#### Colorado State University – Pueblo Academic Program Assessment Report for AY 2012-2013

Program: MSE Date: 5/30/13

Completed by: N. Jaksic

Assessment contributors (other faculty involved in this program's assessment): Drs. Jaksic, DePalma, Yuan, Paudel, Sarper, Fraser, and

Bedoya

Please complete this form for <u>each undergraduate, minor, certificate, and graduate program</u> (e.g., B.A., B.S., M.S.) in your department. Please copy any addenda (e.g., rubrics) and paste them in this document, and return it to Erin Frew, <u>erin.frew@colostate-pueblo.edu</u> as an email attachment before June 1, 2013. You'll also find the form at the assessment website at <a href="http://www.colostate-pueblo.edu/Assessment/Resources/Pages/default.aspx">http://www.colostate-pueblo.edu/Assessment/Resources/Pages/default.aspx</a>. Thank you.

#### I. Program student learning outcomes (SLOs) assessed in this cycle, processes, results, and recommendations.

A. Which of the	B. When	C. What	D. Who was	E. What is	F. What were	G. What were the	H. What
program SLOs	was this	method was	assessed?	the	the results of	department's	changes/improvements
were assessed	SLO last	used for	Please fully	expected	the	conclusions about	to the <u>program</u> are
during this	assessed?	assessing the	describe the	achievement	assessment?	student	planned based on this
cycle? Please		SLO? Please	student	level and		performance?	assessment?
include the		include a copy	group.	how many			
outcome(s)		of any rubrics		students			
verbatim from		used in the		should be at			
the assessment		assessment		it?			
plan.		process.					
Analyze and/or	May 2013	Methods: EN	Three (3)	80% or more	100% of	Students	No changes to the
design a		562 Final	MSE first	of the	students were	performed well.	program are planned at
mechatronic		Course Exam	year	students	able to	However, there	this time since this is the
system		and/or Project	graduate	should meet	analyze	were too few	first offering of courses in
		Reports	students who	or exceed	and/or design	students for a	this program.
		Rubrics: Design	were	expectations	a mechatronic	valid statistical	
		Strategy,	enrolled in		system.	analysis.	The rubrics will need to
		Solutions, and	Spring 2013		Student		be improved to reflect

Due: June 1, 2013

		Tools			designs demonstrated correct design strategies (Final), solutions (Final), and the use of computer tools like MATLAB (Projects).  Exit interviews were not administered since there were no program		the "analyze" portion of this SLO. In rubric "Tools," the first cell, at the end of the sentence we will add "to analyze and/or design mechatronic systems."  Exit interviews will be further developed and administered to expected program graduates.
					graduates.		
Apply advanced engineering principles in the design and analysis of a system or process to meet specified needs	May 2013.	Methods: EN 560 Final and/or Homework, EN 513 Final, Homework, and/or Project Reports  Rubrics: Design Strategy and Constraints	In EN 560 there were three MSE first year graduate students who were enrolled in Fall 2012. In EN 513 there were 6	80% or more of the students should meet or exceed expectations	100% of the students in EN 560 were able to apply correct state-space design strategy under given constraints and demonstrate their	All students (100%) in EN 560 and EN 513 performed well.  However, no firm conclusions could be reached due to small sample size.	No changes to the program are planned at this time since this is the first offering of courses in this program.  Exit interviews will be further developed and administered to expected program graduates.

			graduate		knowledge		
			students (3		when solving		
			MSISE and 3		complicated		
			MSE) who		control		
			were		problems.		
			enrolled in		problems.		
			Spring 2013.		100% of the		
			3pmg 2013.		students in		
					EN 513 were		
					able to apply		
					advanced		
					engineering		
					principles in		
					the design		
					and analysis		
					of a system to		
					meet		
					specified		
					needs. This		
					was assessed		
					by a specific		
					project where		
					they had to		
					write		
					software to		
					find a route		
					from a		
					specified city		
					in Romania to		
					another		
	14 2043	20 11 1 52	TI (2)	2001	specified city.	1000/ 5	-cc
Communicate	May 2013	Methods: EN	Three (3)	80% or more	Students in	100% of students	Effective communication
effectively in		593: Paper	MSE first-	of the	EN 593 wrote	met or exceeded	rubrics will be
writing and		Evaluation	year	students	papers about	expectations for	disseminated to the

orally.	and/or	graduate	should meet	a potential	this SLO.	students.
orany.	Presentation	students who	or exceed	thesis topic.	tills SEO.	We will make sure that
	Evaluation	were		Three out of 3	Again, with only 3	
	EN 507:	enrolled in	expectations	MSE graduate	MSE graduate	paper and presentation evaluations are done with
	Presentation	EN 593 and 5		students	students no	strict adherence to all
	Evaluation	graduate		(100%) met	meaningful	components of this
	and/or Project	students (2		and/or	analysis of the	rubric.
	report	MSISE and 3		exceeded the	assessment	
	evaluation	MSE) who		expectation	results could be	
	Rubrics:	were		for this SLO.	performed.	Student surveys will be
	written:	enrolled in				developed and
	Articulation,	EN 507 (fall		In EN 507, all		administered.
	organization,	2012)		5 students		
	neatness,			(100%) met or		
	grammar and			exceeded the		
	spelling,			expectation		
	writing style,			for the paper		
	document			presentation.		
	formatting			Students in		
	Oral:			EN 507 wrote		
	Delivery, length			and		
	and detail,			presented a		
	mechanics,			project report		
	dialect, visual			on a virtual		
	aides,			reality topic.		
	appearance,					
	and listening			Student		
	and response			surveys were		
	to questions			not		
				administered.		

Comments: The assessment report deals with one BSE emphasis – Mechatronics. Railroad engineering emphasis is not included in this report since it is not implemented yet. The first set of courses in MSE-Railroad Engineering starts in Fall 2013.

B. Follow-up (closing the loop) on results and activities from previous assessment cycles. In this section, please describe actions taken during this cycle that were based on, or implemented to address, the results of assessment from previous cycles.

Not applicable – Since the program is in its first year of implementation there are no previous cycles.

A. What SLO(s)	B. When was this	C. What were the	D. Were the	E. What were the results of the
did you address?	SLO last assessed?	recommendations for change	recommendations for	changes? If the changes were not
Please include		from the previous	change acted upon? If not,	effective, what are the next steps or
the outcome(s)		assessment?	why?	the new recommendations?
verbatim from				
the assessment				
plan.				
	_			

Comments: Not applicable – the program is new.

# **Assessment Rubrics**

## **MSE Mechatronics Emphasis**

#### Analyze and/or design a mechatronic system

	Exceeds expectations 5%	Meets expectations 75%	Does not meet expectations 20%
Design Strategy	Develops a design strategy, including a plan; decomposes work into subtasks, and develops a timetable.	Uses a design strategy with guidance.	No design strategy is attempted.
Solutions	Develops several potential designs and based on the analysis of those designs finds an optimal design solution using the system view approach.	Can develop and compare multiple solutions to a mechatronic design problem, but does not usually arrive at the best result; conducts optimization but neglects one or two key aspects. Does not use the system view approach.	Cannot design a mechatronic system or individual component without a significant amount of help. Only focuses on one solution to a problem; no optimization attempted.
Tools	Uses computer tools and engineering resources effectively.	There is evidence of mostly correct use of computer tools and engineering resources.	There is no evidence of use of computer tools and engineering resources.

# Apply advanced engineering principles in the design and analysis of a system or process to meet specified needs

	Exceeds expectations 5%	Meets expectations 75%	Does not meet expectations 20%
Design Strategy	Develops a design strategy, including a plan; decomposes work into subtasks, and develops a timetable.	Uses a design strategy with guidance.	No design strategy is attempted.
Constraints	Develops a solution that includes all realistic constraints.	Develops a solution that fails to include one or more minor realistic constraints.	There is no consideration of realistic constraints.

## $Communicate\ effectively\ in\ written\ form$

	Exceeds expectations 5%	Meets expectations 75%	Does not meet expectations 20%
Articulation	Articulates ideas clearly and concisely using visual aids where appropriate.	Articulates ideas, but the idea flow is somewhat disjointed. Does not always use visual aids appropriately (e.g. a table and a graph representing the same information are used; a figure is not addressed in the narrative).	Does not develop/articulate ideas well. Makes points that are hard to understand.  Does not use visual aids.
Organization	Organizes the material in a logical sequence (paragraphs, subheading, etc.).	In general, organizes the material well; however, occasionally paragraphs combine multiple thoughts. Does not identify sections and sub-sections clearly.	Imposes little or no structure or organization; does not use subheadings or proper paragraph structure.
Neatness	Presents material neatly and professionally.	Occasionally, does not present material neatly.	Does not present material neatly.
Grammar and Spelling	Uses grammar and spelling correctly.	Makes one or two spelling/grammar errors per page.	Makes spelling/grammar errors throughout more than 1/3 of the paper.
Writing Style	Uses professional writing style.	Sometimes uses jargon, improper voice, improper tense, inappropriate style, etc.	Uses inappropriate writing style for the audience and for the assignment.
Document Formatting	Conforms to the prescribed format.	Conforms to the prescribed format in many portions of the assignment.	Does not follow the prescribed format.

## Communicate effectively in oral form

	Exceeds expectations 5%	Meets expectations 75%	Does not meet expectations 20%
Delivery	Plans and delivers an oral presentation effectively; applies the principle of "tell them."	Presents key elements of an oral presentation adequately, but does not apply "tell them" clearly.	Organizes the presentation poorly (e.g. no clear introduction or summary is delivered).
Length and Detail	Presents technical content appropriate for the time allowed and the audience level.	Presents excessive or insufficient detail for time allowed and/or the audience level.	Presents for an inappropriately short or long time period; omits key results during the presentation.
Mechanics	Makes eye contact; can be easily heard; speaks comfortably with minimal prompts; does not block the screen; doesn't show any distracting habits.	Exhibits minor difficulties (e.g. makes sporadic eye contact; occasionally is difficult to hear or understand; overuses prompts or does not use prompts enough; occasionally stumbles or loses place; occasionally blocks the screen; occasionally exhibits some distracting habits (um, ah, clicking pointer, etc.)).	Exhibits major difficulties with the presentation (e.g. makes no eye contact; is difficult to hear or understand; reads from prepared script; blocks the screen; exhibits distracting habits (um, ah, clicking pointer, etc.)).
Dialect	Uses proper American English.	Occasionally uses an inappropriate style of English-too conversational; uses understandable English.	Uses poor English and/or poor pronunciation.
Visual Aides	Uses visual aides effectively.	Presents visual aides that have minor errors or are not always clearly visible.	Presents multiple slides that are unclear or incomprehensible.
Appearance	Exhibits professional appearance.	Appears too casual for a professional presentation.	Appears inappropriately dressed for the occasion (e.g. wears shorts, sandals, etc.)
Listening and Response to Questions	Listens carefully and responds to questions appropriately; is able to explain and interpret results for various audiences and purposes.	Sometimes misunderstands questions; does not respond appropriately to the audience, or has some trouble answering questions.	Does not listen carefully to questions; does not provide appropriate answers, or is unable to answer questions about the presentation material.

Sample MSE Exit Interview
Name: xxxxx xxxxxx
Date: 04/14/2013
How did you hear about our MSE program?
What other schools and/or degrees did you consider?
What could be done to make the MSE Program at CSU-Pueblo more attractive to potential students in the same circumstance you were when you began?
How was the experience of being a new (International) MSE student?
What do you think of the degree and education you received at CSU-Pueblo?
What are your future plans?

How do you feel your degree and education have prepared you for your intended career?
How do you feel that your education could have been improved?
What's the worst thing that happened to you since you got here?
How confident are you in analyzing and/or designing mechatronic systems using appropriate engineering tools?
How confident are you in applying advanced engineering principles in analyzing and/or designing systems or processes to meet specified needs?
Could you provide any suggestions for changes in the program?