Colorado State	e University – Pueblo Undergraduate & GraduateProgram Assessment Report for AY 2011-2012	Due: June 1, 2013
Program:	_EXHPR	<b>Date</b> :5-24-13

Please complete this form for <u>each undergraduate, minor, certificate, and graduate program</u> (e.g., B.A., B.S., M.S.) in your department and return it to Erin Frew, <u>erin.frew@colostate-pueblo.edu</u> as an email attachment before June 1, 2012. You'll also find the form at the assessment website at <a href="http://www.colostate-pueblo.edu/Assessment/Resources/Pages/default.aspx">http://www.colostate-pueblo.edu/Assessment/Resources/Pages/default.aspx</a>. Thank you.

#### I. Program student learning outcomes (SLOs) assessed in this cycle, processes, results, and recommendations.

Completed by: Carol Foust\_

A. Which of the program SLOs were assessed during this cycle? Please	B. When was this SLO last assessed?	C. What method was used for assessing the SLO? Please	D. Who was assessed? Please fully describe the student	E. What is the expected achievement level and	F. What were the results of the assessment?	G. What were the department's conclusions about student performance?	H. What changes/improvements to the <u>program</u> are planned based on this assessment?
include the outcome(s) verbatim from the assessment		attach a copy of any rubrics used in the assessment	group.	how many students should be at it?		performance:	ussessment:
plan.  Possess content knowledge and skills necessary for their perspective fields of study.	New SLO	student internship / fieldwork evaluations.	EXHPR senior students in capstone/expe riential fieldwork or internship classes in General Exercise Science and Health Promotion and Recreation, and REC	If more than 30% of the students do not successfully achieve a specific student outcome, the courses identified with that outcome will be reviewed	In 2012-2013 57 senior level students were evaluated in internships/or fieldwork. The evaluations were completed by the professional site supervisor.	The Department is very pleased with the student performance. Only 1 student scored below a 70% on their site evaluation.  The Recreation Advisory committee feedback revealed the need for more management and marketing	Continue collaboration with site supervisors and use them as possible advisory members and for employer/internship surveys.  Also, explore the idea that developed from the Advisory Committee meeting of collapsing the two recreation emphasis into one.

			Advisory Committee members.	and program/curri culum changes may be suggested and implemented.	The average score was a 93%. A copy of the evaluation form is atached.  The Recreation Advisory Committee	preparation for outdoor leadership students and more outdoor resource and event planning preparation for community / commercial recreation students. The idea to collapse the two emphasis into 1 emphasis with	
					provided valuable input	concentrations was discussed.	
					for future	discussed.	
					Recreation curriculum		
					decisions.		
Evaluate and integrate critical concepts and skills acquired in the EXHPR program to	2011-2012	Student portfolios, project, evaluations and Recreation Advisory Committee	EXHPR senior students in capstone/expe riential fieldwork or internship classes in	If more than 30% of the students do not successfully achieve a specific	In 2012-2013 57 senior level students were assessed during the internships/or fieldwork.	The Department is very pleased with the student performance. The portfolio and project paper detail the student outcomes	Continue collaboration with site supervisors and use them as possible advisory members and for employer/internship surveys.
common professional		Feedback.	General Exercise Science and	student outcome, the courses	The average portfolio	and performance for integrating concepts and skills, effective	
problems in the fields of			Health Promotion and	identified with that	score was 92%. A copy	written communication, and	
interest;			Recreation.	outcome will be reviewed	of the grading rubric is	application. Oral communication is	
Exhibit effective oral	New SLO			and program/curri culum	attached. The average	measured on the site supervisor evaluation as was reported on	
and written communication				changes may	project/paper	the 2011-12 cycle.	
regarding				be suggested and	score was 86%. A copy	Only 2 students	

subjects related to EXHPR in an individual and group setting;			implemented.	of the grading rubric is attached.	scored below a 70% on their projects / or portfolios.	
Apply and demonstrate knowledge, skills and critical problem solving in a field-based setting.	2011-12					

Comments: The internship portfolio and project paper are used to evaluate the three SLO 's stated in the second row – that is why they are displayed together.

The assessment for the internship / fieldwork experiences continues to improve. In the fall the instructors for these courses will meet to evaluate the assessment process and confirm the data being collected is appropriate to measure student learning outcomes.

# B. Follow-up (closing the loop) on results and activities from previous assessment cycles. In this section, please describe actions taken during this cycle that were based on, or implemented to address, the results of assessment from previous cycles.

A. What SLO(s) did you address? Please include the outcome(s) verbatim from the assessment	B. When was this SLO last assessed?	C. What were the recommendations for change from the previous assessment?	D. Were the recommendations for change acted upon? If not, why?	E. What were the results of the changes? If the changes were not effective, what are the next steps or the new recommendations?
plan.  Evaluate and integrate critical	2011-2012	Implement two case study questions for all senior students	Yes with continued work	Case study questions were added to the exit examination for all Health

concepts and skills acquired in the EXHPR program to common professional problems in the fields of interest;		in General Exercise Science, Health Promotion, K-12 PE, and Recreation in the 2014-2015 year (the next time it comes up in the rotation). Have more than one faculty grade each case study with a rubric.		Promotion and Exercise Science students. We hope to add it to the Recreation exit exam also for the 13-14 academic year. The department has not been able to have more than one faculty grade each case study due to a lack of time and resources.
Exhibit effective oral and written communication regarding subjects related to EXHPR in an individual and group setting.	2010-11	Grade final papers with a writing rubric.	Yes	A more in depth rubric was developed and used to grade the final project papers.

goals a	re realized.		5 pts	
1. List	the goals or values which the department seeks t	through their health promotion/exerc	cise science program and indicate a few of the means by which the	ese
		abric for EXHP 498 Final Paper		
In	clusions: Rubrics			
	·	·		
C	omments: The ExmPR department is committee	ea to continuea improvement.		

Fully describes the topic, provides examples, APA referencing, no spelling or grammatical errors.	5 points
Mostly describes the topic, some examples, APA referencing, few spelling or grammatical errors.	3-4 points
Somewhat describes the topic, no references, some spelling or grammatical errors.	2-3 points
Does not follow guidelines, numerous errors, etc.	1 or less points

2. Describe the organization of the dep	artment in which he/she is working. Supplement this description with an organizational chart. What processes, if any, exists
in the community/facility to further coo	peration among various agencies/departments interested in health promotion or exercise science? What part does the
department play in these cooperative e	fforts? Describe the community/clientele—socio-economic conditions, education level, and general attitude toward health
promotion /exercise science.	15 pts

Fully describes the topic, provides examples, APA referencing, no spelling or grammatical errors.	14-15 points
Mostly describes the topic, some examples, APA referencing, few spelling or grammatical errors.	12-13 points
Somewhat describes the topic, no references, some spelling or grammatical errors.	10-11 points
Does not follow guidelines, numerous errors, etc.	9 or less points

3. Describe in detail an actual experience he/she has had in conducting a health promotion/exercise science activity or project. Analyze his/her efforts and indicate what changes he/she would effect in his/her behavior if he/she were to do the whole thing over again, explaining how such changes would affect the activity.

10 pts. \_\_\_\_\_

Fully describes the topic, provides examples, APA referencing, no spelling or grammatical errors.	9-10 points
Mostly describes the topic, some examples, APA referencing, few spelling or grammatical errors.	7-8 points
Somewhat describes the topic, no references, some spelling or grammatical errors.	5-6 points
Does not follow guidelines, numerous errors, etc.	4 or less points

4. Describe, in detail, a certain situation in which one or more specific principles of health promotion/exercise science were put into practice. To what extent were these principles effective?

10 pts. \_\_\_\_\_\_

Fully describes the topic, provides examples, APA referencing, no spelling or grammatical errors.	9-10 points
Mostly describes the topic, some examples, APA referencing, few spelling or grammatical errors.	7-8 points

Somewhat describes the topic, no references, some spelling or grammatical errors.	5-6 points
Does not follow guidelines, numerous errors, etc.	4 or less points

10 pts. \_\_\_\_\_

Fully describes the topic, provides examples, APA referencing, no spelling or grammatical errors.	9-10 points
Mostly describes the topic, some examples, APA referencing, few spelling or grammatical errors.	7-8 points
Somewhat describes the topic, no references, some spelling or grammatical errors.	5-6 points
Does not follow guidelines, numerous errors, etc.	4 or less points

6. What efforts are being made by the department to evaluate various aspects of its work?

5 pts. \_\_\_\_\_

Fully describes the topic, provides examples, APA referencing, no spelling or grammatical errors.	5 points
Mostly describes the topic, some examples, APA referencing, few spelling or grammatical errors.	3-4 points
Somewhat describes the topic, no references, some spelling or grammatical errors.	2-3 points
Does not follow guidelines, numerous errors, etc.	1 or less points

7. Format, grammar, syntax, spelling, writing style

15 pts. \_\_\_\_\_

Follows all guidelines in syllabus, no spelling or grammatical errors, complex/technical writing.	14-15 points
Follows most guidelines, APA referencing, few spelling or grammatical errors, less complex style.	12-13 points

Follows most guidelines, no references, some spelling or grammatical errors, simple writing style.	10-11 points
Does not follow guidelines, numerous errors, poor writing style, etc.	9 or less points

8. Support the contents of the paper with professional references that detail evidence of why the content, programs or initiatives delivered by this agency are appropriate.

Follows all guidelines in syllabus, APA referencing, no spelling or grammatical errors.	9-10 points
Follows most guidelines, APA referencing, few spelling or grammatical errors.	7-8 points
Follows most guidelines, no references, some spelling or grammatical errors.	5-6 points
Does not follow guidelines, numerous errors, etc.	4 or less points

Total	/ 80	note: points are taken	off for late assignments

Intern name	Rubric for EXHP 498 Portfolio

1. Cover Letter and Resume 10 pts. \_\_\_\_\_

Cover letter and resume are well written detailing experience, preparation and certifications with	9-10 points
proper format and possess no spelling or grammatical errors.	
Mostly well written with detail and few spelling or grammatical errors.	7-8 points
Wostly well written with detail and few spenning of grammatical errors.	7-6 points
Somewhat describes experience and preparation with some spelling or grammatical errors.	5-6 points
Does not follow guidelines, numerous errors, etc.	4 or less points

2. C	ναο:	of Trans	scripts	is	present
------	------	----------	---------	----	---------

5 pts.	
o pis.	

Students current transcripts are included.	5 points
Students transcripts are included but are not current.	3 points
Students transcripts are not included	0 points

#### 3. Recommendation letter/s

10	pts.		
TO	DLS.		

Recommendation letters are present from site supervisor and other professionals – at least 2.	9-10 points
Recommendation letters are present from site supervisor and other professionals – at least 1.	7-8 points
Recommendation letters are not included	0 points

#### 4. Career vision, mission, goal and philosophy

15	pts.	
ъJ	μιз.	

Career vision, mission, goal and philosophy are creative and well written detailing each aspect in a minimum of two pages and possess no spelling or grammatical errors.	13-15 points
Mostly well written with detail and few spelling or grammatical errors.	10-12 points
Somewhat describes vision, mission, goal and philosophy with some spelling or grammatical errors.	7-9 points
Does not follow guidelines, numerous errors, etc.	6 or less points

#### 5. Self evaluation of proficiency

5 pts.	
--------	--

Fully describes strengths and challenge areas, provides examples, with no spelling or grammatical	5 points
	I

errors.	
Mostly describes strengths and challenges, some examples, few spelling or grammatical errors.	3-4 points
Somewhat describes the topic, some spelling or grammatical errors.	2 points
Does not follow guidelines, numerous errors, etc.	1 or less points

6	Samples	المس f	nranarad	classroom	hnc	nractical	work
v.	Januares C	,, ,, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	DICDALCA	Classiculii	anu	Diactical	WUIN

10 pts. \_\_\_\_\_

At least 4 examples of well prepared classroom and practical work are included.	9-10 points
At least 3 examples of well prepared classroom and practical work are included.	7-8 points
At least 2 examples of well prepared classroom and practical work are included.	5-6 points
At least 1 example of well prepared classroom and practical work are included.	3-4 points
Sample works are not included	0 points

#### 7. Signed internship hours

10 pts. \_\_\_\_\_

400 hours of work is present on proper formatted form and signed by site supervisor	10 points
Less than 400 hours, not on proper form or lacking site supervisor signature	0 points

#### 8. Credentials / certifications

10 pts. \_\_\_\_\_

Proof of at least 3 credentials or certifications are included.	9-10 points
Proof of at least 2 credentials or certifications are included.	7-8 points

Proof of at least 1 credential or certification is included.	5-6 points
No certifications or credentials are included.	

Total \_\_\_\_/ 75 note: points are taken off for late assignments

## DEPARTMENT OF EXERCISE SCIENCE, HEALTH PROMOTION, AND RECREATION Colorado State University - Pueblo

### EXHP STUDENT FINAL PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL

(Complete before the end of Internship)

			IFIDENTIAL ORMATION
Internship Student	's Name	PID	_
Internship Student	's Position/Title	Hours of Training	
Agency	Agency Supervisor	% of time under my supervis	sion
University Superv		h of the areas that follow and forward the appropriate number next to the questiteria	
	d: Consistently better than satisfac		
	rage: Adequate but no more than s	·	
$(4) = \underline{\text{Belo}}$	ow Average: Not consistently satis	factory in criteria	
$(5) = \underline{\text{Uns}}$	atisfactory: A completely unsatisfa	actory performance in criteria	
N/A= Inac	lequate Information: Does not app	ly to this position	
PERSONAL CHA	RACTERISTICS OF INTERNSHII	P STUDENT	
		nce without good reason or adequate notice.	()
	Appearance to his/her position, he/she was neat, s:	, clean, and appropriately dressed.	()
Resourcef Used resor Comments	urces well; looked for multiple appro	paches and ideas.	()
	and Problem Anticipation as able to handle difficult situations a	and anticipated problems. Was able to make	( )

common sense decisions.

Comments:

	Form E
Motivational Skills He/she was enthusiastic, showed /generated interest and independent initiative. Comments:	()
Acceptance of Responsibility  He/she was willing to assume responsibility when appropriate; was capable of completing assigned tasks.  Comments:	()
<u>Initiative, Creativity</u> He/she looked for work, avoided idleness, originated ideas, and made additional creative efforts. Comments:	()
Public Relations Skill Demonstrated tactful, diplomatic, courteous behavior. Comments:	()
Work Attitudes Was industrious, willing to assist others, did his/her share and generally had a positive attitude. Comments:	()
Rapport with Staff Worked harmoniously with others; cooperated, was considerate, and helpful. Comments:	()
Relates to Program Participants  Demonstrated ability to get people involved; showed interest, respect and concern for program clientele.  Comments:	()
Adaptability Was able to adjust plans and actions according to developing situations, and/or changes. Comments:	()
<u>Takes Criticism Constructively</u> Was willing to discuss and recognize weakness; worked to improve personal challenges. Comments:	()
PROFESSIONAL PROFICIENCIES	
Knowledge and Skills Performed  He/she displayed knowledge of program skills, techniques and/or activities.  Comments:	()

	Form E
He/she planned activities well in advance; he/she was well prepared. Comments:	()
He/she kept facilities and equipment in good condition. Comments:	()
Written Communication Reports He/she conveyed ideas clearly in an organized, articulate and timely fashion. Comments:	()
Oral Communication He/she was an effective speaker and expressed ideas clearly. Comments:	()
<u>Task Accomplishment</u> He/she completed tasks effectively and within deadlines; pursued difficult tasks to completion. Comments:	()
Professional Growth He/she pursued additional knowledge and experiences; attended meetings, read, discussed, and inquired about the profession. Comments:	()

Please suggest ways that the Internship Student may continue to improve his/her performance.

Considering the following criteria in addition to any evaluative information particularly relative to your agency, what is your <u>overall</u> rating of this Internship Student's performance? Please attach any additional evaluation forms you may have completed. It is understood that each agency will weigh the criteria to its own particular situations. If you feel comfortable, please review this evaluation with the intern.

#### Rating Scale

1. Excellent (Grade of "A")	The top rating.  "Outstanding" does not necessarily mean just the best Internship Students. It means just the best you might for in an entry level worker; a person you would hire with enthusiasm.	t reasonably hope
2. Above Average (Grade of "B")	"Above Average" is a very good rating and indicates efficient performance on the Internship Student's parwhom you would hire.	
3. Average (Grade of "C")	"Average" means just satisfactory or what you would employee. "Average" performance is one without sp weakness. Performance is adequate and no more. T whom you would hire with some reservations.	pecial strength or
4. Below Average (Grade of "D")	A "Below Average" Internship Student is not satisfa performance at present. However, the Internship Student improvement through further training or experience placement. This would be a person whom you woul employment.	adent is capable of or a different
5. Unsatisfactory (Grade of "F")	An "Unsatisfactory" job is poor. It shows that the In not suited to the job or appears not to be capable of a would be a person whom you would definitely reject	doing better. This
Based on the Intern's total perfor () A() B () C () D	rmance, it is suggested that his/her grade be: (Please complete) (Please state rough) Incomplete (Please state rough)	
The potential of the Internship St () Displays great potential () Displays promising potential () Displays possible potential		l
Include any additional comments Promotion and Recreation concer	s you might have for the Department of Exercise Scienting the internship program:	nce, Health
Signed	Date	
Agency Super Signed	rvisor Date ervisor	
Cianad	ervisor Date	