Colorado State University – Pueblo Academic Program Assessment Report for AY 2012-2013

Program: Political Science

Date: June 1, 2013

Completed by: Gayle K. Berardi

Assessment contributors (other faculty involved in this program's assessment): Colette Carter, Joel Johnson and Steve Liebel

Please complete this form for <u>each undergraduate</u>, <u>minor</u>, <u>certificate</u>, <u>and graduate program</u> (e.g., B.A., B.S., M.S.) in your department. Please copy any addenda (e.g., rubrics) and paste them in this document, and return it to Erin Frew, <u>erin.frew@colostate-pueblo.edu</u> as an email attachment before June 1, 2013. You'll also find the form at the assessment website at <u>http://www.colostate-pueblo.edu</u> as an email pueblo.edu/Assessment/Resources/Pages/default.aspx. Thank you.

I. Program student learning outcomes (SLOs) assessed in this cycle, processes, results, and recommendations.

A. Which of the	B. When	C. What	D. Who was	E. What is	F. What	G. What were the	H. What
program SLOs	was this	method was	assessed?	the	were the	department's	changes/improvements
were assessed	SLO last	used for	Please fully	expected	results of the	conclusions about	to the <u>program</u> are
during this	assessed?	assessing the	describe the	achievement	assessment?	student	planned based on this
cycle? Please		SLO? Please	student	level and		performance?	assessment?
include the		include a copy	group.	how many			
outcome(s)		of any rubrics		students			
verbatim from		used in the		should be at			
the assessment		assessment		it?			
plan.		process.					
Knowledge of	This is the	The Senior	14 Senior	It is	Six students	While all students	There are at least two or
the Political	first time	Seminar final	Seminar	expected	scored at the	scored at the	three issues highlighted in
Science	assessing	paper was	students. All	that 100% of	developing	developing level or	our initial effort to assess
Discipline	this SLO	assessed using	14 students	students will	level.	higher, the	subject knowledge by our
		a rubric	have a major	be at the	4 students	program would like	majors. The first is
		developed by	in political	developing	were at the	to see more	straightforward in terms
		the program.	science and	or higher	Accomplishe	students at the	of the senior seminar-did
		(Attached)	graduated in	level. Being	d level.	accomplished and	students understand that
			May 2013 or	at the	4 students	exemplary levels.	the topic they chose to

1	1					
		will graduate	developing	were at the	To achieve this the	research for their senior
		in Fall 2013.	level is	exemplary	faculty is discussing	paper-had to
		The seminar	equivalent	level.	several	demonstrate their
		is the	to a 70% on		improvements to	retention of knowledge
		program's	a 1-100		the program	from previous courses
		capstone	scale.(With a			and clearly relate to their
		course and is	80% being			theses. This was not
		offered	an			done to any extent in
		during the	Accomplishe			assigning, approving, and
		spring	d level and			reviewing their progress
		semester.	90% being			on their theses. This can
			an			easily be rectified through
			Exemplary			modifications in the
			level.)			senior seminar and will
						be done for next year.
						A second issue is with the
						rubric used to assess
						subject knowledge.
						Because students were
						not made fully aware on
						what was expected in
						their theses in terms of
						subject knowledge, the
						use of their theses papers
						is probably not a good
						indicator of what these
						14 students may or may
						not have learned about
						the subject of politics
						during their matriculation
						in our program. Hence,
						we will have to rethink
						how we want to measure
	I				1	

|--|

Comments:

B. Follow-up (closing the loop) on results and activities from previous assessment cycles. In this section, please describe actions taken during this cycle that were based on, or implemented to address, the results of assessment from previous cycles.

A. What SLO(s) did you address? Please include the outcome(s) verbatim from the assessment	B. When was this SLO last assessed?	C. What were the recommendations for change from the previous assessment?	D. Were the recommendations for change acted upon? If not, why?	E. What were the results of the changes? If the changes were not effective, what are the next steps or the new recommendations?
plan. Writing	2011	To insure that writing assignments are present in all of the core courses.	Yes. All core courses have several writing assignments. In addition, all upper division courses now have writing assignments	The program has been exceptionally successful in this area. Students are better writers by the time they take the senior seminar than when they entered the program.
Communication	2012	That all core courses have oral exercises.	Yes. All core courses have oral exercises. In addition, most of the program's upper division courses have oral exercises.	Students are becoming better with their presentation skills.

Comments:

Political Science Program: Knowledge Component Rubric

Beginning = 0 – Understanding of the knowledge foundation of the discipline is not evident. Evidence of knowledge of theories, ideas, and concepts is confused, incorrect or flawed.

Developing = 1 – Understanding of some of the knowledge foundation of the discipline is evident. Evidence of knowledge of theories, ideas, and concepts is minimal.

Accomplished = 2 – Understanding of the knowledge foundation of the discipline is present in parts of papers and class discussions. Evidence of knowledge of theories, ideas, and concepts are clearly communicated.

Exemplary = 3 – Understanding of the knowledge foundation of the discipline is present throughout written papers and in class discussions.

Political Science Program: SLO's Timeline

Writing	2011
Communication	2012
Knowledge	2013
Critical Thinking	2014