Colorado State University – Pueblo Academic Program Assessment Report for AY 2013-2014 Program: ___Chemistry, M.S. ______ Completed by: __Richard Farrer _____ Assessment contributors (other faculty involved in this program's assessment): __All chemistry faculty supplied the data from the appropriate ACS exams and seminar evaluations. _Compilation and the report was completed by Dr. Lehmpuhl ______

Please complete this form for <u>each undergraduate, minor, certificate, and graduate program</u> (e.g., B.A., B.S., M.S.) in your department. Please copy any addenda (e.g., rubrics) and paste them in this document, and submit it to the dean of your college/school as per the deadline established. The dean will forward it to me as an email attachment before June 2, 2014. You'll also find the form at the assessment website at http://www.colostate-pueblo.edu/Assessment/ResultsAndReports/Pages/default.aspx.

Please describe the 2013-2014 assessment activities for the program in Part I. Use Column H to describe improvements planned for 2014-2015 based on the assessment process. In Part II, please describe activities engaged in during 2013-2014 designed to close-the-loop (improve the program) based on assessment activities and the information gathered in 2012-2013. Thank you.

I. Program student learning outcomes (SLOs) assessed in this cycle, processes, results, and recommendations.

A. Which of the	B. When	C. What	D. Who was	E. What is	F. What	G. What were the	H. What
program SLOs	was this	method was	assessed?	the	were the	department's	changes/improvements
were assessed	SLO last	used for	Please fully	expected	results of the	conclusions about	to the <u>program</u> are
during this	assessed?	assessing the	describe the	achievement	assessment?	student	planned based on this
cycle? Please	Please	SLO? Please	student	level and		performance?	assessment?
include the	indicate	include a copy	group(s) and	how many			
outcome(s)	the	of any rubrics	the number	or what			
verbatim from	semester	used in the	of students	proportion			
the assessment	and year.	assessment	or artifacts	of students			
plan.		process.	involved.	should be at			
				it?			
1: Chemistry	It appears	This SLO is	CHEM510(3	All students	All students	MS program	See comments in Part II
MS students	that the	assessed	students),	should	successfully	faculty are	of this assessment. This
will be able to	last	through both	CHEM592(1	receive a	moving	impressed with the	is the first year that I have

evaluate the	assessme	performance in	student),	grade of A	toward	core group of	been director of the
scientific	nt was	coursework	CHEM593(4	or B in all	graduation.	students that are	Chemistry MS Program,
literature and	complete	and	students),	graded	All, but one,	currently in the MS	and I have not had time
to use it in their	d by Mel	performance	CHEM589(3	courses. All	students	program. Although	to reevaluate the
courses and	Druelinger	during thesis	students –	students	maintaining	a few students	assessment program that
research.	in June	committee	none have	should have	a 3.0 or abo	have extended	is in place. In the coming
	2013.	meetings. I	defended to	positive	ve GPA.	their stays, most	year, I will address issues
		believe that all	this date),	reviews		are making	that we find. However, it
		500 level	CHEM599(5	from		progress toward	appears that students are
		courses involve	students).	committee		their degree.	successful once they
		some	Also, all	meetings –			graduate and find either a
		evaluation of	students	which shows			PhD program or
		literature;	have had at	that the			employment.
		however all MS	least one	student is			
		students begin	committee	making the			
		their	meeting this	necessary			
		coursework in	past year.	progress			
		CHEM510,		toward			
		where students		graduation.			
		are expected to		All students			
		develop a		should			
		thesis plan.		receive an A			
		Additionally, in		in the thesis			
		CHEM593		defense –			
		(seminar) and		showing			
		CHEM589		mastery of			
		(thesis		their area of			
		defense),		study and			
		students are		research.			
		required to		Realistically,			
		demonstrate		some			
		significant		student			
		knowledge of		perform			
		scientific		poorly in			

		literature. For		classwork –			
		students who		many			
		take the		students not			
		intership		prepared for			
		•		• •			
		option,		depth,			
		CHEM588 is		breadthe,			
		the intership		and scope of			
		defense. Also,		courses			
		students are		and/or			
		evaluated		research.			
		during research		Students			
		credits,		must			
		CHEM599 and		maintain a			
		CHEM592.		3.0 GPA to			
				remain in			
				good			
				standing in			
				the			
				program.			
2: Chemistry	It appears	See SLO 1.	CHEM510(3	Formal	All students	MS program	See comments in Part II
MS students	that the	Coursework,	students),	evaluations	have shown	faculty are	of this assessment. This
will be able to	last	research, and	CHEM592(1	occur during	adequate	impressed with the	is the first year that I have
effectively	assessme	committee	student),	courses,	growth and	core group of	been director of the
communicate	nt was	meetings are	CHEM593(4	committee	are	students that are	Chemistry MS Program,
scientific	complete	used to guide	students),	meetings	satisfactorily	currently in the MS	and I have not had time
research, both	d by Mel	and direct the	CHEM589(3	and thesis	progressing	program. Although	to reevaluate the
their own and	Druelinger	student toward	students –	defenses.	towards	a few students	assessment program that
information	in June	mastery in this	none have	Non-formal	graduation.	have extended	is in place. In the coming
from the	2013.	area, and also	defended to	evaluations	One student	their stays, most	year, I will address issues
research		for purposed of	this date),	occur in	currently	are making	that we find. However, it
literature, in		evaluating the	CHEM599(5	regular	below the	progress toward	appears that students are
written and		students'	students).	group	3.0 mark.	their degree.	successful once they
oral fashions.		growth and	Also, all	meetings,			graduate and find either a
		abilities in	students	meetings			PhD program or
		asinces in	Staucito				brogram or

these areas.	have had at	with		employment.
Additionally	least one	advisors,		
individual	committee	and in		
research group	meeting this	everyday		
meetings often	past year.	laboratory		
require		interactions.		
students to				
discuss their				
research with				
the faculty				
mentor and				
other group				
members –				
such				
discussions				
often lead to				
analysis of data				
via the				
scientific				
method and				
through critical				
thinking. Thus,				
some of the				
best areas for				
growth of the				
students				
occurs in non-				
formal, non-				
graded				
settings.				
Honestly, these				
are the				
important				
times the				

	1	student needs					
		to succeed –					
		since					
		employment					
		will be more					
		similar to these					
		occasions than					
2. Chamainta	14	courses.	CUEN4540/2	Assis all	All students	Facultu la annu cuitla	Con commonto in Dout II
3: Chemistry	It appears	See SLO 2.	CHEM510(3	Again, all	All students	Faculty happy with	See comments in Part II
MS students	that the		students),	students	showing	student progress,	of this assessment. This
will develop	last		CHEM592(1	should	progress	for the most part.	is the first year that I have
and master the	assessme		student),	complete	towards	While no real	been director of the
scientific	nt was		CHEM593(4	each course	mastery of	concern is evident,	Chemistry MS Program,
problem	complete		students),	with an A or	this material.	some faculty would	and I have not had time
solving skills	d by Mel		CHEM589(3	B, and		like to see some	to reevaluate the
required to	Druelinger		students –	students		students become	assessment program that
define and	in June		none have	should have		proficient at this at	is in place. In the coming
solve basic or	2013.		defended to	positive		a faster rate.	year, I will address issues
applied original			this date),	reviews		However, this	that we find. However, it
scientific			CHEM599(5	after each		material seems to	appears that students are
questions using			students).	committee		be some of the	successful once they
the scientific			Also, all	meeting.		most difficult for	graduate and find either a
method			students	However,		students to grasp –	PhD program or
			have had at	the		honestly, some	employment.
			least one	committee		doctoral students	
			committee	meetings		still struggle with	
			meeting this	are also to		development of a	
			past year.	assist		strong	
				misdirected		experimental	
				students		method based on	
				back to a		the scientific	
				path toward		method.	
				graduation.			
				At the time			

				the students			
				choose to			
				defend their			
				thesis/inters			
				hip, the			
				student			
				must be at			
				or very near			
				mastery of			
				their			
				material,			
				and have a			
				firm grasp			
				on the			
				scientific			
				method and			
				how to			
				apply it to			
				experimenta			
				l design,			
				data			
				analysis, and			
				production			
				of results.			
4: Chemistry	It appears	CHEM592 and	CHEM592(1	Students	No defenses	MS program	See comments in Part II
MS students	that the	CHEM599 –	student),	graded on	from	faculty are	of this assessment. This
will actively	last	research,	CHEM599(5	CHEM599 –	students	impressed with the	is the first year that I have
engage in	assessme	CHEM598 –	students),	thesis	enrolled in	core group of	been director of the
collaborative	nt was	intership. Final	CHEM589(3	research and	CHEM589 –	students that are	Chemistry MS Program,
research or	complete	assessment at	students) –	CHEM588/5	all	currently in the MS	and I have not had time
internships and	d by Mel	thesis defense	no defenses	89 defenses.	incompletes	program. Although	to reevaluate the
discourse with	Druelinger	(CHEM589) or	at this time –	All other	– several	a few students	assessment program that
the faculty in	in June	intership	all	internship/r	students	have extended	is in place. In the coming
the Chemistry	2013.	defense	incompletes.	esearch is	nearing	their stays, most	year, I will address issues
							,, , add. ccc

Department		(CHEM588).		pass/fail. All	completion.	are making	that we find. However, it
and other		(students	All students	progress toward	appears that students are
STEM				should be	satisfactorily	their degree.	successful once they
disciplines as				receiving	completed		graduate and find either a
appropriate				either an A	research		PhD program or
				or B in thesis	coursework.		employment.
				research,			,
				and all			
				students			
				should be			
				receiving			
				satisfactory			
				grades in			
				S/U			
				coursework.			
				Students			
				should			
				receive A's			
				for			
				defenses.			
5: Chemistry	It appears	CHEM588,	None of the	Students are	The	Faculty were	See comments in Part II
MS students	that the	CHEM589,	students	expected to	symposium	impressed with	of this assessment. This
and faculty will	last	CHEM593,	enrolled in	receive A's	presentation	symposium	is the first year that I have
disseminate	assessme	CSU-Pueblo	CHEM589	in their	s were	presentations;	been director of the
the prodcts of	nt was	symposia, and	have	defenses.	excellent –	Matthew Dunbar's	Chemistry MS Program,
the Chemistry	complete	regional and	defended.	For	students	defense was OK.	and I have not had time
MS program	d by Mel	national	We had one	symposia,	were well		to reevaluate the
within the CSU-	Druelinger	scientific	student,	students are	prepared		assessment program that
Pueblo	in June	meetings.	Matthew	expected to	and able to		is in place. In the coming
community and	2013.	Also,	Dunbar,	know the	provide		year, I will address issues
communities		publication of	complete his	material and	insights into		that we find. However, it
outside the		material in	defense this	confidently	their		appears that students are
university in		scientific	year.	discuss their	research and		successful once they
activities using		journals.	Graduate	experiments	results.		graduate and find either a

their	students	and results.	Matthew	PhD program or
professional	presented	This is	Dunbar's	employment.
expertise	their	typically the	defense was	
	research at	case, since	OK – he	
	the RAGE	faculty	received a	
	Graduate	ensure that	B+ for the	
	Student	the material	defense –	
	Symposium	is prepared	clearly we	
	that was held	well, and the	would like to	
	Spring 2014 –	student is	have seen	
	four students	also	him perform	
	presented	prepared.	a little	
	research as	Faculty	better.	
	this	spend many		
	symposium.	hourse		
		working		
		with		
		students in		
		preparation		
		of		
		presentation		
		S.		

During the 2013-2014 academic year, only one student (Matthew Dunbar) graduated from the Chemistry MS program. While this may imply that the population of students in the program is low, that is not the case. While the number of students entering the program is a concern, the population of students that are currently enrolled in the program is relatively strong. However, students are not completing their degrees in the time typically allotted for an MS degree. The program provides that a student that is enrolled full-time should be able to complete the degree in two years (full years not academic years). However, many of the students that are currently enrolled in either the Chemistry or Biochemistry MS programs are employed full-time, and therefore are enrolled as graduate students on a part-time basis.

II. Follow-up (closing the loop) on results and activities from previous assessment cycles. In this section, please describe actions taken during this cycle that were based on, or implemented to address, the results of assessment from previous cycles.

A. What SLO(s)	B. When was this	C. What were the	D. Were the	E. What were the results of the
did you address?	SLO last assessed?	recommendations for change	recommendations for	changes? If the changes were not
Please include	Please indicate the	from the previous	change acted upon? If not,	effective, what are the next steps or
the outcome(s)	semester and year.	assessment?	why?	the new recommendations?
verbatim from				
the assessment				
plan.				

Comments: This past year was my first as director of the Chemistry and Biochemistry MS programs. While ensuring that the students in these programs receive a quality and relevant education, my primary concern during this first year (and into the current year) is the challenges associated with enrollment in the two MS programs. My goal at this time is to ensure that we are able to populate the programs with quality students that are serious about graduate work in chemistry and biochemistry. All faculty associated with the chemistry and biochemistry MS programs feel strongly that the programs remain focused on scientific inquiry and are not bastardized into some/with some profession program. However, I do understand the necessity of assessment, and I will coordinate a meeting with Erin Frew, so that I may build upon the assessment protocol that has been developed previously for the Chemistry and Biochemistry MS programs.