

Program: Health Science_	<del> </del>
Date report completed:	Anril 28 2022

Completed by: Tina Twilleger

Assessment contributors (other faculty involved): Carol Foust

Please describe the 2010-2021 assessment activities and follow-up for your program below. Please complete this form for <u>each undergraduate major, minor, certificate, and graduate program</u> (e.g., B.S., M.S.) in your department. Please copy any addenda (e.g., rubrics) and paste them in this document, save and submit it to both the Dean of your college/school and to the Assistant Provost as an email attachment before June 1, 2022. You'll also find this form on the assessment website at <a href="https://www.csupueblo.edu/assessment-and-student-learning/resources.html">https://www.csupueblo.edu/assessment-and-student-learning/resources.html</a>. Thank you.

### **Brief statement of Program mission and goals:**

**I. Assessment of Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs) in this cycle.** Including processes, results, and recommendations for improved student learning. Use Column H to describe improvements planned for 2019-2021 based on the assessment process.

				1	i		
A. Which of the	B. When	C. What	D. Who was	E. What is	F. What were	G. What were the	H. What changes/improvements
program SLOs	was this	method was	assessed?	the expected	the results of	department's	to the <u>program</u> are planned
were assessed	SLO <u>last</u>	used for	Please fully	proficiency	the	conclusions about	based on this assessment?
during this	reported	assessing the	describe the	level and	assessment?	student	
cycle? Please	on prior to	SLO? Please	student	how many	(Include the	performance?	
include the	this cycle?	include a copy	group(s) and	or what	proportion		
outcome(s)	(semester	of any rubrics	the number	proportion	of students		
verbatim from	and year)	used in the	of students	of students	meeting		
the assessment		assessment	or artifacts	should be at	proficiency.)		
plan.		process.	involved (N).	that level?			
1.Possess	Spring 19	Student	Senior level	The	12 students	Overall the	Perhaps we may want to include
content		internship /	Health	internship/	were	department is	a self-assessment of the content
knowledge and		fieldwork	Sciencestude	field	evaluated by	satisfied with the	knowledge or see how this
skills necessary		evaluations and	nts enrolled	experience	their site	outcomes of the	correlates with their end of
for their		internship site	in field	evaluation	supervisor	assessment but will	program exams.
perspective		supervisor	experience or	has a	on necessary	make	
fields of study;		surveys.	internship.	specific	knowledge	improvements that	
			Assessments	question	and skills.	are detailed in H.	

			from 12	regarding	The average		
			students are	knowledge	score was		
			included.	and skills	4.8/5 and no		
			ilicidaea.	based on a	students		
				5 point	scored		
				scale.	below a 4/5.		
				Students are			
				expected to			
				score at			
				least a 3.5/5.			
				The			
				supervisor			
				survey was			
				based on a			
				scale of 5.			
				Students are			
				expected to			
				score at			
				least a 3.5/5.			
2.Exhibits the	Spring,	Student	Senior level	The	One student	Overall the	Review the curriculum and
ability to read	2019	portfolios and	HS students	internship	was assessed	department is	determine the courses that
and interpret		papers and	enrolled in	portfolios	on the	pleased with the	require written and oral
scientific		Internship Site	field	have a total	portfolio	outcomes. The	communication to assess if we
research with		Supervisor	experience or	of 75 points,	grade was a	scores with the	need to add more training and
application of		Evaluations.	internship.	the papers a	93.3 based	most students who	application in this area.
the scientific			Assessments	total of 70	on the rubric	didn't meet	
methods,			from 12	points. The	attached	expectations were	For the paper our students need
statistics, study			students are	internship	Nine	evaluations for the	to work on format and proper
design and			included.	site	students in	written paper and	referencing as well as thinking
reporting;				supervisors	494 were	portfolio and the	about a plan for evaluating their
				rated the	assessed for	lowest rating from	project ahead of time. Also,
3.Evaluate and				students on	the paper.	the supervisors on	sending in a draft or a peer
integrate				a scale of 5.	Eight	the survey were on	review. APA referencing score
critical				Students are	students	written	were low, some more APA
					scored above	communication.	format training.
concepts and				expected to		Communication.	i ioi iiiat ti alilliig.
skills acquired				score at	a 70% and		

in the HS		least 53/75	anly and	When evaluating	For the portfolio the students
		on the	only one	the different areas	should be reminded in other
program to			student		
common		portfolio and	scored	of the rubric for the	courses to keep strong products
professional		49/70 on the	below a	paper the weakest	of their work to put in the
problems in the		paper.	70%. Three	areas were the	portfolio and to do certification
fields of		Students are	students in	evaluation plan for	and trainings to include in their
interest;		expected to	498 all score	their project and	portfolio.
		score at	above a 80%	the referencing.	
4.Exhibit		least a 3.5/5	The average	The weakest areas	
effective oral		on the	grade for the	in the portfolio	
and written		supervisor	paper in 494	were including	
communication		evaluations.	was 84% for	certification or	
regarding			498 and a	trainings and the	
subjects related		The paper	81%.based	strong examples of	
to HS in an		rubric is	on the rubric	academic work.	
individual and		included –	attached		
group setting;		we will now	Students		
		report our	were		
5.Apply and		scores on	evaluated by		
demonstrate		the paper by	their		
knowledge,		section to	supervisor		
skills and		determine	on written		
critical problem		what areas	communicati		
solving in a		students	on(average		
field-based		may be	of 4.8/5),		
setting.		struggling in.	oral		
			communicati		
			on (4.9/5),		
			and task		
			accomplishm		
			ent (4.8/5).		
			None of the		
			supervisors		
			rated low or		
			below		
			average		
			average	l .	ļ

		ability on	
		any of the	
		outcomes.	

Comments on part I:

**II. Closing the Loop. Describe at least one data-informed change to your curriculum during the 2019-2022 cycle.** These are those that were based on, or implemented to address, the results of assessment from previous cycles.

A. What SLO(s) or other issues did you address in this cycle? Please include the outcome(s) verbatim from the assessment plan.	B. When was this SLO last assessed to generate the data which informed the change? Please indicate the semester and year.	C. What were the recommendations for change from the previous assessment column H and/or feedback?	D. How were the recommendations for change acted upon?	E. What were the results of the changes? If the changes were not effective, what are the next steps or the new recommendations?
Exhibit effective oral and written communication regarding subjects related to EXHPR in an individual and group setting;	Spring 19	This program began Fall 2021. So no assessments the last time their assessments were measured.	This program began Fall 2021. So no assessments the last time their assessments were measured.	This program began Fall 2021. So no assessments the last time their assessments were measured.
Evaluate and integrate critical concepts and skills acquired in the EXHPR program to common	Spring 19	This program began Fall 2021. So no assessments the last time their assessments were measured.	This program began Fall 2021. So no assessments the last time their assessments were measured.	This program began Fall 2021. So no assessments the last time their assessments were measured.

professional		
problems in the		
fields of interest;		

Comments on part II:

	Rubric for final paper, portfoli	o, and select questions from the final evaluation.		
	Student name	Rubric for HS 494 Final Paper		
1.	Provides an overview of the fieldw	ork experience.	15 pts.	
	Fully describes the experience w	ith good detail.	5 points	]
	Mostly describes the experience	with some detail.	3-4 points	
	Somewhat describes the experie	nce with little detail.	2-3 points	
	Does not describe the experience	e well.	1 or less points	-
		10 pts  d detail and examples on mission, services, location, clientele, etc.	9-10 points	
	Mostly describes the site with so	me detail.	7-8 points	
	Somewhat describes the site wit	h little detail.	5-6 points	
	Does not describe the site well o	r covered details asked for.	4 or less points	
3.	you would affect in your behavior	ence or project completed during the fieldwork. Analyze your efforts if you were to do the whole thing over again, explaining how such cl	hanges would affect the	
		perience including efforts, outcomes and changes.	9-10 points	
	Mostly describes the project or e	xperience including efforts, outcomes and changes.	7-8 points	

Somewhat describes the project or experience including efforts, outcomes and changes.	5-6 points
Does not describe the project or experience well.	4 or less points

4. Describe, in detail, a certain situation in which one or more specific principles of health science that were put into practice. To what extent were these principles effective?

15 pts. \_\_\_\_\_\_

Fully describes the situation and exercise science principles with good detail.	5 points
Mostly describes the situation and exercise science principles with some detail.	3-4 points
Somewhat describes situation and exercise science principles with little detail.	2-3 points
Does not describe the situation and exercise science principles well.	1 or less points

5. Detail what you learned from the experience and how you could use that in your future career activities.

15 pts. \_\_\_\_\_

Fully describes what was learned and how the materials will be used in the future.	9-10 points
Mostly describes what was learned and how the materials will be used in the future.	7-8 points
Somewhat describes what was learned and how the materials will be used in the future.	5-6 points
Does not describe what was learned and how the materials will be used in the future.	4 or less points

7. Format, grammar, syntax, spelling, guidelines, writing style, length

Follows all guidelines in syllabus, no spelling or grammatical errors, complex/technical writing.	9-10 points
Follows most guidelines, few spelling or grammatical errors, less complex style.	7-8 points
Follows most guidelines, no references, some spelling or grammatical errors, simple writing style.	5-6 points

Does not follow guidelines, numerous errors, poor writing style, etc.	4 or less points
	·

8. Support the contents of the paper with professional references that detail evidence of why the content, programs or initiatives delivered by this agency are appropriate.

15 pts. \_\_\_\_\_

APA referencing, at least 5 sources cited with the paper and listed at the end in proper format.	9-10 points
APA referencing, at least 3-4 sources cited with the paper and listed at the end in proper format.	7-8 points
APA referencing, at least 1-2 sources cited with the paper and listed at the end in proper format.	5-6 points
Does not follow APA guidelines.	4 or less points

Total \_\_\_\_/ 100 note: points are taken off for late assignments

#### **Contents**

Each student will prepare a three to five page reaction paper detailing the following items:

- a) Describe the organization of the department in which he/she is working. Describe the community/clientele—socio-economic conditions, education level, disease/condition status, and their willingness to receive services. List the mission, goals, ethics or values which the agency/site seeks through their health science related program and indicate a few of the means by which these goals are realized.
- b) Describe in detail an actual experience he/she has had in conducting a health science activity or project. This must follow the experiential learning cycle. Detail each stage of the cycle including the concrete experience, reflective observation, abstract conceptualization (what did you learn) and active experimentation (what did you or would you do differently). Describe any changes that were made during the project and the outcomes of the project. Do a self-assessment of your experience.
- c) Specifically describe, in detail, a certain situation in which one or more specific principles or theory of health sciences were put into practice during the fieldwork. To what extent were these principles/theory effective? Did the theory or principle play out during the project?
- d) How would he/she improve or change the present program under his/her direction based on the experiential learning cycle? What advice would you give your site supervisor for improvement? Submit an organized plan for future improvement of this specified program or activity.
- e) Support the contents of the paper with professional references that detail evidence of why the content, programs or initiatives delivered by this agency are appropriate. APA format must be used see the next section and paper rubric for details.

### **Expectations for written papers**

- \* is neatly typed in a double space format with 12 point font and 1 inch margins most papers are 3-6 pages in length
- \* contains no errors in grammar or spelling this paper will be used in departmental assessment to demonstrate your writing ability
- \* follows APA format for typing and referencing and organization see the example on blackboard
- \* uses proper internal documentation e.g. Smith, J. (2012). New Nutrition Policy Guidelines, Journal of Nutrition, 13(2), 245-280.
- \* has specified references listed at the back that were cited in the paper and listed with APA format <a href="www.apastyle.org">www.apastyle.org</a>
- \* is adequately researched and organized with at least 5 peer reviewed current sources
- \* provides information in a logical sequence in correct format (see example on blackboard and the APA website)
- \* Plagiarism must be strictly avoided. This includes plagiarism of published and unpublished sources. All work turned in must represent you own work, both in research and content.
- \* academic dishonesty will NOT be tolerated.

Rubric	for	HS	498	Final	Paper

1. List the goals, ethics, or values which the agency seeks through their health science program and indicate a few of the means by which these goals are realized.

5 pts. \_\_\_\_\_

Fully describes the topic, provides examples, APA referencing, no spelling or grammatical errors.	5 points
Mostly describes the topic, some examples, APA referencing, few spelling or grammatical errors.	3-4 points
Somewhat describes the topic, no references, some spelling or grammatical errors.	2-3 points
Does not follow guidelines, numerous errors, etc.	1 or less points

2. Describe the organization of the agency or department in which he/she is working. Supplement this description with an organizational chart. What processes, if any, exists in the community/facility to further cooperation among various agencies/departments interested in health science? What part does the department play in these cooperative efforts? Describe the community/clientele—socio-economic conditions, education level, and general attitude toward receiving services .

10 pts. \_\_\_\_\_

Fully describes the topic, provides examples, APA referencing, no spelling or grammatical errors.	9-10 points
Mostly describes the topic, some examples, APA referencing, few spelling or grammatical errors.	7-8 points
Somewhat describes the topic, no references, some spelling or grammatical errors.	5-6 points
Does not follow guidelines, numerous errors, etc.	4 or less points

3. Describe in detail an actual experience he/she has had in conducting a health science activity or project. Analyze his/her efforts and indicate what changes he/she would effect in his/her behavior if he/she were to do the whole thing over again, explaining how such changes would affect the activity.

Fully describes the topic, provides examples, APA referencing, no spelling or grammatical errors.	9-10 points
Mostly describes the topic, some examples, APA referencing, few spelling or grammatical errors.	7-8 points

Somewhat describes the topic, no references, some spelling or grammatical errors.	5-6 points
Does not follow guidelines, numerous errors, etc.	4 or less points

4. Describe, in detail, a certain situation in which one or more specific principles of health science were put into practice. To what extent were these principles effective?

10 pts.

Fully describes the topic, provides examples, APA referencing, no spelling or grammatical errors.	9-10 points
Mostly describes the topic, some examples, APA referencing, few spelling or grammatical errors.	7-8 points
Somewhat describes the topic, no references, some spelling or grammatical errors.	5-6 points
Does not follow guidelines, numerous errors, etc.	4 or less points

5. How would he/she improve the present program under his/her direction? Submit an organized plan for future improvement of this specified program or department.

5 pts. \_\_\_\_\_

Fully describes the topic, provides examples, APA referencing, no spelling or grammatical errors.	5 points
Mostly describes the topic, some examples, APA referencing, few spelling or grammatical errors.	3-4 points
Somewhat describes the topic, no references, some spelling or grammatical errors.	2-3 points
Does not follow guidelines, numerous errors, etc.	1 or less points

6. What efforts are being made by the department to evaluate various aspects of its work?

Fully describes the topic, provides examples, APA referencing, no spelling or grammatical errors.	5 points
Mostly describes the topic, some examples, APA referencing, few spelling or grammatical errors.	3-4 points

Somewhat describes the topic, no references, some spelling or grammatical errors.	2-3 points
Does not follow guidelines, numerous errors, etc.	1 or less points
7. Format, grammar, syntax, spelling, writing style adheres to the 4-8 page limit.	15 pts
Follows all guidelines in syllabus, no spelling or grammatical errors, complex/technical writing.	14-15 points
Follows most guidelines, APA referencing, few spelling or grammatical errors, less complex style.	12-13 points
Follows most guidelines, no references, some spelling or grammatical errors, simple writing style.	10-11 points
Does not follow guidelines, numerous errors, poor writing style, etc.	9 or less points

8. Support the contents of the paper with professional references that detail evidence of why the content, programs or initiatives delivered by this agency are appropriate.

10 pts. \_\_\_\_\_\_

Follows all guidelines in syllabus, APA referencing, no spelling or grammatical errors at least 8 sources.	9-10 points
Follows most guidelines, APA referencing, few spelling or grammatical errors.	7-8 points
Follows most guidelines, no references, some spelling or grammatical errors.	5-6 points
Does not follow guidelines, numerous errors, etc.	4 or less points

Total \_\_\_\_ / 70 note: points are taken off for late assignments

note: points are taken off for late assignments

### **Rubric for HS 498 Portfolio**

1. Cover Letter and Resume 10 pts. \_\_\_\_\_

Cover letter and resume are well written detailing experience, preparation and certifications with	9-10 points
proper format and possess no spelling or grammatical errors.	5-10 points
proper formational possess no spermig or grammatical errors.	
Mostly well written with detail and few spelling or grammatical errors.	7-8 points
Somewhat describes experience and preparation with some spelling or grammatical errors.	5-6 points
Does not follow guidelines, numerous errors, etc.	4 or less points
opy of Transcripts is present	5 pts.
Student's current transcripts are included.	5 points
Student's transcripts are included but are not current.	3 points
Students transcripts are not included	0 points
ecommendation letter/s	10 pts.
Recommendation letters are present from site supervisor and other professionals – at least 2.	9-10 points
Recommendation letters are present from site supervisor and other professionals – at least 1.	7-8 points
Recommendation letters are not included	0 points
areer vision, mission, goal and philosophy	15 pts.
Career vision, mission, goal and philosophy are creative and well written detailing each aspect in a	13-15 points
minimum of two pages and possess no spelling or grammatical errors.	
Mostly well written with detail and few spelling or grammatical errors.	10-12 points
,	

2.

3.

4.

Somewhat describes vision, mission, goal and philosophy with some spelling or grammatical errors.	7-9 points
Does not follow guidelines, numerous errors, etc.	6 or less points

## 5. Self-evaluation of proficiency

5 pts. \_\_\_\_\_

Fully describes strengths and challenge areas, provides examples, with no spelling or grammatical errors.	5 points
Mostly describes strengths and challenges, some examples, few spelling or grammatical errors.	3-4 points
Somewhat describes the topic, some spelling or grammatical errors.	2 points
Does not follow guidelines, numerous errors, etc.	1 or less points

## 6. Samples of well-prepared classroom and practical work

10 pts. \_\_\_\_\_

At least 4 examples of well-prepared classroom and practical work are included.	9-10 points
At least 3 examples of well-prepared classroom and practical work are included.	7-8 points
At least 2 examples of well-prepared classroom and practical work are included.	5-6 points
At least 1 example of well-prepared classroom and practical work are included.	3-4 points
Sample works are not included	0 points

# 7. Signed internship hours

400 hours of work is present on proper formatted form and signed by site supervisor	10 points
Less than 400 hours, not on proper form or lacking site supervisor signature	0 points

Credentials / certifications	10 pts	<del></del>
Proof of at least 3 credentials or certifications are included.	9-10 points	
Proof of at least 2 credentials or certifications are included.	7-8 points	
Proof of at least 1 credential or certification is included.	5-6 points	
No certifications or credentials are included.	0 points	
Total / 75 note: points are taken off for late assignments		
Select questions from the final evaluation.		
PROFESSIONAL PROFICIENCIES EVALUATION		
Knowledge and Skills Performed  He/she displayed knowledge of program skills, techniques and/or activities.  Comments:		()
He/she planned activities well in advance; he/she was well prepared. Comments:		()
Written Communication Reports  He/she conveyed ideas clearly in an organized, articulate and timely fashion Comments:	ı.	()
Oral Communication  He/she was an effective speaker and expressed ideas clearly.  Comments:		()
Task Accomplishment  He/she completed tasks effectively and within deadlines; pursued difficult t	asks to completion.	( )

8.

Comments: