Program: SPANISH MAJOR Date: May 31 2013

Completed by ALEGRIA RIBADENEIRA

Please complete this form for <u>each undergraduate program</u> (e.g., B.A., B.S.) in your department and return it to Erin Frew, <u>erin.frew@colostate-pueblo.edu</u> as an email attachment before June 1, 2011. You'll also find the form at the assessment website at http://www.colostate-pueblo.edu/Assessment/Resources/Pages/default.aspx. Thank you.

** Please read the following background information before examining the data in the Spanish major assessment report.

Spanish Majors will achieve a level of proficiency in Spanish communication skills (listening, speaking, reading, and writing) and in the understanding of Hispanic cultures sufficient to allow them to function effectively in careers in teaching, business, the media, government, the arts, etc.

These outcomes will be achieved by showing proficiency in the 5 C's:

- 1) Communication: The communication standard stresses the use of Spanish for communication in —real life situations. It emphasizes —what students can do with language rather than —what they know about language. Students are asked to communicate in oral and written form, to interpret oral and written messages, to show cultural understanding when they communicate, and to present oral and written information to various audiences for a variety of purposes.
- 2) Cultures: Cultural understanding is an important part of Spanish language education. Experiencing other cultures develops a better understanding and appreciation of the relationship between languages and other cultures, as well as the student's native culture. Students become better able to understand other people's points of view, ways of life, and contributions to the world.
- 3) Connections: Spanish-language instruction must be connected with other subject areas. Content from other subject areas is integrated with Spanishlanguage instruction through lessons or courses that are developed around themes common to other subject areas.

- 4) Comparisons: Students are encouraged to compare and contrast Spanish language and cultures with their own. They discover patterns, make predictions, and analyze similarities and differences across languages and cultures. Students often come to understand their native language and culture better through such comparisons.
- 5) Communities: Extending learning experiences from the Spanish-language classroom to the home and multilingual and multicultural community emphasizes living in a global society. Activities may include: field trips; use of e-mail and the Internet; participation in clubs, exchange or study-abroad programs, and cultural activities; school-to-work opportunities; and opportunities to hear speakers of Spanish at the University and in the classroom.

In order to assess these outcomes all graduating seniors majoring in Spanish participate in four assessment measures:

- 1. An Oral Proficiency Interview (OPI) which determines students' oral language communication level based on the ACTFL proficiency guidelines. (For the rubric used for the OPT please refer to the American Council of Foreign Language Teaching guidelines: http://actflproficiencyguidelines2012.org/speaking)
- 2. A Written Proficiency Test (WPT) which determines students' written language level based on ACTFL proficiency guidelines. In addition, this short written exam measures the students' knowledge of Cultures, Connections and Comparisons. (For the rubric used for the WPT please see attachment)
- 3. An exit survey which measures their perceptions and knowledge of Communication, Cultures, Connections, Comparisons and Communities. (Please see attachment)
- 4. A portfolio consisting of a sample of a student's best writing in the target language. This item measures Communication, Cultures, Connections and Comparisons. (For the rubric refer to the same rubric used for the WPT)

I. Program student learning outcomes (SLOs) assessed in this cycle, processes, results, and recommendations.

| A. Which of the | B. When | C. What | D. Who | E. What is the | F. What | G. What were the | H. What |
|--------------------|-----------|-------------|------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|
| program SLOs | was this | method | was | expected | were the | department's | changes/improvements |
| were assessed | SLO last | was used | assessed? | achievement level | results of | conclusions about | are planned based on |
| during this cycle? | assessed? | for | | and how many | the | student | this assessment? |
| | | assessing | | students should | assessment? | performance? | |
| | | the SLO? | | be at it? | | | |
| COMMUNICATION | 2011/12 | OPI (Oral | GRAD. | 85% Should score | OPI: | All students | For oral component: |
| The | | Proficiency | SENIORS | Intermediate High | Superior = 3 | reached the goal! | |
| | | Interview) | 2012/13 | or higher | Adv. High=3 | This was a good | 1. Continue our Strong |
| | | | (13 OPIS) | | Adv. Mid=1 | year! | Oral Component at all |
| | | | | | Adv. Low-4 | | course levels. Keep oral |
| | | | | | Int. High= 2 | | tasks in class based on |
| | | | | | Int. Mid=0 | | ACTFL (American Council |
| | | | | | Int. Low= 0 | | on the Teaching of |
| | | | | | | | Foreign Languages) Int. |
| | | | | | 100% | | High levels. |
| | | | | | reached the | | |
| | | | | | goal. | | 2. Continue with outside |
| | | STUDENT | SENIORS | 85% Should score | Superior = 6 | The issue that | class opportunities for |
| | | PORTFOL. | 2011/12 | Advanced Mid or | Adv. High=4 | lowered the scores | Oral Practice. |
| | | | (15 PORT.) | Higher | Adv. Mid=0 | was student's | |
| | | | | | Adv. Low-5 | inability to | 3. Continue having |
| | | | | | Int. High= 0 | construct good | instructors participate in |
| | | | | | Int. Mid=0 | paragraphs which | professional |
| | | | | | Int. Low= 0 | is an Adv. Mid. skill. | development so they |
| | | | | | | However this | teach for oral |
| | | | | | <mark>66.6%</mark> | students are from | |
| | | | | | reached the | 2011/12 before we | proficiency. |
| | | | | | goal. | started our | 4. Conduct an Oral |
| | | | | | | program wide | |
| | | | | | | emphasis on | Proficiency Interview in |

| | | STUDENT PORTFOL. | SENIORS 2011/12 (15 PORT.) | 85% Should score Advanced Mid or Higher | Superior = 6 Adv. High=4 Adv. Mid=0 Adv. Low-5 Int. High= 0 Int. Mid=0 Int. Low= 0 66.6% reached the goal. | paragraphs so the goal is to have the next set of portfolios show improvement. | Mid – track (beginning of fifth semester) in order to have time to correct. For written component: 1. More opportunities for students to narrate in time frames and deal with paragraph construction at 200, 300 |
|---------|---------|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| | | WRITTEN PROFICIEN CY TEST (WPT) | SENIORS 2012/13 (13 WPT) | 85% Should score Intermediate High or Higher | Superior = 2 Adv. High=3 Adv. Mid=1 Adv. Low-2 Int. High= 3 Int. Mid=0 Int. Low= 2 84.6% reached the goal. | This year we hit our mark. This is encouraging since this is the group that was exposed to our increased emphasis on paragraph construction. We can still do better. | and 400 level. 2. Increase the amount of readings that present argumentations, expositions etc so students get strong input. |
| | | GRAD SURV | SENIORS 2012/13 (16 SURV) | SENIOR SURVEY: 85% should "agree" or "agree strongly" to all questions pertaining to Communication. | SURVEY: 100% agreed or agreed strongly. | It is good to see that students' perception is strong in this measure. | |
| CULTURE | 2011/12 | STUDENT PORTFOL. WRITTEN PROFICIEN | SENIORS 2011/12 (15 PORT.) SENIORS 2012/13 | 85% should score "well" or "very well" on question #1 85% should score "well" or "very | 100% did well or very well 100% did "well" or | This is a strong measure. Though we reached the goal, | Continue with cultural approaches at every level. Continue with our focus on culture through |

| | | CY TEST (WPT) GRAD SURV | (13 WPT) SENIORS 2012/13 (16 SURV x 4 questions) | well" on question #1 85% should "agree" or "agree strongly" to all questions pertaining to Communication. | "very well" SURVEY: 98.4 agreed or agreed strongly. | we should shoot for 100% This area is strong. | 4. Continue to offer more cultural activities outside class. |
|-------------|---------|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| CONNECTIONS | 2011/12 | STUDENT PORTFOL. | SENIORS 2011/12 (15 PORT.) | 85% should score "well" or "very well" on question #2 | 100% did "well" or "very well" | This area is strong. | Continue our focus on multidisciplinary approaches. |
| | | WRITTEN PROFICIEN CY TEST (WPT) GRAD SURV | SENIORS 2012/13 (13 WPTs) SENIORS 2012/13 (16 SURV) | 85% should score "well" or "very well" on question #2 85% should "agree" or "agree strongly" to all questions pertaining to Communication. | 84.6% did "well" or "very well" 84.6% agreed or agreed strongly 7.7% disagreed. | There was improvement from last year! This area is strong but we can do even better. We are lower than last year. | 2. Being explicit about the connections students can make through their interdisciplinary courses. 3. Continue to offer our conversation/compositions courses as interdisciplinary courses that touch on various subject areas where SPN can be practiced. 4. Propose a "special topics" 300 level conversation/composition course in order to explore new themes. 5. Offer broader course titles and content. Thematically organized courses |

| | | | | | | | 6. Promote FL 370 as service learning and field experience that connects Spanish learning with other subject areas.7. Make students aware of the connections they are making. |
|-------------|---------|---------------------------------|--|--|---|---|--|
| COMPARISONS | 2011/12 | STUDENT PORTFOL. | SENIORS 2011-12 (10 PORT.) | 85% should score "well" or "very well" on question #3 | Of the four applicable portfolios, 100% did "well" or "very well" | Strong outcome | Continue fostering comparisons in culture, language, etc. inside and outside class. Conversation/Composit |
| | | WRITTEN PROFICIEN CY TEST (WPT) | SENIORS 2012/13 (13 WPTs) | 85% should score "well" or "very well" on question #3 | 84.6% did "well" or very well" | We improved from last year! | ion courses should continue to have at least one paper where students do a |
| | | GRAD SURV | SENIORS 2012/13 (16 SURV) | 85% should "agree" or "agree strongly" to all questions pertaining to Comparisons. | agreed or agreed strongly. | Strong outcome | comparison/contrast. This will help practice this skill. |
| COMMUNITIES | 2011/12 | GRAD SURV | GRAD. SENIORS 2012/13 (16 SURV) | GRADUATE SURVEY: Students should "Agree" or "Strongly Agree" to the question that pertains to communities. Minimum percentage of | SURVEY: 87.5% agreed or agreed strongly. | We dropped from last year but are still in the range. | 1. Continue extending learning experiences from the classroom to the home and multilingual and multicultural community. 2. Continue creating opportunities where students use SPN to become part of a larger community. Field trips; |

Comments: For this assessment cycle we used all our measures. This has been very beneficial. **All and proposed changes and improvements** remain to be discussed with the whole faculty. These are preliminary observations by the Coordinator. Next year we will assess the following:

| AY 2013/14 | Senior Surveys |
|------------|-------------------------------------|
| | OPI (Oral Proficiency Interviews) |
| | WPT (Written Prof. Tests) (2013/14) |
| | Portfolios (2012/13) |
| AY 2014/15 | Senior Surveys |
| | OPI (Oral Proficiency Interviews) |
| | WPT (Written Prof. Tests) (2014/15) |
| | Portfolios (2013/14) |

B. Follow-up (closing the loop) on results and activities from previous assessment cycles. In this section, please describe actions taken during this cycle that were based on, or implemented to address, the results of assessment from previous cycles.

| A. What SLO(s) did | B. When was this | C. What were the | D. Were the | E. What were the results of the |
|--------------------|------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| you address? | SLO last | recommendations for change | recommendations for | changes? If the changes were not |
| | assessed? | from the previous | change acted upon? If not, | effective, what are the next steps or |
| | | assessment? | why? | the new recommendations? |
| COMMUNICATION | Summer 2012 | Preliminary proposal: | We reworked the oral | We hit all our target goals but one! The |
| | | | components in SPN 101 | portfolio assessment is still below |

| For | oral component: | and 102 | expectations, however, it must be noted that the portfolios being |
|-------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| 1.5 | tronger Oral Component | We conducted a beginning | assessed are a year behind so they |
| | Il course levels. Add oral | of the year professional | don't reflect the changes made this |
| task | ks in class based on ACTFL | development in which we | year. |
| (Am | nerican Council on the | emphasized and practiced | |
| Tea | ching of Foreign | eliciting speech. | More results remain to be seen as |
| Lang | guages) Int. High levels. | | students climb up the ranks. For now |
| | | Teachers in 2 and 3 year | the opportunities are in place. |
| | ncrease outside class | were asked try | |
| | ortunities for Oral | incorporating more | The Language Center is flourishing |
| Prac | ctice. | Intermediate High tasks at | while providing more communicative |
| | lana ta akan akan | the two hundred and three | activities. |
| | lave instructors | hundred level. | Our last wars are baseming better |
| | ticipate in professional | We offered a Spanish | Our lecturers are becoming better trained in oral proficiency. Our new |
| dev | elopment so they teach | circle. | Assistant professor was trained and is |
| for | oral proficiency. | Circle. | becoming certified on ACTFL guidelines. |
| | | We did not complete a | becoming certified on Aeri Eguidenies. |
| | onduct an Oral | formal mid track oral | |
| | ficiency Interview in Mid – | proficiency interview. | |
| | ck (beginning of fifth | Frankly it was hard to ask | |
| sem | nester) in order to have | teachers to do this extra | |
| time | e to correct. | task. However we are | |
| | | looking into incorporating | |
| For | written component: | something in the syllabus. | |
| 1. M | More opportunities for | We declared the "year of | |
| | dents to narrate in time | the paragraph" and had a | |
| | nes and deal with | workshop on how to | |
| | | encourage students to | |
| | agraph construction at | express themselves with | |
| | , 300 and 400 level. | strong paragraphs. | |
| 2. Ir | ncrease the amount of | | |
| read | dings that present | We encouraged teachers to | |
| argu | umentations, expositions | increase the amount of | |
| | • | readings that present | |

| | | etc so students get strong input. | strong input, but left it up to them. | |
|-------------|---------|--|---|--|
| CULTURE | Summ 12 | Continue with cultural approaches at every level. Help students express themselves better in written form. They may know about culture but they also need to transmit that knowledge into written form. Continue with our focus on culture through interdisciplinary classes. Continue to offer more cultural activities outside class. | We have continued with our cultural approaches at every level. By declaring the "year of the paragraph" students were made aware of the importance of the written form. We continued our culture through interdisciplinary classes The Language Center offered a great number of activities. | Our results on the surveys for this assessment cycle regarding "culture" were nearly perfect so this is encouraging. |
| CONNECTIONS | Summ 12 | 1. Continue our focus on multidisciplinary approaches. 2. Being explicit about the connections students can make through their interdisciplinary courses. 3. Continue to offer our conversation/compositions courses as interdisciplinary courses that touch on various subject areas where SPN can be practiced. | We offered 4 different Conversation/Composition Courses this year. We still need to work on more variety at the 400 level. We asked teachers to be more explicit about the connections students are making but left it up to them. We got a "Special Topics" course approved for three | We are within range for this outcome so things are going well. |

| COMPARISONS | Summ 12 | 4. Propose a "special topics" 300 level conversation/composition course in order to explore new themes. 5. Offer broader course titles and content. Thematically organized courses 6. Promote FL 370 as service learning and field experience that connects Spanish learning with other subject areas. 7. Make students aware of the connections they are making. 1. Continue fostering comparisons in culture, language, etc. inside and outside class. 2. Conversation/Composition courses need to have at least one paper where students do | hundred levels. Next year we will be offering new themes. We are yet to offer broader course titles and content with thematically organized courses. We are leaving this up to the professors. We have continued fostering comparisons. Including a comparison contrast paper was left to individual teachers. | Evaluation of this outcome fell within our desired range. |
|-------------|---------|---|---|--|
| | | • | individual teachers. | |
| COMMUNITIES | Sum 12 | 1. Continue extending learning experiences from the classroom to the home and multilingual and multicultural community. 2. Continue creating | Our study abroad grew, as did our FL 370 service learning. Activities at the language center were multiple. | Strong results. Student evaluation of this outcome is within our target range. |

| opportunities where students use SPN to become part of a larger community. Field trips; Internet; clubs, study-abroad programs, school-to-work | We revived the Spanish Honor Society We started a summer | |
|--|--|--|
| opportunities, speakers of the target language 3. Promote FL 370 as service learning and field experience that connects Spanish learning with other subject areas. | program in Merida Mexico | |

Comments: This year was a strong year for the program. The number of students reaching our target is within our desired range in all but one measure of one of the outcomes (communication in the portfolios).

| Portfolio | |
|--------------|--|
| WPT | |
| Grad year | |
| | |
| | |
| Student name | |

1. Does the student show an understanding of cultures of the Hispanic world?

5. N/A

4. No

2. Is the student able to use the Spanish Language to connect to other subject areas?

5. N/A

3. Is the student able to compare and contrast Spanish Language and cultures with their own?

5. N/A 4. No 4. N/A 1. Can do it 2. Can do most of it 3. Can do some of it 4. Cannot do it

4. Language Proficiency: After reading the students writing mark what the student can do by each box:

| | Global Tasks | S | Content | | Accuracy | | Text Type | e e |
|-----|---|-------------------|---|------------|---|----------|------------------------|-----|
| ţ | Create with language | Write | Write about predictable familiar | | Understood, by those | | Write discrete | |
| | Describe and narrate in the present | topics daily a | topics related to daily activities | 3 C | non-native speakers | | sentences | |
| | Narrate and | Write | Write about topics | nn | Understood without difficulty by | | Write | |
| Adv | describe in major time frames | of ger persor | of general and personal interest. | ⇒ ≥ | those unaccustomed to dealing with non-native speakers. | | paragraphs | |
| | | | | \dashv | | \dashv | | |
| | Discuss topics extensively | Exprei in a fo | Express him/herself in a formal setting | ه ۵ | Shows no pattern of errors in basic structures. | | | |
| | 4 | Write | Write about a wide | + | | T | | |
| Sup | and hypothesize | range | range of general interest topics. | ш ; | Errors virtually never interfere | | Use extended discourse | |
| | | Write | Write about some | < ± | With communication of distract the native speaker from the | | | |
| | Speak in the | specia | special fields of | ; <u></u> | message | | | |
| | abstract | intere | interest and | • | 5 | | | |
| | | expertise | tise | | | _ | | |

ASSESSMENT RUBRIC FOR ORAL PROFICIENCY INTERVIEWS – SUMMARY

(Full descriptors found at: http://actflproficiencyguidelines2012.org/speaking)

| Proficiency Level | Global Tasks and Functions | Context / Content | Accuracy | Text Type |
|-------------------|---|---|--|------------------------------|
| Superior | Discuss topics extensively, support opinions and hypothesize. Deal with a linguistically unfamiliar situation. | Most formal and informal settings. Wide range of general interest topics and some special fields of interest and expertise. | No pattern of errors in basic structures. Errors virtually never interfere with communication or distract the native speaker from the message. | Extended discourse. |
| Advanced | Narrate and describe in major time frames and deal effectively with an unanticipated complication | Most informal and some formal settings/Topics of general and personal interest. | Understood without difficulty by speakers unaccustomed to dealing with non-native speakers. | Paragraphs |
| Intermediate | Create with language. Initiate, maintain and bring to a close simple conversations. Asks questions. Describe and narrate in the present. | Some informal settings and limited transactional activities. Predictable familiar topics related to daily activities | Understood, with some repetition, by speakers accustomed to dealing with non-native speakers | Discrete sentences. |
| Novice | Communicates minimally. Formulaic and rote utterances, Lists and phrases | Most common informal settings/ Most common aspects of daily life | May be difficult to understand, even for speakers accustomed to dealing with non-native speakers | Individual words and phrases |

CSU-Pueblo – FL Program Questionnaire for Graduating Seniors

A. Please write the number that corresponds to your opinion in the box at the left of each statement.

1. Strongly agree 2. Agree 3. Disagree 4. Strongly disagree 5. No basis to judge

1. Communication:

| I have developed practical skills, such as the ability to communicate orally and in writing in |
|---|
| "real life" situations. |
| I have attained a level of speaking and listening proficiency in the language sufficient to |
| allow me to satisfy basic work requirements in the language. |
| I have developed the ability to think critically: to analyze, to think abstractly, to support and |
| defend oninions and to hypothesize in spoken and written form |

2. Cultures:

| My studies helped me develop the ability to see beyond my own culture. |
|--|
| I have acquired a basic understanding of the history, culture, and literature of the target |
| language and know how to update and enhance this knowledge. |
| I am more able to understand other people's point of view, ways of life and contributions to |
| the world. |

3. Connections:

| I was able to connect my language studies to other subject areas such as English, History, Business, Art, Cultural Studies, Literature, Sociology, Music, Political Science, etc. |
|---|
|---|

4. Comparisons:

| I now have the ability to analyze similarities and differences across languages and cultures |
|--|
| I understand my own culture better after going through this program |

5. Communities:

| I was able to take my language and culture skills beyond the classroom by participating in | IJ |
|--|----|
| campus activities, clubs, exchange programs, community activities, school to work | |
| opportunities, internships, work situations, etc | |

Continue on the other side please...