Colorado State University – Pueblo Undergraduate & GraduateProgram Assessment Report for AY 2011-2012 Due: June 1, 2012

Program:_____BS-CIS_____

Date: _____5/30/2012_____

Completed by: Juyun (Joey) Cho

Please complete this form for <u>each undergraduate, minor, certificate, and graduate program</u> (e.g., B.A., B.S., M.S.) in your department and return it to Erin Frew, <u>erin.frew@colostate-pueblo.edu</u> as an email attachment before June 1, 2012. You'll also find the form at the assessment website at <u>http://www.colostate-pueblo.edu/Assessment/Resources/Pages/default.aspx</u>. Thank you.

I. Program student learning outcomes (SLOs) assessed in this cycle, processes, results, and recommendations.

A. Which of the program SLOs were assessed during this cycle? Please include the outcome(s) verbatim from the assessment plan.	B. When was this SLO last assessed?	C. What method was used for assessing the SLO? Please attach a copy of any rubrics used in the assessment process.	D. Who was assessed? Please fully describe the student group.	E. What is the expected achievement level and how many students should be at it?	F. What were the results of the assessment?	G. What were the department's conclusions about student performance?	H. What changes/improvements to the <u>program</u> are planned based on this assessment?
Problem	This is the	Artifacts	CIS 240 – 20	Our	Overall,	Students are	We will review the
Solving	first time	collected in CIS	indivisual	expected	students	performing at	literature for pedagogical
		240	students	achievement	met our	acceptable levels in	techniques that help
		(assignment),	CIS 271 – 24	level is that	expected	this area.	students increase their
		271 (project),	students in 8	at least 70%	performance	However, in order	problem-solving skills,
		289 (case	groups	of students	levels.	to further develop	and try to experiment
		study), 311	CIS 289 – 15	are at either	Specifically,	skills and to better	the techniques in some
		(project), and	individual	the "meets	for two of	standardize	CIS courses during Spring
		350 (project),	students	expectations	the sub-	processes, the CIS	2013.
			CIS 311 – 16	" or	goals, 2.1	faculty will	
			students in 7	"exceeds	(appropriatel	continue to	
			groups	expectations	y use	monitor SLOs.	

			CIS 350 – 15	" levels.	mathadata		
				ieveis.	methods to		
			individual		solve		
			students		problems)		
					and 2.2		
					(evaluate		
					business		
					situations),		
					84% of		
					students		
					evaluated		
					either met		
					or exceeded		
					expectations		
					. For sub-		
					goal 2.3		
					(develop		
					viable		
					recommend		
					ations), 87%		
					of students		
					evaluated		
					either met		
					or exceeded		
					expectations		
					•		
Technology	This is the	Artifacts	CIS 271 – 14	Our	Overall,	Students are	We will discuss how we
	first time	collected in CIS	students in 5	expected	students	performing at	can improve SLO for sub-
		271 (project),	groups	achievement	met our	acceptable levels in	goal 5.2.
		311 (project),	CIS 311 – 16	level is that	expected	this area.	
		and 350	students in 7	at least 70%	performance	However, we	
		(project)	groups	of	levels.	consider the results	
			CIS 350 – 15	undergradua	Specifically,	that showed 49%	
			individual	te students	for sub-goal,	of students	
			students	are at either	5.1 (able to	exceeded	

	the "meets expectations " or "exceeds expectations " levels.	application using	expectations and 24% met expectations for sub-goal 5.2, needs to be improved.	
		design Information Technology solutions), 95% and 72% of students respectively evaluated either met or exceeded expectations		

Comments:

B. Follow-up (closing the loop) on results and activities from previous assessment cycles. In this section, please describe actions taken during this cycle that were based on, or implemented to address, the results of assessment from previous cycles.

A. What SLO(s)	B. When was this	C. What were the	D. Were the	E. What were the results of the
did you address?	SLO last assessed?	recommendations for change	recommendations for	changes? If the changes were not
Please include		from the previous	change acted upon? If not,	effective, what are the next steps or
the outcome(s)		assessment?	why?	the new recommendations?

verbatim from the assessment plan.		

Comments:

We have completed our first review cycle in AY 2011-2012 since our program was moved from CEEPS to HSB. We don't have data of the previous academic year to be compared with current one. We will have this section completed and turned in for the next review cycle in June 2013.

CIS 240

	Content (Logic)	Format
High-scoring answer	 Functional requirements make sense Functional requirements should match use cases 	 Include all three components: actor, use cases, and system border Symbols were clearly labeled The whole diagram looks clean and neat
Medium- scoring answer	 Functional requirements somehow make sense Functional requirements not really match use cases 	 Miss at least one of the components: actor, use cases, and system border Miss some labels
Low-scoring answer	 Functional requirements does not make sense Functional requirements do not match use cases at all 	 Miss at least two of the components: actor, use cases, and system border Miss labels The whole diagram looks like mess

CIS 271

- 1. High-scoring answer
 - Students' program is working without any errors and
 - Students utilized two of more object-oriented concepts such as encapsulation, information hiding, polymorphism, classes/objects, and inheritance (super/child class).
- 2. Medium-scoring answer
 - Students' program is working but has one or two errors and
 - Students utilized one of object-oriented concepts such as encapsulation, information hiding, polymorphism, classes/objects, and inheritance (super/child class).

3. Low-scoring answer

- Students' program is not working or
- Students' program is working but has more than 3 errors or
- Students did not utilize any object-oriented concepts such as encapsulation, information hiding, polymorphism, classes/objects, and inheritance (super/child class).

CIS 289

1. High-scoring answer

Students should point to VPN connections as the best solution for secure remote connections and demonstrate an understanding of point-to-point protocol (PPTP) and/or layer 2 tunneling protocol (L2TP) for using VPNs.

2. Medium-scoring answer

Students should point to VPN connections as the best solution for secure remote connections.

3. Low-scoring answer

Students point to an answer other than VPN.

CIS 311

Use the following three-scale assessment guide:

- 1. High-scoring (3) project has 3 of the following
 - a. All links work
 - b. Footer on every page
 - c. Uses cookies to pass information from page to page
 - d. Has a form to collect information from user
- 2. Medium-scoring (2) project has 2 of the following
 - a. All links work
 - b. Footer on every page
 - c. Uses cookies to pass information from page to page
 - d. Has a form to collect information from user
- 3. Low-scoring (1) project has 1 of the following
 - a. All links work
 - b. Footer on every page

- c. Uses cookies to pass information from page to page
- d. Has a form to collect information from user

CIS 350

- 1. high-scoring answer:
 - a. define all the attributes, entities and relationships correctly and completed all three required elements.
- 2. medium-scoring answer:
 - a. well defined attributes, entities, and relationships. Minor mistakes on data dictionary, ERD or with incomplete SQL commands.
- 3. lower-scoring answer:
 - a. incorrect defined attributes, entities, or relationships. Only include part of data dictionary, ERD, or SQL commands.