

olorado Academic Program Assessment Report for AY 2023-24

(Due: June 1, 2024)

Date report completed: 4/10/24

Program:BS in HS

Completed by: Tina Twilleger

Assessment contributors (other faculty involved): Carol Foust/Kies-Bolkema

Please describe the 2023-2024 assessment activities and follow-up for your program below. Please complete this form for <u>each undergraduate major</u>, <u>minor</u>, <u>certificate</u>, <u>and graduate program</u> (e.g., B.A., B.S., B.A.S, M.S.) in your department. Please copy any addenda (e.g., rubrics) and paste them in this document, save and submit it to both the Dean of your college/school and to the Executive Director for Assessment as an email attachment by June 1, 2020. You'll also find this form on the assessment website at https://www.csupueblo.edu/assessment-and-student-learning/resources.html. Thank you.

Brief statement of Program mission and goals:

I. Assessment of Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs) in this cycle. Including processes, results, and recommendations for improved student learning. Use Column H to describe improvements planned for 2019-2020 based on the assessment process.

A. Which of the program SLOs were assessed during this cycle? Please include the outcome(s) verbatim from the assessment plan.	B. When was this SLO last reported on prior to this cycle?	C. What method was used for assessing the SLO? Please include a copy of any rubrics used in the assessment process.	D. Who was assessed? Please fully describe the student group(s) and the number of students or artifacts involved (N).	E. What is the expected proficiency level and how many or what proportion of students should be at that level?	F. What were the results of the assessment? (Include the proportion of students meeting proficiency.)	G. What were the department's conclusions about student performance?	H. What changes/improvements to the program are planned based on this assessment?
Summarize and synthesize information relevant to assessing and improving population	Spring 2021	Case studies are assessed in HS 320 Health Issues and HS 330 Epidemiology courses.	All students enrolled in HS 320 and HS 330.	If more than 20% of the students in each program do not successfully achieve a	In the last three years, 124 students in HS 330 and and 171 students in HS 320 completed the	The faculty are satisfied overall is with the outcomes although we would like to add more than one	After further analysis by the faculty, one class was removed from the curriculum NSG 207 in HS and we are adding HS 330 Epidemiology to better meet the

health and healthcare issues	Rubric is attached.	specific student outcome, the courses identified with that outcome will be reviewed and program/curric ulum changes may be suggested and implemented. Students must receive at least	case study assessments. 94% of the students in HS 330 scored at least a 70% with an average score of 77%. 92% of the HS 320 student scored at least a 70% with an average score of 84%.	measure for the case study.	curriculum and internship needs. Students in HS 101 will be assessed for students outcomes in writing, content and case study so we can compare data from HS 101 Intro to Health Professions to HS 493 Senior Seminar. This will provide better outcome data than just collecting the case studies in the junior year.
Evaluate and		a 70% or better on the case study.			
Evaluate and integrate critical concepts and skills acquired in the health sciences curriculum to common professional problems in the health science fields of interest;					

	1	T	1	T	T		,
Exhibit							
effective oral							
and written							
communication							
as well as mass							
communication							
regarding							
subjects							
related to the							
health sciences							
in an individual							
and group							
setting;							
Advisory	2019	Feedback from	This	13 Health	See report at	The	We plan to add professional
Committee		Professionals in	feedback is	Science and	this link:	professionals	soft skills into the HS 101
feedback		the field	gathered	Exercise	https://www.su	recommended	course. We also address
			through an	Sciences	rveymonkey.co	improvements in	liability in EPER 461 and in HS
			Survey with	professionals in	m/results/SM-	soft skills,	320.
			professional	the field	c46sY867SiuSx4	communication,	
			s and	responded to	<u>OPfMqLNw_3D</u>	policies/liability	
			internship/fi	the survey.	_3D/	and time	
			eld			management.	
			experience				
			supervisors.				

Comments on part I:

II. Closing the Loop. Describe at least one data-informed change to your curriculum during the 2022-2023 cycle. These are those that were based on, or implemented to address, the results of assessment from previous cycles.

A. What SLO(s) or other issues did you address in this cycle? Please include the outcome(s) verbatim from the assessment plan.	B. When was this SLO last assessed to generate the data which informed the change? Please indicate the semester and year.	C. What were the recommendations for change from the previous assessment column H and/or feedback?	D. How were the recommendations for change acted upon?	E. What were the results of the changes? If the changes were not effective, what are the next steps or the new recommendations?
Case Study	Last assessed in Spring 2021.	Instead of integrating the case study into the end of program assessment, the case study will be assessed earlier in the semester for the Fieldwork and Internship students through the quiz function. This will make the case student easier to assess and collect and then a discussion can take place about the outcomes instead of doing the case study at the end of the semester. The faculty also plan to integrate case study assessments into a 100, 200, and 300 level class to prepare the students for critical thinking and problem solving. We may collect data in the HS 101 and then compare to end of program data on the case study.	In the last three years, HS has been assessing the case study through two classes, HS 320 and HS 330. We will collect writing samples and case study data in the HS 101 and then compare to end of program data collected in HS 493.	The case study is working well in the HS classes. We will start a senior seminar in the spring 2025 to get students ready for internship. We may do a pre and post case study from HS 101 to HS 493.

Comments on part II:

e Study Question #1	7 pts
ase study answer fully addresses with professionally accurate information each section.	7 points
ase study answer mostly addresses with professionally accurate information each section.	5-6 points
Case study answer minimally addresses with accurate information each section.	3-4 points
Case study answer does not address with accurate information each section.	2 or less points
mat, grammar, syntax, spelling, writing style for Case Study Question #1	3 pts
Follows all guidelines, APA referencing, no spelling or grammatical errors, complex/technical writing.	3 points
	3 points 2 points
Follows all guidelines, APA referencing, no spelling or grammatical errors, complex/technical writing. Follows most guidelines, APA referencing, few spelling or grammatical errors, less complex style. Follows most guidelines, no references, some spelling or grammatical errors, simple writing style.	·
Follows most guidelines, APA referencing, few spelling or grammatical errors, less complex style.	2 points
Follows most guidelines, APA referencing, few spelling or grammatical errors, less complex style. Follows most guidelines, no references, some spelling or grammatical errors, simple writing style.	2 points 1 point 0 points
Follows most guidelines, APA referencing, few spelling or grammatical errors, less complex style. Follows most guidelines, no references, some spelling or grammatical errors, simple writing style. Does not follow guidelines, numerous errors, poor writing style, etc. Post Study Question #2	2 points 1 point 0 points
Follows most guidelines, APA referencing, few spelling or grammatical errors, less complex style. Follows most guidelines, no references, some spelling or grammatical errors, simple writing style. Does not follow guidelines, numerous errors, poor writing style, etc.	2 points 1 point 0 points 10 pts.

Case study answer does not address with accurate information each section.	4 or less points
se Study Question #2	10 pts.
Case study answer fully uses critical thinking and application of content or theory.	9-10 points
Case study answer mostly uses critical thinking and application of content or theory.	7-8 points
Case study answer minimally uses critical thinking and application of content or theory.	5-6 points
Case study answer does not us critical thinking and application of content or theory.	4 or less points
Case study answer includes at least 4-5 professional citation references.	4-5 points
Case study answer includes at least 3 professional citation references.	3 points
	3 points
Case study answer includes at least 1-2 professional citation references.	1-2 points
Case study answer includes at least 1-2 professional citation references. Case study answer does not us include professional citation references.	
Case study answer does not us include professional citation references.	1-2 points
Case study answer does not us include professional citation references. mat, grammar, syntax, spelling, writing style for Case Study Question #2	1-2 points 0 points
	1-2 points 0 points 5 pts

Does not follow guidelines, numerous errors, poor writing style, etc.

0 points

Program Review Update: This program has not been reviewed yet.							