

plorado Academic Program Assessment Report for AY 2021-2022

Date report completed:	lune 10, 2022	

Program: M.S. in Mechatronics Engineering

Completed by:	Nebojsa Jaksic
compicted by.	recouls a saksic

(Due: June 1, 2022)

Assessment contributors (other faculty involved): Bahaa Ansaf, Trung Duong, and Leonardo Bedoya-Valencia

Please describe the 2021-2022 assessment activities and follow-up for your program below. Please complete this form for <u>each undergraduate major</u>, <u>minor</u>, <u>certificate</u>, <u>and graduate program</u> (e.g., B.A., B.S., B.A.S, M.S.) in your department. Please copy any addenda (e.g., rubrics) and paste them in this document, save and submit it to both the Dean of your college/school and to the Executive Director for Assessment as an email attachment by June 1, 2022. You'll also find this form on the assessment website at https://www.csupueblo.edu/assessment-and-student-learning/resources.html. Thank you.

Brief statement of Program mission and goals:

I. Assessment of Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs) in this cycle. Including processes, results, and recommendations for improved student learning. Use Column H to describe improvements planned for 2019-2020 based on the assessment process.

				1	1	1	
A. Which of the	B. When	C. What	D. Who was	E. What is	F. What were	G. What were	H. What changes/improvements
program SLOs	was this	method was	assessed?	the	the results of	the	to the <u>program</u> are planned
were assessed	SLO <u>last</u>	used for	Please fully	expected	the	department's	based on this assessment?
during this	reported	assessing the	describe the	proficiency	assessment?	conclusions	
cycle? Please	on prior	SLO? Please	student	level and	(Include the	about student	
include the	to this	include a copy	group(s) and	how many	proportion of	performance?	
outcome(s)	cycle?	of any rubrics	the number	or what	students		
verbatim from	(semester	used in the	of students	proportion	meeting		
the assessment	and year)	assessment	or artifacts	of students	proficiency.)		
plan.		process.	involved (N).	should be at			
				that level?			
Analyze and/or	Spring	Methods: EN	Three MSME	At least 80%	All students	The students'	Changes for remote/face-to-
design a	2021	563 Final	second year	of the	(100%) were	performance	face delivery mode were
mechatronic		Course Exam	graduate	students	able to analyze	was excellent.	implemented well. However,
system		and/or Project	students who	should meet	and/or design a	However, the	face-to-face will be emphasized
		Reports	were	or exceed	mechatronic	sample size (3)	with addition of some lab
			enrolled in	expectations	system.	was too small	simulations performed at home.
			Spring 2022		Students'	for a valid	There are still some

Apply advanced engineering principles in the design and analysis of a system or process to meet specified needs	Spring 2021	Rubrics: Design Strategy, Solutions, and Tools Methods: EN 561 Final and/or Homework, EN 513 Homework/ Mini-Projects, and Final Project Rubrics: Design Strategy and Constraints	In EN 561 there were three students enrolled in Fall 2021. EN 513 had three MSME student in Spring 2022.	At least 80% of the students should meet or exceed expectations	designs demonstrated correct design strategies (Final), solutions (Final), and the use of computer tools like MATLAB (Homework Assignments). An exit interview was administered to one student who was graduating. As in the previos year, all students in EN 561 were able to apply correct state-space design strategy under given constraints. They were able to demonstrate their knowledge when solving complicated	statistical analysis. This will most likely continue even though we are expecting more 3+2 students next year. All MSME students (100%) in EN 561 and EN 513 performed well. However, again, no firm conclusions could be reached due to the small sample size.	technological challenges that have to be addressed for implementation of home/incloud labs. Due to the Tech building renovations, the state of the EN 513 labs (Virtual Reality) is somewhat uncertain. In case that an appropriate lab becomes unavailable, a different (free for students) programming environment will be used.
					•	'	

Communicate effectively in writing and orally.	Spring 2021	Methods: : EN 593: Written and oral presentations EN 507: Project report evaluation EN 563: Review paper evaluation Rubrics: Written: Articulation, organization, neatness, grammar and spelling, writing style, document formatting, and	Two MSME first-year graduate students who were enrolled in EN 593 (Fall 2021) Three MSME graduate students who were enrolled in EN 507 (Fall 2021) Three MSME graduate students who were enrolled in en sudents who were enrolled in enrolled in were enrolled in	At least 80% of the students should meet or exceed expectations	All students in EN513 were capaple of applying appropriate modern Al/ML methods, tools and technologies to solve engineering problems, analyze data, and interpret restults. The students in EN 507 wrote a project report. They all (100%) exceeded the expectation for this SLO. The students in EN 563 wrote a review paper on a robotics topic. They all (100%) met the expectation for this SLO.	All MSME students met or exceeded expectations for this SLO.	In EN 563, a project report will be required again if the robotics lab becomes available. Otherwise, a review paper will be required and the hands-on robotics labs/projects will be replaced by a simulated robotic labs requiring a lab report.
--	----------------	--	---	---	--	--	---

proper	EN 563		
referencing of	(Spring 2022)		
the sources.			
Oral: Delivery,			
length and			
detail,			
mechanics,			
dialect, visual			
aides,			
appearance,			
and listening			
and response			
to questions			

Comments on part I:

II. Closing the Loop. Describe at least one data-informed change to your curriculum during the 2021-2022 cycle. These are those that were based on, or implemented to address, the results of assessment from previous cycles.

A. What SLO(s)	B. When was this	C. What were the	D. How were the	E. What were the results of the changes? If
or other issues	SLO last assessed	recommendations for change	recommendations for	the changes were not effective, what are the
did you address	to generate the	from the previous assessment	change acted upon?	next steps or the new recommendations?
in this cycle?	data which	column H and/or feedback?		
Please include	informed the			
the outcome(s)	change?			
verbatim from	Please indicate			
the assessment	the semester and			
plan.	year.			
Analyze and/or	Spring 2020		N/A	N/A
design a		There were no		
mechatronic		recommendations for change.		
system				

Apply advanced engineering principles in the design and analysis of a system or process to meet specified needs	Spring 2020	For EN513, a synchronized online teaching method was used for Spring 21, and it was successful. We can continue offering this class using remote learning pedagogy and techniques.	A synchronous and face-to- face methods of content delivery were implemented.	This change was largely ineffective du to the computer technology inadequacy as well as different pedagogical methods required. Thus, this mixed method (synchronous and f2f) will be abandoned.
Communicate effectively in writing and orally	Spring 2020	In EN 563, students did not meet the expectations for this SLO. Thus, in addition to a review paper, a short project report will be required to strengthen this SLO. A set of instructions on writing review papers will be distributed to the students. For EN 593, the instructor will keep on encouraging students to work and use proper referencing in their academics reports including research papers and thesis. Additionally, students will be encouraged to keep using the Writing Center for editing their work. The remote delivery mode based on Community of Inquiry was planned and implemented. This can become a permanent change if EN 563 is to be offered remotely.	In EN 563, in addition to a review paper a short project/homework report was required. A set of instructions on writing review papers was distributed and discussed in class. Due to the changes in course classification and University policies on remote offering of courses, the remote delivery method was not implemented this year for EN 563.	The addition of another report and a discussion on the report writing helped with this SLO, where all students in EN 563 met or exceeded expectations.

Comments on part II: