Report Completed By: Date Report Completed: Faculty members involved in this Assessment: Please describe this year's assessment a certificate, and graduate program in you The reports will be available to the Dear	ur department.) Please also sul	r program below. (Separate s bmit any addenda such as rul	brics which are not available i	https://www.csupueblo.edu/asser major, stand-alone minor, in your assessment plan.	asment-and-student-learning/_doc/			<u>pdf</u>
Brief Statement of Program Mission and Goals:								
I. Assessment of Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs) in this cycle. Including processes, results, and recommendations for improved student learning. Use Column H to describe improvements planned for the year based on the assessment process.								
A. Your program SLOs are pasted here verbatim from your assessment plan. Please enter info in columns B-H only for those assessed during this annual cycle.	cycle? (semester and year)	C. What method was used for assessing the SLO? Please include a copy of any rubrics used in the assessment process.	D. Who was assessed? Please fully describe the student group(s) and the number of students or artifacts involved (N).	E. What is the expected proficiency level and how many or what proportion of students should be at that level?	F. What were the results of the assessment? (Include the proportion of students meeting proficiency.)	G. What were the department's conclusions about student performance?	H. What changes/improvements to the program are planned based on this assessment?	
1. Demonstrate Ethical and Professional Behavior	2019-2020 AY	None: used SWEAP in the past.	All students graduating Spring 2020, Summer 2020, and Fall 2020	80% will score 50% or higher	Due to the worldwide pandemic, we were unable to assess students using the SWEAP instrument. We were exempt from program assessment from the Council on Social Work Education due to the pandemic, as well.	of a capstone project due to students still not understanding how to conduct research to the Department's standards. There are some students who are able to do so, but	We created our own assessment evaluation in Fall 2020, to be implemented in May 2021 for BSW students. The results will be reported on next year's assessment form. We hope the change of SW499 will result in higher scores on the research portion (Competency 4) and the evaluation (Competency 9) of our SLOs.	
2. Engage Diversity and Difference in Practice						the majority of students still do not understand how to conduct an appropriate single-subject design		
3. Advance Human Rights and Social, Economic, and Environmental Justice						research project, nor how to report the results appropriately. Our theme for the Department for the 2019- 2020 AY was the three		
4. Engage in Practice-informed Research and Research-informed Practice						pillars of social work (research, practice, and ethics) and how they are interrelated. Papers and		
5. Engage in Policy Practice						classroom discussions addressed the nine competencies and how they are applied in multiple practice settings.		
6. Engage with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities								
 Assess Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities 								

8. Intervene with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities								
organizations, and communities								
9. Evaluate Practice with Individuals, Families,								
Groups, Organizations, and Communities								
All 9 SLOs, above	2019-2020 AY	SW489 Field Instrctor Evaluation N=37	All senior students enrolled in SW489 during Spring 2020	80% will meet or exceed compentices	While our accrediting body exempted programs from assessment, we did obtain data from the final field evaluation due to having electronic versions of the forms for all field instructors. Scores for the particular SLOS (1=does not meet expectations; 3=meets expectations; 1.2.70 2.2.76 3.2.70 4.2.68 5.2.67 6.2.78 7.2.73 8.2.70 9.2.51 97.3% of students scored meets or exceeds expectations Comparability for site and modality: Colorado Springs: 1.2.79 5.2.64 7.2.70 5.2.64 6.2.70 5.2.70 5.2.64 7.2.70 5.2.64 7.2.70 5.2.61 5.2.62 5.2.70 5.2.64 7.2.65 5.2.70 5.2.65	Student performance increased compared to last year, when we had 91% of students meet or exceed the competencies, and we had no missing paperwork this year. It appears our switch to Tevera has resulted in 100% compliance with evaluation paperwork.	advisors to complete the advisor approval form electronically. This has been	
					9. 2.43			
Comments on part I:								
II. Closing the Loop. Describe at least one data-informed change to your curriculum								
during the year cycle. These are those that were based on, or implemented to address,								
	the results of assessment from previous cycles.							
the results of assessment from prev	1045 0701051							
A. What SLO(s) or other issues did you address in this cycle? Please include SLOs verbatim from the	B. When was this SLO last assessed to generate the data which informed the	recommendations for change from the	D. How were the recommendations for change acted upon?	E. What were the results of the changes? If the changes were not				
assessment plan, as above.	change?	previous assessment		effective, what are the				
	Please indicate the	column H and/or		next steps or the new				
	semester and year.	feedback?		recommendations?				
					4			

	Will assess this change with Spring 2021 and Spring 2022 assessments.	conduct 2 field visits at the agency each semester) agreed that students were weak in agency and community intervention during Field I. They recommended, and the faculty discussed and approved, moving the SW324 to the Fall semester of junior year. We	concurrently, but anticipate that by Fall 2022, all students will be taking SW324 in their junior year. We moved SW499 to the Fall semester and immediately advised students into the course for Fall 2021.	We will not be able to assess the results until Spring 2021. This assessment takes place in the last week of classes and will be reported in next year's assessment report.		
We received confirmation from CSWE at our reaffirmation visit that they preferred an assessment tool created by the program itself rather than a standardized assessment tool that can be compared on a national level (which is whatcwe were using).	Spring 2021	tool in Fall 2020, for use in	to implement the assessment tool in a remote environment, but the Chair was able to create	The assessment tool was utilized during Finals week, and we will report out on the results in next year's assessment report.		
Comments on part II:						