#### Colorado State University – Pueblo Undergraduate & GraduateProgram Assessment Report for AY 2011-2012 Due: June 1, 2012

**Program:** Nonprofit Administration minor

Date: May 31, 2012

#### Completed by: Jennifer Mullen

Please complete this form for <u>each undergraduate, minor, certificate, and graduate program</u> (e.g., B.A., B.S., M.S.) in your department and return it to Erin Frew, <u>erin.frew@colostate-pueblo.edu</u> as an email attachment before June 1, 2012. You'll also find the form at the assessment website at <u>http://www.colostate-pueblo.edu/Assessment/Resources/Pages/default.aspx</u>. Thank you.

#### I. Program student learning outcomes (SLOs) assessed in this cycle, processes, results, and recommendations.

A. Which of the program SLOs were assessed during this cycle? Please include the outcome(s) verbatim from the assessment plan.	B. When was this SLO last assessed?	C. What method was used for assessing the SLO? Please attach a copy of any rubrics used in the assessment process.	D. Who was assessed? Please fully describe the student group.	E. What is the expected achievement level and how many students should be at it?	F. What were the results of the assessment?	G. What were the department's conclusions about student performance?	H. What changes/improvements to the <u>program</u> are planned based on this assessment?
Outcome 1)	SLOs for	Outcome 1:	Outcomes 1	Outcome 1:	Outcome 1:	Based on the	Although the students
identify basic	the	Final paper in	and 2: All	90 percent	40 percent	assessment results,	completing the minor
components of	Nonprofit	MCCNM 370	students	of students	performed	students in the	program are performing
nonprofit	Administr	Outcome 2:	enrolled in	should	at excellent	minor are	at target levels, the lack
organization	ation	Final paper in	MCCNM 370	perform at	level; 40	performing at	of enrollment in the
Outcome 2)	Minor	MCCNM 370	Outcome 3:	average or	percent	expected levels.	minor program suggests
develop a	have not	Outcome 3:	All students	higher level.	performed	However, because	that a full review of the
strategic plan	been	Evaluation of	who are	Outcome 2:	at very good	the number of	program is needed. With
for a new	previously	internship by	graduating	90 percent	level; 10	students enrolled	the departure of the
nonprofit	assessed.	supervisor and	from the	of students	percent	in the nonprofit	current advisor, a full
organization		students (see	minor	should	performed	administration	review of the program
Outcome 3)		rubrics copied	program (3 in	perform at	at average	minor program is	should begin during the

apply	below)	Spring 2012).	average or	level; 10	so small (just 3	upcoming academic year.
knowledge of	,	, ,	higher level.	percent	students graduated	, ,
nonprofit			Outcome 3:	, performed	with the minor in	
organizations			100 percent	at below	2012), the entire	
within an			of students	average level	nonprofit	
internship			should	Outcome 2:	administration	
setting.			receive	40 percent	minor program	
J J			above	performed	should undergo a	
			average or	at excellent	thorough review,	
			higher	level; 40	including	
			evaluations	percent	completion of a	
			from	performed	curriculum map	
			supervisors;	at very good	tied to student	
			100 percent	level; 10	learning outcomes,	
			of students	percent	in the near future.	
			should	performed		
			report	at average		
			adequate	level; 10		
			preparation	percent		
			to	performed		
			contribute	at below		
			to	average level		
			internship.	Outcome 3:		
				All students		
				received		
				outstanding		
				(high)		
				evaluations		
				from		
				supervisors;		
				all students		
				reported		
				they had		
				adequate		

	internship. All of the targets were met.	
	preparation to contribute to the	

Comments:

# B. Follow-up (closing the loop) on results and activities from previous assessment cycles. In this section, please describe actions taken during this cycle that were based on, or implemented to address, the results of assessment from previous cycles.

A. What SLO(s)	B. When was this	C. What were the	D. Were the	E. What were the results of the
did you address?	SLO last assessed?	recommendations for change	recommendations for	changes? If the changes were not
Please include the outcome(s)		from the previous assessment?	change acted upon? If not, why?	effective, what are the next steps or the new recommendations?
verbatim from		assessment!	wily:	
the assessment				
plan.				

Comments: This section is not applicable to the nonprofit administration minor since the outcomes have not been assessed prior to this year.

#### Grading Rubric for Nonprofit Proposal Paper (used to measure learning outcomes 1 and 2)

**Excellent:** Required outline is followed; all required elements are covered in thorough detail. Proposal is realistic and could actually be considered for implementation. Paper is written at 300-level quality, college work throughout. Paper is professional with no typos or errors. Assignment meets deadline.

**Very Good:** Required outline is followed; all required elements are covered with few details missing. Proposal is generally realistic and could be considered for implementation. Paper is written at 300-level quality, college work throughout. Paper is professional with few typos and no errors. Assignment meets deadline.

**Average:** Required outline is followed; all required elements are covered with some details missing. Proposal is mostly realistic and could be considered for implementation with a few minor modifications. The majority of the paper is written at 300-level quality, college work. Paper is mostly professional with some typos and few errors. Assignment meets deadline.

**Below Average:** Required outline is not followed; required elements are missing. Proposal is a stretch and would be difficult to implement. Paper is not written at 300-level quality, college work. Paper lacks professionalism with many typos and errors. Assignment did not meet deadline.

#### Internship Supervisor Evaluation (used to measure learning outcome 3)

# Mass Communications Department and Center for New Media Internship Evaluation Please return to Jennifer Mullen, Department Chair jen.mullen@colostate-pueblo.edu 549-2856 719-549-2120 Fax

Student Name	 	 	
Supervisor Name_	 	 	
Organization			

Please evaluate the student intern with 1 being your lowest rating, 2 being average, and 3 being above average, and 4 being your highest rating in the areas listed. Feel free to add additional comments, as well.

Skill Evaluation	1 LOW	2 AVERAGE	3 ABOVE AVERAGE	<u>4 HIGH</u>
Writing	1	2	3	4
Interpersonal communication	1	2	3	4
Strategic thinking	1	2	3	4
Technology	1	2	3	4
Problem-Solving	1	2	3	4
<u>Professionalism</u>				
Responsibility	1	2	3	4

Signature		Date			
Comments					
Commente					
Able to take criticism	1	2	3	4	
Initiative	1	2	3	4	
Poise/Self-Control	1	2	3	4	
Dependability	1	2	3	4	
Punctuality/Deadlines	1	2	3	4	

## Internship Student Self Evaluation (used to measure learning outcome 3)

## Student Interns are required to submit a narrative of the internship experience.

Narrative includes:

- 1. Overview of your duties/projects/initiatives
- 2. Your evaluation of your own performance, including a summary of your level of preparation for the internship
- 3. Your evaluation of the internship experience in this particular organization

Students must also submit samples of work produced during the internship.