## olorado Academic Program Assessment Report for AY 2018-2019

Program:\_\_\_Construction Management\_\_\_\_\_

(Due: June 1, 2019)

Date report completed: \_\_\_\_\_May 31, 2019\_\_\_

Completed by:\_\_\_\_\_Michael MIncic\_\_\_\_\_

Assessment contributors (other faculty involved): \_\_\_\_\_\_Md Islam \_\_\_\_\_

Please describe the 2019-20 assessment activities and follow-up for your program below. Please complete this form for <u>each undergraduate major</u>, <u>minor</u>, <u>certificate</u>, <u>and graduate program</u> (e.g., B.A., B.S., M.S.) in your department. Please copy any addenda (e.g., rubrics) and paste them in this document, save and submit it to both the Dean of your college/school and to the Assistant Provost as an email attachment before June 1, 2018. You'll also find this form on the assessment website at <u>https://www.csupueblo.edu/assessment-and-student-learning/resources.html</u>. Thank you.

**I. Assessment of Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs) in this cycle.** Including processes, results, and recommendations for improved student learning. Use Column H to describe improvements planned for 2018-2019 based on the assessment process.

A. Which of the	B. When	C. What	D. Who was	E. What is	F. What	G. What were the	H. What changes/improvements
program SLOs	was this	method was	assessed?	the	were the	department's	to the <u>program</u> are planned
were assessed	SLO <u>last</u>	used for	Please fully	expected	results of the	conclusions about	based on this assessment?
during this	assessed?	assessing the	describe the	achievement	assessment?	student	
cycle? Please	(semester	SLO? Please	student	level and	Include the	performance?	
include the	and year)	include a copy	group(s) and	how many	proportion		
outcome(s)		of any rubrics	the number	or what	of students		
verbatim from		used in the	of students	proportion	meeting		
the assessment		assessment	or artifacts	of students	proficiency.		
plan.		process.	involved.	should be at			
				that level?			
Outcome #2	AY	As part of the	CM 475-	-75% will	- 90%	The CM 475 is a	While the CM curricula contains
Select and	2016/17	senior project	Senior	attain 75%	attained	one semester	strong elements of engineering
apply the		student team is	Project		75%	course in which the	design the most used
knowledge of		required to				students as a team	computational analysis is
mathematics,		interview and				are required to	completed in forecasting project
science and		clients				identify their	costs. Perhaps one of the desgn
technology to		expectations for				capstone project's;	courses should be used in future
construction		project				scope of work,	assessments. The current
problems.		deliverables. The				deliverables,	assessment of student industry

Created by IEC Jan 2011, Revised Oct 2011, Revised July 2012, Revised Apr 2016, Revised Sept 2017

			L		
	team will apply the			details, required	preparedness is obvious and
	and science to			data collection and	strong in the information
	meet the project			communications	assessed. No Changes should be
	needs.			with the client. This	made. The strong and rigorous
				assessment looked	process should continue.
				at 4 senior projects	
				for the use of	
				mathematics	
				within the project.	
				All projects	
				contained a strong	
				strong amount of	
				mathematics in	
				determining	
				construction	
				related costs. All of	
				the projects were	
				preconstruction	
				planning and	
				estimating.	
				Mathematical	
				activities maninly	
				focused around	
				determining	
				quantity of	
				materials or	
				services from	
				construction docs	
				or in field	
				determination.	
				Computation of	
				projected costs	
				were presented in	
				the final report	
				documents.	

Outcome 6-	AY		CM 461-	75% will	100%	CM 461 is a one	The findings of student learning
Demonstrate	2016/17		Construction	attain 75%	attained 75%	semester course in	in the area of ethical and
an		Throughout the	Law			which the students	professional responsibilities was
understanding		semeseter the	Students		Class	are required to	extremely obvious since the
of professional		students are			average =	prepare the senior	subject watter really focused
and ethical		introduced to 8			84.84%	level student with	specifically on legal opinion.
responsibilities.		of instruction				information of the	This course is mianly taught by
		related to the Laws				legal aspects of the	adjunct instructors who have
		effecting the				construction	had years of legal experience.
		industry. Students				profession at the	While the instructors do an
		are given written				management level.	excellent job in delivery of the
		exams, critical				Since this course	subject material and knowledge
		group projects.				uses a series of	of the industry need the
		discussion				modules each	instructor's could be given some
		excercises to				module contains a	trainging in the use of
		ensure the subject				specific critical	educational tools such case
		student				discussion topic. In	study evaluation and
		understanding of				this this	preparation of better defined
		ethical issues are				assessement the	assessment rubrics.
		prevalent in the				scores of one	
		course and the				individual projects	
		following scores				were compared to	
		the course as a				the percentage of	
		whole. The				the entire semester	
		example represents				and then compared	
		one part.				to the standard	
						perscribed by the	
						program	

Comments on part I:

As both of the SLOs assessed during the 2019-20 cycle exceed the expected achievement level, we will discuss the feasibility of raising the 'expected achievement level' in the upcoming faculty meeting. The ability to secure a new full-time professor in the Construction Management has presented the new revitalized look at student industry necessary skills. The faculty conversations have obviously been elevated and after analysis of the data obtained from this assessment cycle a revised look at the outcomes will be forth coming.

**II. Closing the Loop. Describe at least one data-informed change to your curriculum during the 2017-2018 cycle.** These are those that were based on, or implemented to address, the results of assessment from previous cycles.

A. What SLO(s) did you address? Please include the outcome(s) verbatim from the assessment plan.	B. When was this SLO last assessed to generate the data which informed the change? Please indicate the semester and year.	C. What were the recommendations for change from the previous assessment?	D. How were the recommendations for change acted upon?	E. What were the results of the changes? If the changes were not effective, what are the next steps or the new recommendations?
Outcome 5- Communicate effectively regarding subjects related to construction activities	AY 2018/19	Investigate the timing of the course as well as the possibility of offering a recourse such as a senior seminar course.	The CM faculty has begun introducing presentation excercises within numerous classes including a stronger emphasis in the senior project. The faculty are also working with the competition teams from the Associated Schools of Construction to develop a presentation that will be used in all classes.	Most instructors reported an obvious increase in presentation skills and comfort. The directed effort to develop an ability to communicate findings without hesitation. Building confindence early on in lower level classes seems to be making a positive impact.

## Comments on part II:

The Construction Management Program during this academic cycle worked to solidify instructors within the program. The Spring semester sponsored two faculty searches. At the time of this report a full time tenure track assistant professor is being offered a position while an visiting assistant position is also being considered. Solidifciation of the faculty will help in the consistency of the future analysis student learning outcomes and program learning objectives. Additionally the department chair surveyed the adjunct faculty of their knowledge of the course specific student learning outcomes. While this assessment is still ongoing 100% of the adjunct faculty report to understand and met the instruction of the outcome objectives during the past semester. Of the reporting faculty 50% report to have exceeded the instructional requirements of the student learning outcomes.

## Construction Management Assessment Report- Examples

Academic Year 19/20

Course: CM 475- Senior Project- Spring 2020

Professor: Mincic

Specific Assessment- SLO- Outcome #2

### Select and apply the knowledge of mathematics, science and technology to construction problems.

Assessment findings:

The CM 475 is a one semester course in which the students as a team are required to identify their capstone project's; scope of work, deliverables, details, required data collection and communications with the client. This assessment looked at 4 senior projects for the use of mathematics within the project. All projects contained a strong amount of mathematics in determining construction related costs. All of the projects were preconstruction planning and estimating. Mathematical activities mainly focused around determining quantity of materials or services from construction docs or in field determination. Computation of projected costs were presented in the final report documents.

## Assessment Samples:

90% of 100% of the students completed the final projects within the targeted standard (75% or better), which included an instructional series on the concept of a sustainable environment for the civil engineering profession, professionals and end users. The final project includes research and analysis ending with the preparation and presentation of a self-chosen construction-related project. The student project and presentations are assessed using the standard CET/CM project and presentation rubric.

The following is a snip from one of the projects demonstrating computational analysis:

The following 4 slides are directly from one team's analysis of the project prior to determining projected construction costs.



# ART/MUSIC BUILDING

Colorado State University - Pueblo

- Art/Music Hall was constructed in the early 1970's
- Currently the third worst building on the CSU-Pueblo campus



## POTENTIAL PROBLEMS

- The test bores revealed that there was mixture of lean sandy clay and clayey sand.
- It is known that this region of southern Colorado contains lots of clay and clayey soils, specifically bentonite clay which is a very expansive material.
- · In other words, there is a very high swell potential in this soil.
- There was water found in some of the test bores, so temporary casing and or dewatering may need to take place as piers are drilled.

## PROPOSED SOLUTIONS (1/2)

- first recommendation is that the minimum deadload should be at least 20,000psf.
- If the strength requirements cannot be reached, you should extend the length of the pier well into the bedrock.
- In fact, the piers should have a minimum penetration of 8 feet into the bedrock and an overall pier length of 25 feet.





# PROPOSED SOLUTIONS (2/2)

- The piers should be reinforced their entire length to withstand swelling pressures of at least 90 kips.
- Because of the possibility of water tampering with pier construction, concrete should be poured as soon as the piers themselves are drilled out

This slide is directly from the report defining cost analysis:

#### Clear As Mud Construction CSU-Pueblo Art/Music Addition & Improvements

Audio Visual: The black box is to contain upgraded audio visual equipment including, but not limited to: wireless projectors, speakers, telecommunication, and controls for said devices.

Emergency Systems: The fire alarm system is to tie into the main fire system at Hoag Hall, however shall annunciate independently.

Utility System: Utility systems are to be serviced by Pueblo Water and Black Hills Energy, respectively. The systems shall be upgraded as the design team sees fit to meet the needs of the updated facility. Energy savings and LEED certification shall be considered as the design of intent of the facility.

Theater Support Space: While the black box is the center piece of the structure, no facility is complete without accommodations for maintenance, storage, and other back-of-house operations. The structure shall incorporate floor space for storage of instruments, (foldable) bleacher seating, as well as floor space to house typical building MEP services.

HVAC: The Black Box shall include a hydronic piped mechanical system serviced by the schools on-campus central plant. All controls and automation equipment shall be installed in the building but maintain controllability from the school's facility's office. All air handlers, chillers, and other cooling equipment shall be installed on the rooftop so as not to be viewed in plain site at ground level. Mechanical design shall also include specifications for sound reduction as the facility is used for music production and studying.

#### 8.4 Cost Estimate

This project incorporates new space on the east side of Hoag Hall on the Colorado State University – Pueblo campus as well as renovations to the existing building. Current costs per square foot to build new academic space are currently between \$425 and \$450 according to RS Means. The final extent of the renovation will greatly influence the final cost of construction. Site surveys and investigation report costs are elevated from normal construction costs to account for containing material in the existing building and to account for the inherently troubling soils commonly seen through the campus.

#### 8.5 Financial Explanation

The projected cost is roughly \$4,100,000 to CSU-Pueblo. It is inclusive of all activities on the schedule. Of course this is subject to over time and agreed upon additions and subtractions from original scope.

#### 9. Preliminary Schedule

#### 9.1 Key Dates

- Assumes project is approved in April 2021
- Design Period/Production of Construction Documents: May 2021-June 2021
- Notice to Proceed for Submittals: 6/30/2021
- Notice to Proceed: 7/26/2021
- Hoag Hall Selective Demolition Completion: 8/18/2021
- Superstructure Completion (Topping Out): 10/25/2021
- Bridge/Entryway Completion: 11/11/2021

9 | Page

Academic Year 19/20

Course: CM 461- Construction Law- Fall 2019

Professor: West, Kenneth

Specific Assessment- SLO- Outcome #6

Outcome 6- Demonstrate an understanding of professional and ethical responsibilities Assessment Sample:

77.81 of 100% of the students completed the learning module which included an instructional series on the concept of a ethics in civil engineering. The students were exposed to various the concepts of ethical practice of civil engineers as published by the American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE). The module includes research and analysis of various case studies ending with the team preparation and presentation of a self-chosen Case Assessment (See Below). The team is given the "Code of Ethics for the practice of Civil Engineering" along with the accompanying canons and subcanons as published by ASCE. The team is then expected to assess the case against the expected norm and determine if the scenario was ethical and if not how does the situation align with the ASCE standards. The student teams are assessed using the standard CET project and presentation rubric.

Note: This year the professor gave a pretest of the ethics information to determine the classes level of exposure to the subject matter prior to beginning the lecture series. After a dismal rate of less than 30% and an admission by students that they were just guessing, the pretest score was not considered. However the results did reaffirm the need of integration of the topic and awareness of the importance in the Civil Engineering career field was overwhelming. The results shown do reflect asking the questions in the final exam.

Sample Project -CM 461- Construction Law

#### Option #1: Pros and Cons of Sole Proprietorship vs. Other Legal Structures

Perform an analysis of at least two forms of doing business—one should be a sole proprietorship and the second can be any of the other forms (LLC, LLP, S-Corporation, C-Corporation, for example). Compare and contrast the forms of doing business by setting up a table using Microsoft Word that shows the key features and differences of each form. Following your table, provide a narrative that explains the features of each form of doing business, the pros and cons of each form, and examples of when you may choose one form over the other.

Your paper should be 2-4 pages in length. You are required to support your paper with at least two scholarly sources from the <u>CSU-Pueblo Online</u> <u>Library</u>. The paper must be formatted according to theCSU-Pueblo Online writing and APA standards. (See the <u>Citation Guide</u> or Purdue <u>OWL</u> for more information.) Upon completion of this assignment, you will deliver one MS Word file or PDF.

#### **Option #2: Doctrine of Sovereign Immunity**

Research the Doctrine of Sovereign Immunity and find a case relating to it. Then write a brief on the case you have chosen. Include a summary of the case including salient information, items that you view as key to consider in the construction business, and the current status or climate as it relates to your chosen case. Your paper should include:

1. A summary of the case, including:

- Parties to the case
- Facts of the case
- Arguments of each party
- Relevant case law;

2. Relevant legal concepts as they apply to the module, including definitions/descriptions of legal terms and concepts;

3. The outcome of the case and relevant observations; and,

4. Your opinion on the case and an explanation whether you agree or disagree, and why.

#### Tips for researching your case:

There are many online resources available to research your case—a good place to begin is in your textbook. Find your topic and see if there are any cases referenced in the end notes. You can review those cases and see if any other case law was referenced in the decision. You should look for key terms within the case and use those for your research.

Your paper should be 2-4 pages in length. You are required to support your paper with at least two scholarly sources from the <u>CSU-Pueblo Online</u> <u>Library</u>. The paper must be formatted according to theCSU-Pueblo Online writing and APA standards. (See the <u>Citation Guide</u> or the Purdue <u>OWL</u> for more information.) Upon completion of this assignment, you will deliver one MS Word file or PDF.

## Fall 2019

Throughout the semester the students are introduced to 8 intensive modules of instruction related to the Laws effecting the construction industry. Students are given written exams, critical thinking problems, group projects, discussion exercises to ensure the subject competence. The student understanding of ethical issues are extremely prevalent in the course and the following scores results represent the course as a whole. This example represents one of the critical thinking modules in which the student is expected to study the case law and then report an opinion report to present written or orally.