eport Completed By: set Report Completed By: set Report Completed: aculty members involved in this seessment:	Report Criminology Susan Calhoun-Stuber 6/2022 Aaron Johnson, Sarah Messer, Heidi Reynolds-St		assessment plan here: Program prior assessment report here:		ssment-and-student-learning/_doc/	2020/assessment-plans/crimine	plogy-assessment-plan-may-2020	.pdf	
eport Completed By: S ate Report Completed: culty members involved in this sessment: I	Susan Calhoun-Stuber 6/2022		•••						
ate Report Completed: aculty members involved in this ssessment:	6/2022			e: https://www.csupueblo.edu/assessment-and-student-learning/_doc/2020/report/criminology-ba-bs-assessment-report-2020.pdf					
ate Report Completed: aculty members involved in this ssessment:	6/2022								
aculty members involved in this ssessment:	· · ·								
ssessment:	Aaron Johnson, Sarah Messer, Heidi Reynolds-Si								
	Messer	tenson, Colleen Hackett, Chris							
raduate program in your department.) P ne Dean of your college/school and to th	ttivities and follow-up for your program below Please also submit any addenda such as rubric ne Executive Director for Assessment as well a	cs which are not available in as faculty peer reviewers.	your assessment plan. The re	ports will be available to					
rief Statement of Program Mission j nd Goals:	vy and research to foster a compre- tively about what does and doesn' rime and delinquency, and on the o justice agencies. Students pursuin velop a strong foundation to work a dege, theoretically informed practice rough courses, including our field minology majors interested in caree ge enabling them to become transf	t work in current crime control origin, nature, and consequences g careers in traditional criminal and effect social change in these e, critical analysis and ethical experience class with placements ars in legal advocacy, victim							
r	The criminology curriculum emphasizes the importance of research-based knowledge, theoretically informed practice, critical analysis and ethical decision- making. Students are strongly encouraged to engage in experiential learning through courses, including our field experience class with placements in criminal justice, juvenile justice, victim services, and community-based agencies.								
-	itcomes (SLOs) in this cycle. Including pro ent learning. Use Column H to describe in ocess.								
	B. When was this SLO last reported on prior to this cycle? (semester and year)	C. What method was used for assessing the SLO? Please include a copy of any rubrics used in the assessment process.	D. Who was assessed? Please fully describe the student group(s) and the number of students or artifacts involved (N).		F. What were the results of the assessment? (Include the proportion of students meeting proficiency.)	G. What were the department's conclusions about student performance?	H. What changes/improvements to the program are planned based on this assessment?		
A comprehension of and the ability to itically assess and compare the major iminological theoretical perspectives.								1	
arious research methodologies in the field of 2 iminology. t c	The Criminology major was first offered in AY 2018- 2019. This is the first year we've assessed SLO 2 with reported data covering a two year period. The timing was intentional to allow students to allow a cohort of students to complete the major, including the revised foundational methods class, that was changed from an upper level class to a lower level (sophomore) class.	After reading an assigned published criminology research article students responded to a series of 8 open-ended questions about the methods and data analysis employed in the reported research. [Scoring rubric is posted in this folder]	Criminology majors in their senior year were asked to complete the assessment. Nine students completed the assessement.	75% of students will demonstrate proficiency with an average score of 3 or higher.	6 (66.7%) of the 9 students received an average score of 3 or higher, demonstrating proficiency on SLO 2.	Student performance fell below department expectations, with questions 4-7 receiving the lowest scores across students:	In addition to examining how these topics are introduced in the required methods course, dept. faculty will review program curricula to determine how all areas addressed by SLO 2 are reinforced and what course assessments are designed to support student in mastering SLO 2.		
An ability to apply criminological theories nd methods to substantive issues and in rder to understand social problems and form crime-related social policy									
An ability to engage in critical thinking about arious aspects of social life and organization, cluding crime and criminal justice institutions.									
omments on part I:									
	one data-informed change to your curric								

	B. When was this SLO last assessed to generate the data which informed the change? Please indicate the semester and year.	C. What were the recommendations for change from the previous assessment column H and/or feedback?	D. How were the recommendations for change acted upon?	E. What were the results of the changes? If the changes were not effective, what are the next steps or the new recommendations?		
SLO 4 An ability to engage in critical thinking about various aspects of social life and organization, including crime and criminal justice institutions.	Spring 2020	1. We will review the assignments used for assessment to improve consistencity across courses. 2. We will consider collecting artifacts druing both fall and spring semester in order to increase the percentage of majors assessed. 3. We will revisit the rubric selected for this year's review since it is the first time it was used. 4. We will examine course content and the assignments across program curriculum to evaluate how effectively we provide opportunities for students to develop, reinforce, and master critical thinking skills as they progress through program. This includes attention to the students' ability to demonstrate these skills in written work.	The criminology program review is scheduled for AY 2022-2023 during which time the recommendations in item C will be part of our curriculum and assessment review procedures.	SLO 4 was not assessed this year.		
Comments on part II:						

## Sociology and Criminology SLO 2 Assessment 2021-2022

## **SOCIOLOGY Program SLO 2:**

Apply a variety of quantitative and qualitative research methods in the gathering and analysis of sociological data, and recognize common methodologies used in sociological literature.

## **CRIMINOLOGY Program SLO 2:**

An ability to understand, interpret, and apply various research methodologies in the field of criminology.

Ca que ach crir res be	er reading <i>Changing Police Officers' Attitudes in Sexual Offense</i> ses by Tidmarsh, Hamilton, and Sharman, respond to the estions below. Your primary objective is to demonstrate your nievement of Criminoogy SLO 2 by accurately using relevant ninological terms, phrases, concepts, theories, and facts to pond to the questions below. Additionally, your responses should well-organized in a logical and "intuitively" easy-to-follow manner, d adhere to the conventions of Standard Written English.	<u>0</u>	1	2	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
1.	What is the article's research question? Please respond in 150 words or less.					
2.	What method of data collection did the authors use? Please respond in 150 words or less.					
3.	What are some advantages or disadvantages to using this method? Please respond in 150 words or more.					
4.	Why is this an appropriate method for investigating this question? Please respond in 150 words or more.					
5.	Discuss another data collection method that could be used to improve this research. Explain your reasoning in 150 words or more.					
6.	Who/what is included in the sample? That is, what is the unit(s) of analysis? Please respond in 150 words or less.					
7.	How do the author(s) measure the key concepts? Please respond in 150 words or more.					
8.	What are the findings? Please respond in 150 words or more.					

**4 points =** The student has expressed an extensive understanding of the concept. The student answered the question correctly and fulfilled all the requirements. The response is clear, concise, detailed, and indicative of a well-developed understanding of the topic.

**3 points =** The student provided an answer that is accurate and fulfills all the requirements, but the response was not detailed or well-developed.

**2 points =** Response shows a partial understanding of the concept. The student response is general and incomplete, and important components of the correct response are missing.

**1 point =** The student response is limited and/or incomplete. May be incorrect or the student only answered fragments of the question posed.

**0** points = No response is given, or the response is inaccurate.