Colorado State	University – Pueblo	Undergraduate Program Assessment Report for AY 2010-2011		Due June 1, 2011
Program:	_Women's Studies	Date:	_6/30/11	

Completed by: Fawn-Amber Montoya and Jacqueline Stroud

Please complete this form for <u>each undergraduate program</u> (e.g., B.A., B.S.) in your department and return it to Erin Frew, <u>erin.frew@colostate-pueblo.edu</u> as an email attachment before June 1, 2011. You'll also find the form at the assessment website at http://www.colostate-pueblo.edu/Assessment/Resources/Pages/default.aspx. Thank you.

I. Program student learning outcomes (SLOs) assessed in this cycle, processes, results, and recommendations.

A. Which of the	B. When	C. What	D. Who was	E. What is the	F. What	G. What were	H. What
program SLOs were	was this	method	assessed?	expected	were the	the department's	changes/improvements
assessed during this	SLO last	was used		achievement	results of	conclusions	are planned based on
cycle?	assessed?	for		level and how	the	about student	this assessment?
		assessing		many students	assessment?	performance?	
		the SLO?		should be at it?			
working	June 2011	seminar	1 student	Average	Committee	Found that the	1. We will implement a
knowledgesocial		paper	who	student should	found that	students'	pre-test and posttest in
life			completed	be at a	the students	capstone	WS 100
			paper this	"Proficient	were	experience is a	2.re-evalaute student
			year	Level 2" of	proficient in	good sample for	learning outcomes in the
				Student	this area.	their	assessment plan and add
				Learning		performance in	a campus and
				outcomes.		the program. We	community component.
						feel that we	3. Continue to work on
						should also	imbedding Student
						assess in the	Learning Outcomes in all
						WS100 class in	courses.
						order to get	
						better more	
						accurate results	
						for students'	

						progress	
institutionalized discrimination and violence	June 2011	seminar paper	1 student who completed paper this year	Average student should be at a "Proficient Level 2" of Student Learning outcomes.	Committee found that the students were proficient in this area.	Found that the students' capstone experience is a good sample for their performance in the program. We feel that we should also assess in the WS100 class in order to get better more accurate results for students' progress	1. We will implement a pre-test and posttest in WS 100 2. Continue to work on imbedding Student Learning Outcomes in all courses.
national and global perspectives.	June 2011	seminar paper	1 student who completed paper this year	Average student should be at a "Proficient Level 2" of Student Learning outcomes.	Committee found that the students were proficient in this area.	Found that the students' capstone experience is a good sample for their performance in the program. We feel that we should also assess in the WS100 class in order to get better more accurate results	1. We will implement a pre-test and posttest in WS 100 2. Continue to work on imbedding Student Learning Outcomes in all courses.

			for students'	
			progress	

Comments: Within Women's Studies there is a large amount of community and campus programming. In the Spring Semester of 2010, Department FTE for WS was at 16.2. Summer FTE was at 6.4 In the Fall of 2010 was at 9.0 and in the Spring of 2011 FTE was at 18.4. In the Spring of 2010 there were 17 Women's Studies Minors, currently there are 12 minors. We'd like to see these numbers grow in the next year. Our goal is to have an average FTE for the 11-12 academic years at 15 and the number of minors at 20.

B. Follow-up (closing the loop) on results and activities from previous assessment cycles. In this section, please describe actions taken during this cycle that were based on, or implemented to address, the results of assessment from previous cycles.

A. What SLO(s)	B. When was this SLO	C. What were the	D. Were the	E. What were the results of the
did you	last assessed?	recommendations for change	recommendations for	changes? If the changes were not
address?		from the previous	change acted upon? If not,	effective, what are the next steps or
		assessment?	why?	the new recommendations?
New	New Assessment	New Assessment	New Assessment	New Assessment
Assessment				
New	New Assessment	New Assessment	New Assessment	New Assessment
Assessment				