Colorado State University – Pueblo Undergraduate & GraduateProgram Assessment Report for AY 2011-2012 Due: June 1, 2012

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Completed by:\_\_\_\_\_

Please complete this form for <u>each undergraduate, minor, certificate, and graduate program</u> (e.g., B.A., B.S., M.S.) in your department and return it to Erin Frew, <u>erin.frew@colostate-pueblo.edu</u> as an email attachment before June 1, 2012. You'll also find the form at the assessment website at <u>http://www.colostate-pueblo.edu/Assessment/Resources/Pages/default.aspx</u>. Thank you.

## I. Program student learning outcomes (SLOs) assessed in this cycle, processes, results, and recommendations.

A. Which of the program SLOs were assessed during this cycle? Please include the outcome(s) verbatim from the assessment plan.	B. When was this SLO last assessed?	C. What method was used for assessing the SLO? Please attach a copy of any rubrics used in the assessment process.	D. Who was assessed? Please fully describe the student group.	E. What is the expected achievement level and how many students should be at it?	F. What were the results of the assessment?	G. What were the department's conclusions about student performance?	H. What changes/improvements to the <u>program</u> are planned based on this assessment?
Be able to understand and evaluate the scientific literature and use it in their courses and their research.	Since the program is new and has only one student at this point the SLO has never been assessed.	Students will exhibit a satisfactory grasp of the principles of literature searching and evaluation, in a wide variety of media, and this will be evident in	One student who is currently nearing the completion of the program but has not yet defended his thesis in Chem 589	All students will perform satisfactoril y or they will be required to repeat the effort and/or make appropriate modificatio	The student made satisfactory progress as demonstrate d by the faculty and peer assessments in Chem 593 (see the attached	The student is making satisfactory progress towards the SLO. However, the rubric could be improved to better identify particular aspects of the SLO that tend to be more problematic for students. For example, students	Improvements to the rubric for 593 will be implemented this fall.

	1		1	1			1
		their oral and		ns.	Rubric).	that are deficient in	
		written work			Data is not	"critical analysis of	
		as determined			yet available	research results"	
		by cognizant			from Chem	will be identified by	
		faculty			589.	a more detailed	
		evaluating				rubric in BIOL 593	
		CHEM 593				which breaks down	
		(Seminar) and				the question "The	
		CHEM 589				author's	
		(Thesis				interpretation of	
		Defense				<b>the results</b> was	
						clearly presented"	
		Seminar).				(see attached	
		Furthermore,				form), into	
		routine				component parts	
		evaluation of				that elaborate	
		student's				more than simply	
		progress at				"clearly presented"	
		meeting this				but rather will	
		outcome will				extend to items	
		occur by at				like; "logically	
		Thesis				connected to the	
		Committee				data; backed up by	
		Meetings each				appropriate	
		semester.				controls; discerned	
						using the principles	
						of Ockham's	
						razor".	
Disseminate, in	Since the	A survey of	One student	At least 50%	The student	The students	None.
collaboration with	program	current	who is	of the	presented	performance on	
faculty, the products of the	is new	activities will	currently	Biochemistr	posters	, the SLO was	
Biochemistry-MS	and has	be taken and	, nearing the	y-MS	locally at the	considered very	
program within	only one	efforts will be	completion	students	, CSU-Pueblo	, good.	
CSU-Pueblo	student at	made to	of the	and faculty,	CSM		
community and		made to		and faculty,		l	

with communities outside of the University in activities using their professional expertise.	this point the SLO has never been assessed.	encourage students and faculty to increase these activities as time and resources permit.	program but has not yet defended his thesis in Chem 589	based on a 3 year rolling average, will be engaged in these professional outreach activities, broadly defined, - including giving research/re cruiting seminars at universities or industry, research presentatio ns at local, regional, and national conferences , etc.	Research Day, regionally at he CSU- Ft.Collins Celebrate Undergradua te Research and Creativity day, and nationally at the Society for Neuroscienc es Annual Meeting of 2011.		
--	---	--	---	--	--	--	--

Comments:

B. Follow-up (closing the loop) on results and activities from previous assessment cycles. In this section, please describe actions taken during this cycle that were based on, or implemented to address, the results of assessment from previous cycles.

A. What SLO(s)	B. When was this	C. What were the	D. Were the	E. What were the results of the
did you address?	SLO last assessed?	recommendations for change	recommendations for	changes? If the changes were not
Please include		from the previous	change acted upon? If not,	effective, what are the next steps or
the outcome(s)		assessment?	why?	the new recommendations?
verbatim from				
the assessment				
plan.				

Comments:

BIOL 593 Peer Assessment Sheet for the Seminar Outline

NAME of PRESENTER\_\_\_\_\_

Comment on for each of the following items including *improvement items*. Also, assign each item a letter grade indicating the quality of the authors work regarding that item.

The reason why the work discussed is **significant** was clearly communicated.

Grade\_\_\_\_

Background info was clearly communicated so that I understood the hypothesis. Grade\_\_\_\_\_

The **hypothesis** was clearly communicated.

Grade\_\_\_\_

The **aims** were about the hypothesis and were not actually methods.

Grade\_\_\_\_

The *methods* would clearly test the aims.

Grade\_\_\_\_

Appropriate controls and pitfalls were present for each aim.

Grade\_\_\_\_

The author's interpretation of the results was clearly presented.

Grade\_\_\_\_