Academic Program Assessment Plan

College: Hasan School of Business

Program: Master Business Administration MBA

Plan prepared by: Brad Gilbraith in 2010, updated by Brad Gilbraith and Aun Hasan in

2019 and revised by Laurie Coradinio and Ian Brennan in 2025

Contact entity for plan: Hasan School of Business Assurance of Learning (AoL)

Committee

Mission

"At The Malik & Seeme Hasan School of Business, Colorado State University Pueblo, we transform students through innovative teaching in a supportive, nurturing, and inclusive environment. We prioritize individual interactions with our diverse learning community, ensuring that our students and stakeholders are seen, heard, and valued. Our faculty focus their intellectual pursuits on applied scholarship and instructional delivery to expand the knowledge, skills, and experiences our students need to thrive in their chosen fields. We rateable by fostering professionalism and developing career-ready graduates. We engage in partnerships with noncommunist that create purposeful jobs, strengthen our economy, and enhance the quality of life in Southern Colorado."

A key part of the HSB's mission is to have positive transformational effects on students. The HSB and CSU-Pueblo serve students from a broad spectrum, including many from lower socioeconomic strata, under-represented populations, and first generation students. Our most important task at the HSB is effecting positive change in our students so that they are prepared as business professionals. We build student skills through active learning, experiential education, and collaborations with businesses and community members. HSB graduates are a critical component of Pueblo's economic infrastructure, while many make contributions in other cities, states, and countries.

Student Learning Outcomes

The HSB currently has four learning outcomes for its graduate students. Specifically, these are that they will be able to do the following:

- Goal 1: Achieve organizational goals
 - Objective 1.1. Graduate will demonstrate the effective management of team dynamics in the achievement of organizational goals
- Goal 2: Manage organizational change
 - Objective 2.1. Graduate will demonstrate an ability to identify and implement adaptions to maintain organizational effectiveness and competitiveness technology
- Goal 3: Be socially responsible

- Objective 3.1. Graduate demonstrates an awareness of ethical challenges in decision-making and an ability to draw on frameworks to make socially responsible decisions
- Goal 4: Formulate appropriate strategic decisions
 - Objective 4.1. Graduate applies analytical techniques and decision methods to arrive at appropriate strategic decisions

Although we will continue to monitor whether our learning outcomes are what is needed to achieve our mission, our current thinking is that the outcomes support what we are trying to achieve in terms of developing students' skills for success in the workplace.

Measures Used to Assess Student Performance

Indirect measures of student performance were introduced in 2024. The indirect measure deployed in 2024 was an alumni survey that required respondents to assess the extent to which HSB had achieved each of the four student learning objectives which are directly measured. The alumni survey will be replaced with a capstone course (MGMT 585) survey in 2026.

Direct measures of student performance on a particular learning outcome involve rating whether the student—on the artifact we are evaluating—exceeded, met, or did not meet the learning goal being assessed. For each learning outcome, we expect that at least 70 percent of our MBA students will meet or exceed the learning objective. The direct measures utilized by HSB were reviewed by the AACSB accreditation team that reaccredited HSB in 2024.

The actual criteria for evaluating the level of performance (e.g., exceeds, meets, does not meet expectations) are specified in the following rubrics:

SLO 1 ACHIEVE ORGANIZATIONAL GOALS				
EVALUATION	Exceeds	Meets	Does not meet	
CRITERIA	expectations	expectations	expectations	
1 Graduate will	Graduate	Graduate	Graduate is	
demonstrate the	manages team	manages some	ineffective in	
effective	conflicts	team conflicts	managing team	
management of	effectively and	effectively and	conflicts and	
team dynamics in	ensures that the	ensures that time	unable to ensure	
the achievement	team remains	is mostly directed	that time is mostly	
of organizational	highly focused on	towards the	spent on the	
goals	the organization	achievement of	achievement of	
	goals			

C	organizational	organizational
8	goals.	goals.

SLO 2 MANAGE ORGANIZATIONAL CHANGE				
EVALUATION Exceeds Meets Does no				
CRITERIA	expectations	expectations	expectations	
2. Graduate will	Appropriately	Often uses	Fails to often	
demonstrate an	uses change	change	use change	
ability to identify	management	management	management	
and implement	techniques to	techniques to	techniques to	
adaptions to	identify and	identify and	identify and	
maintain	implement	implement	implement	
organizational	adaptions to	adaptions to	adaptions to	
effectiveness	maintain	maintain	maintain	
and	organizational	organizational	organizational	
competitiveness	effectiveness	effectiveness	effectiveness	
	and	and	and	
	competitiveness	competitiveness	competitiveness	

SLO 3 BE SOCIALLY RESPONSIBLE			
EVALUATION CRITERIA	Exceeds expectations	Meets expectations	Does not meet expectations

3 Graduate	Demonstrates a	Demonstrates some	Fails to
demonstrates	high awareness of	awareness of the	demonstrate
an awareness	the ethical	ethical challenges in	some awareness
of ethical	challenges in	decision-making and	of the ethical
challenges in	decision-making	displays some ability	challenges in
decision-	and proficiently	to draw upon	decision-making
making and an	draws upon	frameworks to make	and displays little
ability to draw	frameworks to make	socially responsible	ability to draw
on	socially responsible	decisions	upon frameworks
frameworks to	decisions		to make socially
make socially			responsible
responsible			decisions
decisions			

SLO 4 FORMULATE APPRORIATE STRATEGIC DECISIONS				
EVALUATION CRITERIA	Exceeds expectations	Meets expectations	Does not meet expectations	
4. Graduate	Shows strong	Shows some	Often fails to apply	
applies analytical techniques and	ability to apply analytical	ability to apply analytical	analytical techniques and	
decision methods	techniques and	techniques and	decision methods	
to arrive at	decision methods	decision methods	to arrive at	
appropriate	to arrive at	to arrive at	appropriate	
strategic	appropriate	appropriate	strategic decisions	
decisions	strategic decisions	strategic decisions		

The HSB's learning outcomes assessment is described in the CSU-Pueblo Catalog. In addition, our learning outcomes are discussed with and approved by the HSB Board of Advisors. Some faculty incorporate a discussion of how their courses relate to program learning outcomes into their syllabi.

Curriculum Map

Course	SLO 1 ACHIEVE ORGANIZATIONAL GOALS	SLO 2 MANAGE ORGANIZATIONAL CHANGE	SLO 3 BE SOCIALLY RESPONSIBLE	SLO 4 FORMULATE APPRORIATE STRATEGIC DECISIONS
ACCTG 510 Managerial Accounting	ID		1	ID
BSAD 52 Business Ethics & Envt.	ID		М	
BUSAD 575 International Business	ID	ID	ID	ID
CIS 565 Management Information Systems		D		
ECON 510 Economics for Managers	D		D	D
MGMT 511 Production & Operations Management	М	М		D
MGMT 520 Management of Organizational Behavior	D	М	D	D
MGMT 585 Management Policy & Strategy	М	N	М	М
MKTG 510 Marketing	D			

SLO goal for course: I=Introduce D=Develop M=Master

The HSB's MBA curriculum maps indicate that faculty require students to demonstrate each of the learning outcomes in our required core courses. Artifacts of student learning include exams, papers, presentations, and cases. Core required courses and their objectives, in aggregate, meet the outcomes for the program.

Assessment Methods

The HSB primarily uses an embedded assessment approach. Artifacts of student work pertinent to a particular learning outcome are collected, and these artifacts are evaluated

by faculty external to the course in which the artifact was collected to determine students' level of mastery. Whenever possible we assess individual student work rather than group projects. In addition, for follow-up (loop-closing) activities on subsequent artifact evaluation, the AoL committee solicits potential curriculum changes or adjustments from artifact reviewers to address any shortfalls in student performance on an artifact. Any loop-closing activities are summarized in the Excel spreadsheet that tracks assessment results.

Assessment Timetable

We aim to assess each of our learning objectives within three years. This timetable has been operational since 2006. Learning outcomes for which student performance was deficient may be assessed in a year-and-a-half to two years, while outcomes for which student performance has met expectations for the last several assessments may be scheduled for assessment in two to three years. All faculty are involved in assessing student performance, drafting action plans and implementing the action plans. The assessment process is coordinated by the AoL Committee, which is composed of HSB faculty. Overall responsibility for assessment rests with the Dean.

Assessment Plan Summary

Student Learning Outcome (SLO)	Measure	Expected Level of Proficiency	Assessment Timeline
SL01: Achieve organizational goals Graduate will demonstrate the effective management of team dynamics in the achievement of organizational goals	Measure1: (direct) Most of our measures are direct measures based on independent (i.e., the faculty member teaching that course does not evaluate the artifacts) faculty evaluation of student performance on exams and assignments. Artifacts are collected from a variety of courses from the core curriculum, mostly at the junior or senior level. Artifacts are collected according to our assessment schedule using courses designated from our curriculum map. Independent faculty evaluate student performance based on	70% of undergraduates will meet expectations (70% or higher correct answers or proficiency) based on rubrics designed and utilized for each learning goal and sub-goal.	2-3 year cycles for all SLOs: SLO 1 last assessed in 2025

	rubrics we have established to measure each learning goal and sub-goal. Copies of these rubrics are attached. Measure 2 (indirect) Indirect	70% of respondents will agree or	Biannually
	measures include alumni and capstone course (MGMT 585) surveys on the SLOs that are assessed directly in measure 1	strongly agree that HSB prepared the respondent to achieve the SLO	·
SLO 2: Manage organizational change Graduate will demonstrate an ability to identify and	Measure 3 (direct): Student artifacts such as exams and assignments (see measure 1 fr details).	70% of undergraduates will meet expectations (70% or higher correct answers or proficiency) based on rubrics designed and utilized for each learning goal.	2-3 year cycles for all SLOs: SLO 2 last assessed in 2023
implement adaptions to maintain organizational effectiveness and competitiveness	Measure 4 (indirectsee measure 2 above)	70% of respondents will agree or strongly agree that HSB prepared the respondent to achieve the SLO	Bianually
SLO 3 Be Socially Responsible Graduate demonstrates an awareness of ethical	Measure 5 (direct –see measure 1 above).	70% of undergraduates will meet expectations (70% or higher correct answers or proficiency) based on rubrics designed and utilized for each learning goal.	2-3 year cycles for all SLOs: SLO 2 last assessed in 2023
challenges in decision- making and an ability to draw on frameworks to make socially responsible decisions	Measure 6 (indirectsee measure 2 above)	70% of respondents will agree or strongly agree that HSB prepared the respondent to achieve the SLO	Bianually
SL0 4: Formulate appropriate Strategic Decisions Graduate applies analytical techniques and decision methods to arrive at appropriate strategic decisions	Measure 7 (direct –see measure 1 above). Measure 8 (indirectsee above	70% of undergraduates will meet expectations (70% or higher correct answers or proficiency) based on rubrics designed and utilized for each learning goal. 70% of respondents will agree or strongly agree that HSB prepared the respondent to achieve the SLO	2-3 year cycles for all SLOs: SLO 4 last assessed in 2024 Bianually

Assessment Results

After assessing artifacts of student work using rubrics of the learning outcomes, the percentages of students exceeding, meeting, and not meeting each learning objective are calculated. These results are then shared with faculty in meetings to discuss the results. When an unsatisfactory level of performance on a learning outcome is identified, faculty

are informed and asked what they can do to "close-the-loop" and address that deficiency. Action plans to address any deficiencies are then discussed and, if appropriate, implemented.