	2023 Academic Program Assessment Report Political Science		Program current assessment plan here: Program prior assessment	t https://www.csupuebio.edu/assessment-and-student-learning/_doc/2021/2021-assessment-plans/political-science-assessment-plan-2019.pdf				
	r ontical science		report here:	https://www.csupueblo.edu/assessment-and-s	student-learning/_doc/2022/political-science-asses	sment-report-2022.pdf		
Report Completed By:	Joel Johnson	T						
Date Report Completed:	5/31/2023	8						
Faculty members involved in this Assessment:	Joel Johnson	1						
Please describe this year's assessment activ assessment plan. The reports will be availa		, , ,						
Brief Statement of Program Mission and Goals:	The political science cur – along with a compreh- such prepares undergra- political science also ser acceptance into graduat Program Goals To prepare students ma, • Demonstrate a basic u science; • Demonstrate a genera history of political thoug	ience leads to the degrees of Bachelor of riculum focuses heavily on the developm ensive knowledge of public policy, politic duates for a wide range of in the private, ve to complement the liberal arts core at te and professional programs. joring in the discipline to: inderstanding of historical, philosophical I command of knowledge about the Ame ght, and standard political science resear y to continue personal study and learning e.	ent of analytical and communicatio s, current events, and history – and public, and non-porfit sectors. Cou : CSU-Pueblo and to prepare studen and empirical foundations of politic rrican political system, global studie in approaches; and	n skills as ses in ts for al s, the				
I. Assessment of Student Learning Outo and recommendations for improved stu improvements planned for the year bas	udent learning. Use C	olumn H to describe						
A. Your program SLOs are pasted here verbatim from your assessment plan. Please enter info in columns B-H only for those assessed during this annual cycle.	B. When was this SLO last reported on prior to this cycle? (semester and year)	C. What method was used for assessing the SLO? Please include a copy of any rubrics used in the assessment process.	D. Who was assessed? Please fully describe the student group(s) and the number of students or artifacts involved (N).	E. What is the expected proficiency level and how many or what proportion of students should be at that level?	F. What were the results of the assessment? (Include the proportion of students meeting proficiency.)	G. What were the department's conclusions about student performance?	H. What changes/improvement s to the program are planned based on this assessment?	
Knowledge Outcome 1: Students should have factual knowledge ofmany aspects of politics and government that are central objects of study in each of the subfields in political science (American politics, comparative politics, international relations, and political theory).	Spring 2022	DIRECT MEASURE 1: one or more ranked faculty member(s) will assess both SLOs in one (or more) course per academic year via a comparison of a pre-test and a post-test that are offered to the same students.	three lower-division sections: 101 -Fall and Spring, 202 Spring. About 50 students total. (See appended "2023 POLS assessment pre-post-tests and q18")	DIRECT MEASURE 1: the average posttest score will exceed the average pretest score. INDIRECT MEASURE 1: the program expects that at least 50% of enrolled students (or respondents) respond "Strongly Agree" or "Agree," and it expects that at least	class. In the three sections, the average change	Students are succeeding, and the program is succeeding.	None. Although there is always room for improvement, the assessment results point to no deficiencies that demand attention.	
Knowledge Outcome 2: Students should be able to explain coredebates (or scholarly theories and perspectives) in the subledies of political science.	Spring 2022	INDIRECT MEASURE 1: From students' anonymous course evaluations of at least one coore course per year. In particular, aggregate responses to Q18: "Learned from the course' will be reported. INDIRECT MEASURE 2: In a survey of seniors, students report on whether they learned in the program.	INDIRECT MEASURE 1: data from five core courses. (See appended "2023 POLS assessment pre-post-leasts and q18") INDIRECT MEASURE 2: data from N=11 seniors. (See appended "2023 POLS seniors survey - results")	75% of students respond "Strongly Agree," "Agree," or "Neutral." (The response "Neutral" may indicate to a student that a course is on par with other courses.) INDIRECT MEASURE 2: the program expects at least 80% of students to report that they were satisfied with how much they learned in the program.	In scores were +8.2, +12.3, and + 16.3%, INDIRECT MEASURE 1: the statistics were consistent with program goals. For the five classes, 55% of students said "strongly agree", 80% said "agree", INDIRECT MEASURE 2 (survey of seniors), the test statistics were consistent with the program succeeded in KO1, and 82% of seniors said that the program succeeded in KO2.			
Writing Outcome: Students should be able to write papers on topics in political science that (a) exhibit clear prose and correct grammarand (b) present a central argument in a clear and coherent structure or fashion.	Spring 2021	DIRECT MEASURE 1: students' papers in the POLS 493 capstone are assessed according to the writing assessment rubric. INDIRECT MEASURE 1: in a survey of seniors, students report on their perceived writing improvement.	DIRECT MEASURE 1: Final papers submitted by 10 students in POLS 493, taught by Prof. Liebel during Spring 2023. INDIRECT MEASURE 1: data from N-11 seniors. (See appended "2023 POLS seniors survey - results")	DIRECT MEASURE 1: At least 80% of students will receive an average score of 2.5 or above, signifying that they are proficient across the four categories in the rubric. INDIRECT MEASURE 1: At least 80% of students will report that the program succeeded in its writing outcome goal.	DIRECT MEASURE 1: The metric was met. 90% of the students received scores of 3.0 or higher. Also, the grammar and prose were also proficient, and the average score were 3.5 and 3.4 in those two categories, respectively. INDIRECT MEASURE 1: 100% of students said that the program succeeded in its writing outcome goal.	The program is succeeding in honing students' writing skills. We expect as much, as the program is writing intensive.	None. But this type of assessment will continue.	
Critical Thinking Outcome 1: Students should be able to identify and critique the assumptions, logic, and evidence in both scholarly and lay political arguments.	Not assessed this cycle							
Critical Thinking Outcome 2: Students should be able to use empirical observations and analytical reasoning to articulate and defend compelling, non-fallacious arguments.	Not assessed this cycle							

Comments on part I:						
II. Closing the Loop. Describe at least o						
year cycle. These are those that were b assessment from previous cycles.	ased on, or implement	nted to address, the results of				
assessment nom previous cycles.						
A. What SLO(s) or other issues did you	B. When was this	C. What were the	D. How were the	E. What were the results of the		
		recommendations for change	recommendations for	changes? If the changes were not		
SLOs verbatim from the assessment		from the previous assessment	change acted upon?	effective, what are the next steps		
plan, as above.	which informed the	column H and/or feedback?		or the new recommendations?		
	change?					
	Please indicate the					
	semester and year.					
see comments below						
	Over the past two years, the POLS program has not made changes to the program that relate directly to assessment data and reports. However, the					
	program has made a number of changes in order to better advance program goals and SLOs. The most relevant of these, especially for this assessment					
Comments on part II:	cycle, is the introduction of to provide an intensive writ	f a new writing course, POLS 251. The cours ting experience that helps students greatly in	e is simultaneously a scope and metho prove their writing. The course was first	ds course and a writing seminar. It is designed at taught in Spring 2022.		

PRE-TES	<mark>T V. POST-</mark>	TEST RESULTS	5					
2023-Spr	ing-101		2023-Fall	-101		2023-Fall-	202	
pre-test	post-test	improvement	pre-test	post-test	improvement	pre-test	post-test	improvement
93.333	93.33333	0.00	. 80			. 87.5	87.5	0
73.333	86.66667	13.33	40	80	40	100	100	0
80	93.33333	13.33	80			100	75	-25
80	86.66667	6.67	86.6667	86.6667	0	50	100	50
93.333			60	80	20	43.75	87.5	43.75
93.333	86.66667	-6.67	86.6667			50	100	50
73.333	93.33333	20.00		86.6667		56.25	50	-6.25
80	80	0.00	73.3333	100	26.6667	62.5	100	37.5
80	93.33333	13.33	73.3333	100	26.6667	75	87.5	12.5
40	86.66667	46.67	73.3333			87.5	100	12.5
53.333	93.33333	40.00	100	100	0	100	75	-25
93.333	93.33333	0.00	46.6667			50	75	25
93.333	73.33333	-20.00	86.6667	100	13.3333	31.25	68.75	37.5
46.667	80	33.33	93.3333					
86.667	86.66667	0.00	93.3333	100	6.66667		Avg chang	16.34615385
53.333			93.3333	93.3333	0		Pct impro	0.85
66.667	93.33333	26.67	33.3333	73.3333	40			
66.667	93.33333	26.67	100	93.3333	-6.66667			
80			100	100	0			
86.667	93.33333	6.67	60	0	-60			
86.667	93.33333	6.67						
46.667	80	33.33						
86.667	73.33333	-13.33						
66.667				Avg chan	8.20513			
	Avg change	12.33		pct impro	0.53846			

COURSE REVIEWS	<mark>6 - Q18 Learned in co</mark>	urse	
Course Semester	r N respondents	% strongly agree (Q18)	% agree (Q18)
101 Fall	4	50	75
202 Fall	2	100	100
250 Fall	2	0	50
101 Spring	6	25	75
251 Spring	3	100	100
	Averages:	55	80

Note: there are few respondents in these reviews, but they include three courses which underreport the agreement in the program as a whole -- two online sections of 101, and 250, which is a statistics course.