



Academic Program Assessment AY 2024-2025 [Due 6/1/25]

| | |
|---|---------------------|
| Program Name | Date Completed |
| Liberal Studies (Elementary Ed), BS | 5/29/2025 |
| Report Completed By | Report Contributors |
| Jeff Piquette | |
| Brief Statement of Program Mission and Goals | |
| To engage and empower our community of learners and develop professional educators who respect diversity, advance social justice, and promote academic excellence through immersion in equitable exploration. | |

Table I Closing the Loop

Report on at least one data-informed change to your curriculum during AY 2024-2025 that was implemented to improve student learning, in response to prior assessments or other data.

| |
|--|
| A. Describe issues or SLOs addressed in the AY 2024-2025 cycle. Paste SLOs verbatim below. |
| 4. Graduates create standards-based learning experiences that make knowledge accessible, exciting, and meaningful for all students |
| B. In which academic year and semester was this SLO last assessed to generate data that informed the change(s)? |
| 2023-2024 |
| C. What were the recommendations for change in the previous cycle? (See Column H in the previous cycle's report.) |
| We plan to embed more social-emotional content in the program to equip our graduates for the needs of the students. This will be done in the ED 412 course mostly, but also ED 202 and ED 301. |
| D. How were the recommendations for change acted upon? |
| The curriculum for the courses was adjusted during the academic year and will be ready for Fall 2025. |
| E. How did the change(s) impact student learning? If the change was not effective, what are the next steps or new recommendations? |
| We are not sure yet. We will know more after next year. |



Academic Program Assessment

AY 2024-2025 [Due 6/1/25]

| Enter Table I Closing the Loop Comments Below |
|--|
| We are excited to see what effect the revised courses will have on student learning. The School of Education has adopted new program SLOs starting this year, but they still contain elements of social-emotional learning by our students, so this can be tracked moving forward. |



| | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Program Name | Date Completed |
| Liberal Studies (Elementary Ed), BS | 5/29/2025 |
| Report Completed By | Report Contributors |
| Jeff Piquette | |

Table II Annual assessment of Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs) in AY 2024-25

1. Include information to share assessment processes, results, and recommendations for improved student learning. Copy this table for each assessed outcome.

| |
|--|
| A. Program SLO assessed in this cycle. Copy the SLOs verbatim from the assessment plan. |
| I. Teachers demonstrate mastery of and pedagogical expertise in the content they teach. |
| B. Semester and year this SLO was reported on prior to this cycle. |
| N/A. New SLO this year. |
| C. Describe the assessment method for this SLO. |
| Rubric for Evaluating Colorado Teachers |
| D. Described student group(s) assessed. Provide the number of students or number of artifacts assessed. |
| All students completing the Liberal Studies/Elementary Education major for the current academic year; first year teachers from the previous academic year. |
| E. Expected proficiency level and proportion of students who should reach this level. |
| Expectations include all the following: a) all program completers should receive ratings of 3.00 or higher on assessments of performance on all program SLOs and avg. ratings by the group should be >3.00, b) 100% of program completers and >80% of individual students during the year who took the state licensure exam (Praxis) receive passing scores; and c) >80% of graduates and their supervisors'/ principals' ratings of performance are proficient (3.00 or >) and avg. ratings are >3.00 on evaluations of all SLOs for the group after one year of teaching. |
| F. Assessment results and number of students who met proficiency level. |
| a) All completers (n = 5) had ratings of 3.0 or higher on all applicable program SLOs. The average for this group across applicable SLO elements was 3.80. |



- b) All completers passed the Elementary and Teaching Reading Praxis exams. That also represents the total number of individuals who took the Praxis exam, so we also met the expectation for the second half of this goal.
- c) We had eleven completers in the previous year for Elementary Ed. Their supervisors are reporting that they are all doing very well. Ratings on all elements were 3.75 - 4.25, with an average of 4.00.

G. Describe what results indicate about student performance.

Our graduates appear to be obtaining the necessary knowledge and skills to not only meet our program SLOs, but also to be effective in the field. The lowest-rated element for this SLO was regarding using evidence-based instructional practices and the specialized characteristics of the disciplines being taught, but it was still above proficient, so not a concern.

H. Describe program level changes/improvements planned for AY 2025-2025 informed by this assessment.

None for this SLO this year.

A. Program SLO assessed in this cycle. Copy the SLOs verbatim from the assessment plan.

II. Teachers establish a safe, inclusive and respectful learning environment for a diverse population of students.

B. Semester and year this SLO was reported on prior to this cycle.

N/A. New SLO this year.

C. Describe the assessment method for this SLO.

[Rubric for Evaluating Colorado Teachers](#)

D. Described student group(s) assessed. Provide the number of students or number of artifacts assessed.

All students completing the Elementary Ed major for the current academic year; first year teachers from the previous academic year.

E. Expected proficiency level and proportion of students who should reach this level.

Expectations include all the following:

- a) all program completers should receive ratings of 3.00 or higher on assessments of performance on all program SLOs and avg. ratings by the group should be >3.00; and
- b) >80% of graduates and their supervisors'/ principals' ratings of performance are proficient (3.00 or >) and avg. ratings are >3.00 on evaluations of all SLOs for the group after one year of teaching.

F. Assessment results and number of students who met proficiency level.

a) All completers (n = 5) had ratings of 3.0 or higher on all applicable program SLOs. The average for this group across applicable SLO elements was 3.33.



b) We had eleven completers in the previous year for Elementary Ed. Their supervisors are reporting that they are all doing very well. Ratings on all elements were 3.00 - 4.00, with an average of 3.36.

G. Describe what results indicate about student performance.

Our graduates appear to be obtaining the necessary knowledge and skills to not only meet our program SLOs, but also to be effective in the field. The lowest-rated elements for this SLO were really about classroom management and meeting diverse needs. All were proficient, but classroom management is almost always something that new teachers need some time to develop.

H. Describe program level changes/improvements planned for AY 2025-2025 informed by this assessment.

We are going to add a Classroom Management course to our revamped program in Elementary Education to see if this will improve ratings on this SLO. This will be in conjunction with some other planned changes to better meet state standards and needs we are hearing from our employer/stakeholders.

A. Program SLO assessed in this cycle. Copy the SLOs verbatim from the assessment plan.

III. Teachers plan and deliver effective instruction and create an environment that facilitates learning for their students.

B. Semester and year this SLO was reported on prior to this cycle.

N/A. New SLO this year.

C. Describe the assessment method for this SLO.

[Rubric for Evaluating Colorado Teachers](#)

D. Described student group(s) assessed. Provide the number of students or number of artifacts assessed.

All students completing the Elementary Ed major for the current academic year; first year teachers from the previous academic year.

E. Expected proficiency level and proportion of students who should reach this level.

Expectations include all the following:

- a) all program completers should receive ratings of 3.00 or higher on assessments of performance on all program SLOs and avg. ratings by the group should be >3.00; and
- b) >80% of graduates and their supervisors'/ principals' ratings of performance are proficient (3.00 or >) and avg. ratings are >3.00 on evaluations of all SLOs for the group after one year of teaching.

F. Assessment results and number of students who met proficiency level.

a) All completers (n = 5) had ratings of 3.0 or higher on all applicable program SLOs. The average for this group across applicable SLO elements was 3.67.



b) We had eleven completers in the previous year for Elementary Ed. Their supervisors are reporting that they are all doing very well. Ratings on all SLOs were 3.00 - 4.50, with an average of 3.85.

G. Describe what results indicate about student performance.

Our graduates appear to be obtaining the necessary knowledge and skills to not only meet our program SLOs, but also to be effective in the field. The lowest-rated element for this SLO was in demonstrating knowledge about the ways in which learning takes place, but the ratings were all at the proficient level and so not a big concern this year.

H. Describe program level changes/improvements planned for AY 2025-2025 informed by this assessment.

None for this SLO this year.

A. Program SLO assessed in this cycle. Copy the SLOs verbatim from the assessment plan.

IV. Teachers demonstrate professionalism through ethical conduct, reflection, and leadership.

B. Semester and year this SLO was reported on prior to this cycle.

N/A. New SLO this year.

C. Describe the assessment method for this SLO.

[Rubric for Evaluating Colorado Teachers](#)

D. Described student group(s) assessed. Provide the number of students or number of artifacts assessed.

All students completing the Elementary Ed major for the current academic year; first year teachers from the previous academic year.

E. Expected proficiency level and proportion of students who should reach this level.

Expectations include all the following:

- a) all program completers should receive ratings of 3.00 or higher on assessments of performance on all program SLOs and avg. ratings by the group should be >3.00; and
- b) >80% of graduates and their supervisors'/ principals' ratings of performance are proficient (3.00 or >) and avg. ratings are >3.00 on evaluations of all SLOs for the group after one year of teaching.

F. Assessment results and number of students who met proficiency level.

- a) All completers (n = 5) had ratings of 3.0 or higher on all applicable program SLOs. The average for this group across applicable SLO elements was 4.00.
- b) We had eleven completers in the previous year for Elementary Ed. Their supervisors are reporting that they are all doing very well. Ratings on all SLOs were 4.00 - 5.00, with an average of 4.36.



Academic Program Assessment

AY 2024-2025 [Due 6/1/25]

| |
|--|
| G. Describe what results indicate about student performance. |
| Our graduates appear to be obtaining the necessary knowledge and skills to not only meet our program SLOs, but also to be effective in the field. This was our highest-rated SLO and so not a big concern this year. |
| H. Describe program level changes/improvements planned for AY 2025-2025 informed by this assessment. |
| None for this SLO this year. |

| |
|---|
| Enter Table II AY 2025 Assessment Comments Below |
| |