments:

### APPENDIX 1: CET Senior Project Oral Presentation Rubric

Student Learrning Outcome: An ability to apply written, oral, and graphical communication in both technical and non-technical environments; and an

ability to identify and use appropriate technical literature.

Source of Evidence: Oral presentations of CET Senior Design Project (Held on April 26, 2013)

Program Expectations: At least 75% of project teams should receive a score of 15 or better on a scale of 20 points

	4-Outstanding	3-Acceptable	2-Developing	1 - Unacceptable
Presentation  Materials  Score:	<ul> <li>The presentation layout is neat and attractive, and is supported by graphics that are relevant to the discussion topic.</li> <li>Presentation text is easily legible by the audience.</li> <li>The graphics are easy to view or read, and help the audience to understand the material.</li> <li>The presentation is free of grammatical mistakes and typographical errors.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The presentation layout is neat and attractive, and is supported by graphics that are relevant to the discussion topic.</li> <li>Most of the presentation text is easily legible by the audience.</li> <li>Most of the graphics are easy to view, and help the audience to understand the material</li> <li>The presentation has one or two grammatical mistakes or typographical errors.</li> </ul>	The presentation layout is slightly disorganized. A significant number of graphics are not clear or not relevant to the topic. Most of the text cannot be read by the audience There are two or more grammatical mistakes or typographical errors in the presentation.	The presentation layout is not organized graphics are not relevant to the discussion topic. Presentation text is not easily legible by the audience. Graphics are hard to view Presentation has many grammatical mistakes or typographical errors.
Technical Information Score:	<ul> <li>Appropriate level of relevant detail is provided to enable the audience to form independent opinion regarding the subject matter.</li> <li>Presenters were well prepared to answer questions and to provide clarification on difficult parts of the material.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Relevant detail is provided to enable the audience to form independent opinion regarding the subject matter.</li> <li>Presenters adequately prepared to answer questions and clarify difficult parts of the material</li> </ul>	Presentation difficulty to understand due to occasional lack of adequate information or inordinately too much detail.     Presenters were marginally prepared and not able to answer questions about fundamental elements of the project.	Presentation lacks the necessary technical detail and the audience cannot understand the technical aspects of the project.     Presenters did not answer expected questions.
Design Solution Score:	<ul> <li>The presenters provided a logical project design process.</li> <li>Presenters clearly stated the design assumptions and governing constraints.</li> <li>All design assumptions were appropriate.</li> <li>Design alternatives were presented and the value of the selected design solution is apparent.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The presenters provided a logical project design process.</li> <li>Presenters stated the design assumptions and governing constraints.</li> <li>Most design assumptions were appropriate.</li> <li>Design alternatives were presented and the value of the selected design solution is supported.</li> </ul>	Presenters did not provide a consistent logical design process.     Presenters stated some design assumptions but did not articulate adequately support them.     Most design assumptions were not properly articulated.     The design alternatives presented did not merit consideration.	The presentation does not provide a logical project design process. Design assumptions and governing constraints not clearly stated. No alternative design solutions were presented.
Interaction with Audience Score:	The presenters drew interest from the audience and engaged the audience during the entire presentation period. Presenters allowed the audience to ask questions	The presenters drew interest from the audience and engaged the audience during most of the presentation period. Presenters allowed the audience to ask questions	The presenters lost the audience interest during a significant amount of time. Presenters lost contact with audience during the presentation	Presenters did not engage the audience.     Presenters did not allow the audience to ask questions
Professional Etiquette Score:	Presenters were dressed well and appropriately for the occasion     Presenters were very considerate in there responses to questions.     Presentation was started and concluded within the expected time limits.     Presenters exhibited high level of team coordination.	<ul> <li>Presenters were dressed well and appropriately for the occasion.</li> <li>Some presenters had body language or speech that could be improved.</li> <li>Presentation conducted in a timely manner but had a rough start or end.</li> <li>Presenters exhibited good team coordination.</li> </ul>	Presenters were dressed well and appropriately for the occasion. Some presenters' body language or speech needs significant improvement. Presenters exhibited unpreparedness and did not conclude within the expected time limit. Evidence of lack of teamwork during the presentation.	Presenters were not dressed well or appropriately for the occasion     Presenters were not considerate in their responses to questions.     The presentation was not completed within the expected time limits.     Presenters exhibited poor team coordination.
Total Score				

# APPENDIX 2: CAPSTONE PROJECT PEER EVALUATION FORM

Name of evaluator:	Name person evaluated
$\label{lem:complete} \mbox{Complete this assessment of participation for }$	each participant including yourself.

	1	2	3	4	5	SCORE
Availability to Meet and Work with Team	Never	Only occasionally	Most meetings	Missed only one or two meetings	Never missed a meeting	
Willingness to Voluntarily Accept Assignments	Never	Rarely cooperative	Mostly cooperative	Most assignments	Missed only one or two deadlines	
Completed Assignments on Time	Never	Only occasionally	Most assignments	Missed only one or two deadlines	Never missed a deadline	
Quality of Completed Assignments	None or little completed	Many mistakes or omissions	Few mistakes or omissions	Only minor mistakes or omissions	Excellent	
Quantity of Work	None or little completed	Much less than other team members	Somewhat less than other team members	Same as other team members	More than other team members	
Collaboration and Leadership	None	Rarely offered suggestions or was willing to compromise	Reluctant to offer and/or consider suggestions	Offered suggestions and respected other opinions	Respected as team leader by others	

	Total Score
What was the individual's primary contribution (s) to the project?	
What was the most significant problem your team encountered throughout the e communications, schedule conflicts, personality clashes)?	ntire project (e.g.
Considering both <i>quality and quantity</i> of the individual's contribution, and based what score do you think the individual has earned on this project?	on a scale of <b>1</b> to 1 <b>0</b> ,
Other comments on the performance of the person evaluated	