

Contacts

Center for Assessment and Improvement of Learning

| Payments & Contracts | Liz Honeycutt | ehoneycutt@tntech.edu | 931-372-3252 |
|---|------------------------|--|--------------|
| General Correspondence, Orders & Information | Elizabeth Lisic | elisic@tntech.edu or CAT@tntech.edu | 931-372-3611 |
| Training & Reports | Kevin Harris | kharris@tntech.edu | 931-372-3886 |
| Reports & Analysis | Katie Leming | CATreports@tntech.edu | 931-372-6118 |
| Project PI & Co-Director | Dr. Barry Stein | bstein@tntech.edu | 931-372-3562 |
| Project Co-PI & Co- Director | Dr. Ada Haynes | ahaynes@tntech.edu | 931-372-3815 |
| Project Co-PI | Dr. Michael Redding | mredding@tntech.edu | 931-372-3135 |

Center for Assessment and Improvement of Learning Box 5031 244 Mathews Hall 80 West 8th Street Tennessee Tech University Cookeville, TN 38505

> Phone: 931-372-3252 Fax: 931-372-3722 Email: CAT@tntech.edu

| External Evaluators | Rockman Et. Al. | www.Rockman.com |
|---------------------|---------------------|---|
| National Advisory | Dr. John Bransford | University of Washington |
| board | Dr. Donald Deeds | Drury University |
| | Dr. Peter Ewell | NCHEMS The National Center for Higher Education Management Systems |
| | Dr. Michael Grant | University of Colorado |
| | Dr. Gregory Light | Northwestern University |
| | Dr. Patricia Turner | University of California |

The CAT Instrument

The CAT instrument is a unique tool designed to assess and promote the improvement of critical thinking and real-world problem solving skills. The instrument is the product of extensive development, testing, and refinement with a broad range of institutions, faculty, and students across the country. The National Science Foundation has provided support for many of these activities.

The CAT instrument is designed to assess a broad range of skills that faculty across the country feel are important components of critical thinking and real world problem solving. The test was designed to be interesting and engaging for students. All of the questions are derived from real world situations. Most of the questions require short answer essay responses and a detailed scoring guide helps ensure good scoring reliability.

The CAT instrument is scored by the institution's own faculty using the detailed scoring guide. Training is provided to prepare institutions for this activity. During the scoring process faculty are able to see their students' weaknesses and understand areas that need improvement. Faculty are encouraged to use the CAT instrument as a model for developing authentic assessments and learning activities in their own discipline that improve students' critical thinking and real-world problem solving skills. These features help close the loop in assessment and quality improvement.

Effectively Using the CAT Instrument

Assessment Models/Designs

The CAT instrument is adaptable to a variety of assessment goals and designs. We discuss these assessment goals and some of the more frequently used models below.

The CAT instrument can be used for a variety of assessment goals.

- Evaluate effects of college education
- Evaluate effects of a program of study
- Evaluate effects of a course
- Evaluate effects of informal learning experiences

There are a variety of assessment designs that can be employed with the CAT instrument. The CAT instrument is very adaptable to various research/assessment designs because the test is very sensitive to treatment effects and because the test can be used with all levels of college students without floor effects (students obtaining the minimum score possible) or ceiling effects (students obtaining the maximum score possible). These include:

- Pre-test/Post-test designs
 - Test students at the beginning and end of course or experience (with or without a control group).
 - Test students when they are freshmen and then again when they are seniors (true value added).
- Cross-sectional studies
 - Compare freshmen to seniors (typical value-added analysis).
- Evaluate changes in program outcomes over time
 - Compare scores on the CAT after program improvements to established baseline scores that precede program changes.
 - Compare scores on the CAT to national norms over time and look for improvements.

- Evaluate changes in programs or courses by comparison to a control group.
 - Compare scores on the CAT for students who have had special courses/experiences to those for a control group who have not had the special courses/experiences.

Reducing Costs with Appropriate Sampling

We advocate a variety of practices to reduce the cost of testing without compromising the accuracy of the assessment. For example, various sampling strategies can be used to reduce the need to test all students. If that is not possible, then only a sample of the tests given might be scored. We discuss two accepted methods of sampling to ensure valid and representative results. However, we realize that the sampling techniques are not feasible at all institutions. Center staff will be happy to discuss these and other alternatives in more detail.

- 1. Random sampling: A subset of the student population of interest is randomly selected for testing/scoring. The larger the sample, the more confidence there is that the sample is representative of the population of interest. In a random sample, all students have an equal chance of being selected. This is not to be confused with a convenience sample that includes only those students who volunteer to take the test.
- 2. Stratified random sampling: The population is divided into subgroups (e.g., Arts & Sciences, Engineering, Education, etc.). A random sample of students within each subgroup is then selected. The number of students in each randomly sampled subgroup should be proportional to that group's proportion of the population. Stratification can help ensure a more representative sample with smaller sample sizes.

Sampling after Test Administration

In many institutions it is not possible to administer the test to a random sample of students within a class. In these situations, we recommend administering the test to the larger group and then randomly sampling tests from that group to score during the faculty scoring session. This procedure will allow institutions to achieve a more representative sample without greatly increasing the faculty time needed to score tests. We recommend having a minimum of 10 - 15 tests or pairs of tests per group (e.g., class, program of study, etc.).

Scoring Accuracy Checks

At various times during the year, we conduct analyses of scoring accuracy and provide feedback about the accuracy of scoring and, if necessary, specific recommendations for improving the accuracy of scoring on a question-by-question basis. These reports are sent separately from the institutional summary report.

Example Assessment Designs to Use with the CAT Instrument (These designs can easily be coded in the Local Code Field on the CAT Instrument)

| Objective | Model/Design | Sampling Procedure | Sampling Before Scoring | Advantages/ Disadvantages |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| | Pre-test vs. Post-test In selected courses or programs of study (matched students) | Administer to all students at the beginning and end of certain targeted courses or experiences | Randomly sample pairs of tests to score from each course or experience. (minimum of 10 matched pairs of tests per class) | A powerful and efficient design to evaluate specific courses and experiences (student IDs must match). |
| | (students not matched) | | (min. of 15 pretests and 15 post-tests per class) | Less efficient & less powerful than above |
| Find Courses or Programs of Study that Improve Students' Critical Thinking | Pre-test vs. Post-test With Control Group In selected courses or programs of study (matched students) | Administer to all students at the beginning and end of certain targeted courses or experiences | Randomly sample pairs of tests to score from each course or experience. (minimum of 10 matched pairs of tests per class) | A powerful design to evaluate treatment effects relative to a control. |
| | (students not matched) | | (min. of 15 pretests and 15 post-tests per class) | Less efficient & less powerful than above |
| | Treatment vs. Control | Administer to all students at the end of certain targeted courses or experiences | Randomly sample tests that will be scored after administering to a larger sample | Might be difficult to establish equivalence of treatment & control conditions. |
| How much is the institution or program of study improving students' critical thinking | Freshmen vs. Upperclassmen (value added) Cross-sectional study (must equate groups) | Administer to a random sample of freshmen and seniors every year | Randomly sample tests that will be scored after administering to a larger sample | Might be difficult to establish equivalence of Freshmen and Upperclassmen if there is attrition. |
| Is the Institution making progress in improving students' critical thinking | Cross Sectional Study of Seniors over time (with or without National Norm Comparison) | Administer to a random sample of seniors (or all seniors) every year | Randomly sample tests that will be scored after administering to a larger sample | Would be necessary to establish the equivalence of samples over time. |

Using the Local Code Fields to Identify Assessment Design

A local code field with 4 digits appears on the back of each test booklet. You should use this area to code subgroups in your population so that the data can be easily analyzed.

Recommended Use of Local Code



Column 1: Use to indicate the Type of Design for data included in the report.

Column 2: Use to indicate the student's specific Treatment Condition in the design.

Column 3: Use to indicate the particular class that was being tested if a breakdown by class is desired.

| Column 1 | Column 2 | Column 3 & 4 |
|---|--|---|
| 0 (or blank) = No Breakdown | 0 (or blank) = No Breakdown | |
| 1 = Breakdown only by course | 1 = Breakdown only by course | |
| 2 = Pretest/Post-test Design Matched Students (identical ID #'s are used) | 1 = Pretest 2 = Posttest | |
| 3 = Pretest/Post-test Design Not Matched Students | 1 = Pretest 2 = Posttest | 0 (or blank) = No Breakdown |
| 4 = Treatment vs. Control (single post-test used) | 0 = Control 1 = Treatment | 1 - 99 = code for each course or section tested if |
| 5 = Lower Division vs. Upper Division Students | 1 = Lower Division 2 = Upper Division | by class |
| 6 = Pretest/Post-test Design with Control Group Matched Students (identical ID #'s are used) | 0 = Control Pretest 1 = Control Post-test 2 = Treatment Pretest 3 = Treatment Post-test | |
| 7 = Pretest/Post-test Design with Control Group Not Matched Students | 0 = Control Pretest 1 = Control Post-test 2 = Treatment Pretest 3 = Treatment Post-test | |

We can easily generate reports with breakdowns of data, if you use the coding scheme above. We encourage you to contact us and discuss your plans for developing your local code before administering the test.



Example of How to Code Tests with Local Codes

Correlations with Entering ACT and SAT Scores

Student scores on the CAT instrument correlate with their scores on college entrance exams like the ACT and SAT. These entrance scores can explain about 25% of the variability in student performance on the CAT instrument.

| ACT | | SAT |
|-----|--------|--------|
| CAT | 0.501* | 0.516* |

* correlations significant, p < .01 (updated on 8/10/10)

We provide the following table to show how the average entering ACT/SAT score at an institution might impact upper division student performance on the CAT instrument at 4 year institutions.

CAT National User Norms (Upper division undergraduate, 4 year institutions)

| Average Coll | Average College Entrance Score* | |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|
| ACT (Composite) | SAT (Verbal & Quantitative) | CAT Score (Estimated) |
| 13 | 620 | 10.79 |
| 14 | 680 | 11.93 |
| 15 | 740 | 13.07 |
| 16 | 780 | 13.83 |
| 17 | 830 | 14.78 |
| 18 | 870 | 15.54 |
| 19 | 910 | 16.30 |
| 20 | 950 | 17.06 |
| 21 | 990 | 17.82 |
| 22 | 1030 | 18.58 |
| 23 | 1070 | 19.34 |
| 24 | 1110 | 20.10 |
| 25 | 1140 | 20.67 |
| 26 | 1180 | 21.43 |
| 27 | 1220 | 22.19 |
| 28 | 1260 | 22.95 |
| 29 | 1300 | 23.71 |
| 30 | 1340 | 24.47 |
| 31 | 1380 | 25.23 |
| 32 | 1420 | 25.99 |
| 33 | 1470 | 26.94 |
| 34 | 1520 | 27.89 |

*Updated 8/10/10

Your Institutional Report and Data Disk

CAT institutional reports provide information about your students' scores on the CAT instrument with descriptive information about sample demographics, mean score, minimum and maximum score, and standard deviation. In addition, the report provides a detailed frequencies breakdown of the distribution of answers (point values) for each question together with a general description of what the question is measuring. The mean score for each question and the percent of total points attained is also included. Current information about national norms is also provided. Additional comparisons are included as specified by the use of local codes.

A data file in Excel format is provided on a CD. This file contains the following information:

- Individual student responses for all questions on the demographics page and final scores for each test question
- The file includes two spreadsheets, one sheet includes all student data, the other sheet includes only students that did not have excessive missing data. The report is based on student data that is complete.
- The file also includes additional sheets with breakdowns of CAT scores that are included in the printed report.

The CD also contains a copy of the general report and CAT material order forms. Contact Kevin Harris for more information (kharris@tntech.edu, 931-372-3886).

| Variable Namo | Тура | Description |
|------------------|---------|--|
| std s1 | Scale | Entrance Exam Score as entered by the institution |
| | Scale | OPA as entered by the institution |
| testnum | Nominal | Test Booklet Number |
| stude1 | Nominal | Student ID Number |
| loc-code | Nominal | Local Code as entered by institution |
| age | Nominal | |
| gender | Nominal | Gender (0=Male; 1=Female) |
| spanish | Nominal | Spanish/Hispanic/Latino (0=No; 1=Yes) |
| primary | Nominal | English is primary language (0=No; 1=Yes) |
| profi1 | Nominal | Proficiency with English Language (1=Excellent; 2=Very Good; 3=Good; 4=Fair; 5=Poor) |
| standing | Nominal | Class Standing (1=Freshman; 2=Sophomore; 3= Junior; 4=Senior) |
| class | Nominal | University Standing (Undergraduate=1; Graduate=2) |
| white | Nominal | Race: White (0=No; 1=Yes) |
| black | Nominal | Race: Black or African American (0=No; 1=Yes) |
| amer1 | Nominal | Race: American Indian or Alaska Native (0=No; 1=Yes) |
| asian | Nominal | Race: Asian (0=No; 1=Yes) |
| nativ1 | Nominal | Race: Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander (0=No; 1=Yes) |
| other1 | Nominal | Race: Other (0=No; 1=Yes) |
| q1f – q15f | Scale | Computed Score for each question. |
| total | Scale | CAT total score |
| q1 – q15 | Scale | Computed Score for each question. (Rounded) |
| report | Nominal | Case included in report (Y=Yes; N=No) |

Colorado State University - Pueblo

CAT Institutional Report

December 2013 - All Students

CAT Overview: Descriptive Statistics for CAT Total Score Colorado State University - Pueblo: December 2013 - All Students

| | N | Min. | Max. | Mean | Std. Dev |
|-----------------|-----|------|-------|-------|----------|
| CAT Total Score | 200 | 7.00 | 31.00 | 18.50 | 5.58 |



CAT Demographics: Descriptive Statistics for Sample

| | | Freq. | Freq. % |
|----------|---------------|-------|---------|
| Condor | Male | 72 | 36.0% |
| Genuei | Female | 128 | 64.0% |
| | | | |
| | Freshman | 0 | 0.0% |
| Class | Sophomore | 3 | 1.5% |
| Standing | Junior | 2 | 1.0% |
| | Senior | 195 | 97.5% |
| | | | |
| Class | Undergraduate | 200 | 100.0% |
| Class | Graduate | 0 | 0.0% |
| | | | |
| | ≤ 20 years | 9 | 4.7% |
| Age | 21-25 years | 129 | 66.8% |
| | ≥ 26 years | 55 | 28.5% |

| | | Freq. | Freq. % |
|---------------------|-------------------------|-------|---------|
| | Excellent | 134 | 67.0% |
| Proficiency | Very Good 50 Good 14 | 50 | 25.0% |
| with the English | | 14 | 7.0% |
| Language* | Fair | 1 | 0.5% |
| | Poor | 1 | 0.5% |

* Self-rated

| | | Freq. | Freq. % |
|----------------|--|-------|---------|
| | White | 152 | 76.0% |
| | Black or African American | 11 | 5.5% |
| B aaa** | American Indian or Alaska Native | 8 | 4.0% |
| Race | Asian | 7 | 3.5% |
| | Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | 3 | 1.5% |
| | Other Race | 33 | 16.5% |

**The cumulative percent may exceed 100% as students are allowed to select more than one category.

| | Freq. | Freq. % |
|---|-------|---------|
| Spanish/Hispanic/Latino Ethnicity | 59 | 29.5% |
| | | |
| Considered English primary language? | 193 | 96.5% |

CAT Breakdown: Frequency of Points Awarded for Each Question Colorado State University - Pueblo: December 2013 - All Students

| | Skill Assessed by CAT Question | Points Awarded | Freq. | Freq. % |
|-----|--|-------------------|-------|----------------|
| Q1 | Summarize the pattern of results in a graph without making inappropriate inferences. | 0 | 67 | 33.5% |
| | | 1 | 133 | 66.5% |
| | | 0 | 70 | 35.5% |
| Q2 | Evaluate how strongly correlational-type data supports a hypothesis. | 1 | 67 | 34.0% |
| | | 2 | 26 | 13.2% |
| | | 3 | 34 | 17.3% |
| | | 0 | 27 | 13.5% |
| Q3 | Provide alternative explanations for a pattern of results that has many possible | 1 | 40 | 20.0% |
| | | 2 | 73 | 30.5% |
| | | 0 | 59 | 29.6% |
| | | 1 | 41 | 20.6% |
| Q4 | Identify additional information needed to evaluate a hypothesis | 2 | 59 | 29.6% |
| ~. | | 3 | 27 | 13.6% |
| | | 4 | 13 | 6.5% |
| | | 0 | 59 | 29.6% |
| Q5 | Evaluate whether spurious information strongly supports a hypothesis. | 1 | 140 | 70.4% |
| | | 0 | 18 | 9.0% |
| | | 1 | 86 | 43.0% |
| Q6 | Provide alternative explanations for spurious associations. | 2 | 80 | 40.0% |
| | | 3 | 16 | 8.0% |
| | | 0 | 81 | 40.5% |
| Q7 | Identify additional information needed to evaluate a hypothesis. | 1 | 101 | 50.5% |
| | | 2 | 18 | 9.0% |
| 08 | Determine whether an invited inference is supported by specific information | 0 | 86 | 43.0% |
| QO | | 1 | 114 | 57.0% |
| | | 0 | 92 | 46.0% |
| Q9 | Provide relevant alternative interpretations for a specific set of results. | 1 | 90 | 45.0% |
| | | 2 | 18 | 9.0% |
| | | 0 | 2 | 1.0% |
| | | 1 | 5 | 2.5% |
| Q10 | Separate relevant from irrelevant information when solving a real-world problem. | 2 | 26 | 13.1% |
| | | 3 | 87 | 43.7% |
| | | 4 | 79 | 39.7% |
| 014 | Lies and each relevant information to evaluate a problem | 0 | 48 | 24.0% |
| QII | Ose and apply relevant information to evaluate a problem. | 1 | 29 | 57.0% |
| | | 2 | 38 | 19.0% |
| Q12 | Use basic mathematical skills to help solve a real-world problem. | 1 | 175 | 12.3% 87.5% |
| | | 0 | 52 | 26.1% |
| | | 1 | 85 | 42.7% |
| Q13 | Identify suitable solutions for a real-world problem using relevant information. | 2 | 36 | 18.1% |
| | | 3 | 26 | 13.1% |
| | | 0 | 67 | 34.0% |
| | | 1 | 40 | 20.3% |
| | Identify and explain the best solution for a real-world problem using relevant | 2 | 6 | 3.0% |
| Q14 | information. | 3 | 24 | 12.2% |
| | | 4 | 35 | 17.8% |
| | | 5 | 25 | 12.7% |
| | | 0 | 49 | 24.5% |
| 015 | Explain how changes in a real-world problem situation might affect the solution | 1 | 67 | 33.5% |
| Q15 | | 2 | 64 | 32.0% |
| | | 3 | 20 | 10.0% |

| | Institutional/Departmental Profile | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|------------------------------------|----------|-----------|-----|---|----------------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | | Colorado State University - Pueblo: December 2013 - All Students | | | | | |
| Evaluate and | Problem | Creative | Effective | | | Institution/De | | | | |
| Interpret Info | Solving | Thinking | Comm. | | Skill Assessed by CAT Question | Mean | Avg. % of Attainable Points | | | |
| х | | | | Q1 | Summarize the pattern of results in a graph without making inappropriate inferences. | 0.67 | 67% | | | |
| х | | | х | Q2 | Evaluate how strongly correlational-type data supports a hypothesis. | 1.12 | 37% | | | |
| | | х | х | Q3 | Provide alternative explanations for a pattern of results that has many possible causes. | 1.83 | 61% | | | |
| | х | х | х | Q4 | Identify additional information needed to evaluate a hypothesis. | 1.47 | 37% | | | |
| х | | | | Q5 | Evaluate whether spurious information strongly supports a hypothesis. | 0.70 | 70% | | | |
| | | х | x | Q6 | Provide alternative explanations for spurious associations. | 1.47 | 49% | | | |
| | х | х | х | Q7 | Identify additional information needed to evaluate a hypothesis. | 0.69 | 34% | | | |
| х | | | | Q8 | Determine whether an invited inference is supported by specific information. | 0.57 | 57% | | | |
| | | х | х | Q9 | Provide relevant alternative interpretations for a specific set of results. | 0.63 | 32% | | | |
| х | х | | | Q10 | Separate relevant from irrelevant information when solving a real-world problem. | 3.19 | 80% | | | |
| х | х | | х | Q11 | Use and apply relevant information to evaluate a problem. | 0.95 | 48% | | | |
| | х | | | Q12 | Use basic mathematical skills to help solve a real-world problem. | 0.88 | 88% | | | |
| х | х | | | Q13 | Identify suitable solutions for a real-world problem using relevant information. | 1.18 | 39% | | | |
| х | x | | x | Q14 | Identify and explain the best solution for a real-world problem using relevant information. | 1.97 | 39% | | | |
| | х | х | х | Q15 | Explain how changes in a real-world problem situation might affect the solution. | 1.28 | 43% | | | |
| | | | | | CAT Total Score | 18.50 | 49% | | | |

| | Upper Division CAT Means Comparison Report | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|--|----------|-----------|-----|---|-------------|------------|--|-----------------------------|--|--|
| | | | | | Colorado State University - Pueblo: December 2013 - All Stude | ents | | | | | |
| Evaluate and | Problem | Creative | Effective | | Skill Assessed by CAT Question | Institution | n National | | | | |
| Interpret Info | Solving | Thinking | Comm. | | Skill Assessed by CAT Question | Mean | Mean | Probability of difference ^a | Effect Size ^b | | |
| х | | | | Q1 | Summarize the pattern of results in a graph without making inappropriate inferences. | 0.67 | 0.67 | | | | |
| х | | | х | Q2 | Evaluate how strongly correlational-type data supports a hypothesis. | 1.12 | 1.21 | | | | |
| | | х | х | Q3 | Provide alternative explanations for a pattern of results that has many possible causes. | 1.83 | 1.35 | *** | +.47 | | |
| | х | х | х | Q4 | Identify additional information needed to evaluate a hypothesis. | 1.47 | 1.41 | | | | |
| х | | | | Q5 | Evaluate whether spurious information strongly supports a hypothesis. | 0.70 | 0.73 | | | | |
| | | х | х | Q6 | Provide alternative explanations for spurious associations. | 1.47 | 1.56 | | | | |
| | х | х | х | Q7 | Identify additional information needed to evaluate a hypothesis. | 0.69 | 0.82 | ** | 21 | | |
| х | | | | Q8 | Determine whether an invited inference is supported by specific information. | 0.57 | 0.68 | *** | 24 | | |
| | | х | х | Q9 | Provide relevant alternative interpretations for a specific set of results. | 0.63 | 0.93 | *** | 43 | | |
| х | х | | | Q10 | Separate relevant from irrelevant information when solving a real-world problem. | 3.19 | 3.14 | | | | |
| х | х | | х | Q11 | Use and apply relevant information to evaluate a problem. | 0.95 | 1.11 | *** | 25 | | |
| | х | | | Q12 | Use basic mathematical skills to help solve a real-world problem. | 0.88 | 0.82 | | | | |
| х | х | | | Q13 | Identify suitable solutions for a real-world problem using relevant information. | 1.18 | 1.18 | | | | |
| х | х | | х | Q14 | Identify and explain the best solution for a real-world problem using relevant information. | 1.97 | 2.29 | * | 17 | | |
| | х | х | х | Q15 | Explain how changes in a real-world problem situation might affect the solution. | 1.28 | 1.15 | | | | |
| | | | | | CAT Total Score | 18.50 | 19.04 | | | | |

a. * p<.05 **p<.01 ***p<.001 (2 -tailed) Does not Account for entering ACT/SAT.

^b. Mean difference divided by pooled group standard deviation.

(0.1 - 0.3 = small effect; 0.3 - 0.5 = moderate effect; >0.5 = large effect)

Colorado State University - Pueblo

CAT Institutional Report

December 2013 - College of Ed, Engr, & Prof. Studies

CAT Overview: Descriptive Statistics for CAT Total Score Colorado State University - Pueblo: December 2013 - College of Ed, Engr, & Prof. Studies

| | N | Min. | Max. | Mean | Std. Dev |
|-----------------|----|------|-------|-------|----------|
| CAT Total Score | 60 | 7.00 | 29.00 | 17.90 | 5.13 |



CAT Demographics: Descriptive Statistics for Sample

| | | Freq. | Freq. % |
|----------|-----------------|-------|---------|
| Condor | Male | 11 | 18.3% |
| Gender | Female | 49 | 81.7% |
| | | | |
| | Freshman | 0 | 0.0% |
| Class | Sophomore | 0 | 0.0% |
| Standing | Junior | 0 | 0.0% |
| | Senior | 60 | 100.0% |
| | | | |
| Close | Undergraduate | 60 | 100.0% |
| Class | Graduate | 0 | 0.0% |
| | | | |
| | ≤ 20 years | 0 | 0.0% |
| Age | Age 21-25 years | | 62.1% |
| | ≥ 26 years | 22 | 37.9% |

| | | Freq. | Freq. % |
|---------------------|-----------|-------|---------|
| | Excellent | 44 | 73.3% |
| Proficiency | Very Good | 11 | 18.3% |
| with the English | Good | 5 | 8.3% |
| Language* | Fair | 0 | 0.0% |
| | Poor | 0 | 0.0% |

* Self-rated

| | | Freq. | Freq. % |
|----------------|--|-------|---------|
| | White | 47 | 78.3% |
| | Black or African American | 1 | 1.7% |
| P 200** | American Indian or Alaska Native | 3 | 5.0% |
| Race | Asian | 1 | 1.7% |
| | Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.0% |
| | Other Race | 8 | 13.3% |

**The cumulative percent may exceed 100% as students are allowed to select more than one category.

| | Freq. | Freq. % |
|---|-------|---------|
| Spanish/Hispanic/Latino Ethnicity | 16 | 26.7% |
| | | |
| Considered English primary language? | 60 | 100.0% |

CAT Breakdown: Frequency of Points Awarded for Each Question

Colorado State University - Pueblo: December 2013 - College of Ed, Engr, & Prof. Studies

| | Skill Assessed by CAT Question | Points Awarded | Freq. | Freq. % |
|------------|--|-------------------|-------|---------|
| Q1 | Summarize the pattern of results in a graph without making inappropriate inferences. | 0 | 20 | 33.3% |
| | | 1 | 40 | 66.7% |
| | | 0 | 24 | 40.7% |
| Q2 | Evaluate how strongly correlational-type data supports a hypothesis. | 2 | 20 | 33.9% |
| | | 2 | 0 | 11.0% |
| | | 0 | / | 11.9% |
| | Provide alternative evaluations for a pattern of results that has many possible | 1 | 16 | 26.7% |
| Q3 | | 2 | 22 | 36.7% |
| | | 3 | 13 | 21.7% |
| | | 0 | 10 | 31.7% |
| | | 1 | 13 | 20.0% |
| 04 | Identify additional information needed to evaluate a hypothesis | 2 | 12 | 30.0% |
| X T | | 2 | 0 | 12.2% |
| | | 4 | 3 | 5.0% |
| | | 0 | 17 | 28.8% |
| Q5 | Evaluate whether spurious information strongly supports a hypothesis. | 1 | 42 | 71.2% |
| | | 0 | 5 | 8.3% |
| | | 1 | 24 | 40.0% |
| Q6 | Provide alternative explanations for spurious associations. | 2 | 24 | 43.3% |
| | | - | 5 | 8 3% |
| | | 0 | 31 | 51.7% |
| 07 | Identify additional information needed to evaluate a hypothesis | 1 | 25 | 41 7% |
| ς. | | 2 | 4 | 6.7% |
| | | - | 30 | 50.0% |
| Q8 | Determine whether an invited inference is supported by specific information. | 1 | 30 | 50.0% |
| | | 0 | 29 | 48.3% |
| Q9 | Provide relevant alternative interpretations for a specific set of results. | 1 | 25 | 41.7% |
| | | 2 | 6 | 10.0% |
| | | 0 | 0 | 0.0% |
| | | 1 | 2 | 3.3% |
| Q10 | Separate relevant from irrelevant information when solving a real-world problem. | 2 | 10 | 16.7% |
| | | 3 | 28 | 46.7% |
| | | 4 | 20 | 33.3% |
| | | 0 | 12 | 20.0% |
| Q11 | Use and apply relevant information to evaluate a problem. | 1 | 30 | 50.0% |
| | | 2 | 18 | 30.0% |
| 040 | Line basic methometical skills to bell calve a real world problem | 0 | 9 | 15.0% |
| Q12 | טוע איזאר איזארא איזארא איזארא איז | 1 | 51 | 85.0% |
| | | 0 | 16 | 26.7% |
| 012 | Identify suitable solutions for a real world problem using relevant information | 1 | 29 | 48.3% |
| Q13 | | 2 | 8 | 13.3% |
| | | 3 | 7 | 11.7% |
| | | 0 | 20 | 33.9% |
| | | 1 | 10 | 16.9% |
| 014 | Identify and explain the best solution for a real-world problem using relevant | 2 | 2 | 3.4% |
| S | information. | 3 | 9 | 15.3% |
| | | 4 | 10 | 16.9% |
| | | 5 | 8 | 13.6% |
| | | 0 | 17 | 28.3% |
| 015 | Explain how changes in a real-world problem situation might affect the solution | 1 | 19 | 31.7% |
| | Explain new ondriges in a real wond problem studion might allest the solution. | 2 | 20 | 33.3% |
| | | 3 | 4 | 6.7% |

| | Institutional/Departmental Profile | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|------------------------------------|----------|-----------|-------|---|---------------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | | Colora | do St | ate University - Pueblo: December 2013 - College of Ed, Engr, & P | rof. Studies | | | | | |
| Evaluate and | Problem | Creative | Effective | | | Institution/[| Department | | | | |
| Interpret Info | Solving | Thinking | Comm. | | Skill Assessed by CAT Question | Mean | Avg. % of Attainable Points | | | | |
| х | | | | Q1 | Summarize the pattern of results in a graph without making inappropriate inferences. | 0.67 | 67% | | | | |
| х | | | х | Q2 | Evaluate how strongly correlational-type data supports a hypothesis. | 0.97 | 32% | | | | |
| | | х | х | Q3 | Provide alternative explanations for a pattern of results that has many possible causes. | 1.66 | 55% | | | | |
| | х | х | х | Q4 | Identify additional information needed to evaluate a hypothesis. | 1.40 | 35% | | | | |
| х | | | | Q5 | Evaluate whether spurious information strongly supports a hypothesis. | 0.71 | 71% | | | | |
| | | х | x | Q6 | Provide alternative explanations for spurious associations. | 1.51 | 50% | | | | |
| | х | х | х | Q7 | Identify additional information needed to evaluate a hypothesis. | 0.55 | 28% | | | | |
| х | | | | Q8 | Determine whether an invited inference is supported by specific information. | 0.50 | 50% | | | | |
| | | х | х | Q9 | Provide relevant alternative interpretations for a specific set of results. | 0.62 | 31% | | | | |
| х | х | | | Q10 | Separate relevant from irrelevant information when solving a real-world problem. | 3.10 | 78% | | | | |
| х | х | | х | Q11 | Use and apply relevant information to evaluate a problem. | 1.10 | 55% | | | | |
| | х | | | Q12 | Use basic mathematical skills to help solve a real-world problem. | 0.85 | 85% | | | | |
| х | х | | | Q13 | Identify suitable solutions for a real-world problem using relevant information. | 1.10 | 37% | | | | |
| х | x | | х | Q14 | Identify and explain the best solution for a real-world problem using relevant information. | 2.05 | 41% | | | | |
| | х | х | х | Q15 | Explain how changes in a real-world problem situation might affect the solution. | 1.18 | 39% | | | | |
| | | | | | CAT Total Score | 17.90 | 47% | | | | |

| | Upper Division CAT Means Comparison Report | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|--|----------|-----------|--------|---|----------------------|--------|--|-----------------------------|--|--|
| | | | Colorad | do Sta | ate University - Pueblo: December 2013 - College of Ed, Engr, | & Prof. St | tudies | | | | |
| Evaluate and | Problem | Creative | Effective | | Skill Assessed by CAT Question | Institution National | | National | | | |
| Interpret Info | Solving | Thinking | Comm. | | Skill Assessed by CAT Question | Mean | Mean | Probability of difference ^a | Effect Size ^b | | |
| х | | | | Q1 | Summarize the pattern of results in a graph without making inappropriate inferences. | 0.67 | 0.67 | | | | |
| х | | | х | Q2 | Evaluate how strongly correlational-type data supports a hypothesis. | 0.97 | 1.21 | | | | |
| | | х | х | Q3 | Provide alternative explanations for a pattern of results that has many possible causes. | 1.66 | 1.35 | * | +.30 | | |
| | х | х | х | Q4 | Identify additional information needed to evaluate a hypothesis. | 1.40 | 1.41 | | | | |
| х | | | | Q5 | Evaluate whether spurious information strongly supports a hypothesis. | 0.71 | 0.73 | | | | |
| | | х | х | Q6 | Provide alternative explanations for spurious associations. | 1.51 | 1.56 | | | | |
| | х | х | х | Q7 | Identify additional information needed to evaluate a hypothesis. | 0.55 | 0.82 | ** | 41 | | |
| х | | | | Q8 | Determine whether an invited inference is supported by specific information. | 0.50 | 0.68 | ** | 39 | | |
| | | х | х | Q9 | Provide relevant alternative interpretations for a specific set of results. | 0.62 | 0.93 | ** | 44 | | |
| х | х | | | Q10 | Separate relevant from irrelevant information when solving a real-world problem. | 3.10 | 3.14 | | | | |
| х | х | | х | Q11 | Use and apply relevant information to evaluate a problem. | 1.10 | 1.11 | | | | |
| | х | | | Q12 | Use basic mathematical skills to help solve a real-world problem. | 0.85 | 0.82 | | | | |
| х | х | | | Q13 | Identify suitable solutions for a real-world problem using relevant information. | 1.10 | 1.18 | | | | |
| х | x | | х | Q14 | Identify and explain the best solution for a real-world problem using relevant information. | 2.05 | 2.29 | | | | |
| | х | х | х | Q15 | Explain how changes in a real-world problem situation might affect the solution. | 1.18 | 1.15 | | | | |
| | | | | | CAT Total Score | 17.90 | 19.04 | | | | |

a. * p<.05 **p<.01 ***p<.001 (2 -tailed) Does not Account for entering ACT/SAT.

^b. Mean difference divided by pooled group standard deviation.

(0.1 - 0.3 = small effect; 0.3 - 0.5 = moderate effect; >0.5 = large effect)

Colorado State University - Pueblo

CAT Institutional Report

December 2013 - College of Humanities & Soc. Sci.

CAT Overview: Descriptive Statistics for CAT Total Score Colorado State University - Pueblo: December 2013 - College of Humanities & Soc. Sci.

| | N | Min. | Max. | Mean | Std. Dev |
|-----------------|----|------|-------|-------|----------|
| CAT Total Score | 76 | 8.00 | 29.00 | 17.18 | 5.68 |



CAT Demographics: Descriptive Statistics for Sample

| | | Freq. | Freq. % |
|----------|---------------|-------|---------|
| Condor | Male | 33 | 43.4% |
| Genuei | Female | 43 | 56.6% |
| | | | |
| | Freshman | 0 | 0.0% |
| Class | Sophomore | 3 | 3.9% |
| Standing | Junior | 2 | 2.6% |
| | Senior | 71 | 93.4% |
| | | | |
| Class | Undergraduate | 76 | 100.0% |
| Class | Graduate | 0 | 0.0% |
| | | | |
| | ≤ 20 years | 5 | 6.8% |
| Age | 21-25 years | 45 | 61.6% |
| | ≥ 26 years | 23 | 31.5% |

| | | Freq. | Freq. % |
|---------------------|-----------|-------|---------|
| | Excellent | 50 | 65.8% |
| Proficiency | Very Good | 19 | 25.0% |
| with the English | Good | 7 | 9.2% |
| Language* | Fair | 0 | 0.0% |
| | Poor | 0 | 0.0% |

* Self-rated

| | | Freq. | Freq. % |
|----------------|---|-------|---------|
| | White | 49 | 64.5% |
| | Black or African American | 8 | 10.5% |
| B aaa** | American Indian or Alaska Native | 3 | 3.9% |
| Race | Asian | 3 | 3.9% |
| | Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islande | 3 | 3.9% |
| | Other Race | 19 | 25.0% |

**The cumulative percent may exceed 100% as students are allowed to select more than one category.

| | Freq. | Freq. % |
|---|-------|---------|
| Spanish/Hispanic/Latino Ethnicity | 32 | 42.1% |
| | | |
| Considered English primary language? | 70 | 92.1% |

CAT Breakdown: Frequency of Points Awarded for Each Question

Colorado State University - Pueblo: December 2013 - College of Humanities & Soc. Sci.

| | Skill Assessed by CAT Question | Points Awarded | Freq. | Freq. % |
|-----|---|-------------------|-------|---------------|
| Q1 | Summarize the pattern of results in a graph without making inappropriate inferences. | 0 | 33 | 43.4% |
| | | 1 | 43 | 56.6% |
| | | 0 | 28 | 37.3% |
| Q2 | Evaluate how strongly correlational-type data supports a hypothesis. | 1 | 29 | 38.7% |
| | | 2 | 7 | 9.3% |
| | | 3 | 11 | 14.7% |
| | | 0 | 18 | 23.7% |
| Q3 | Provide alternative explanations for a pattern of results that has many possible | 1 | 18 | 23.7% |
| | Causes. | 2 | 21 | 27.6% |
| | | | 19 | 25.0% |
| | | 1 | 27 | 30.0% |
| 04 | Identify additional information needed to evaluate a hypothesis | 2 | 17 | 20.0% |
| 94 | | 2 | | 22.7% 8.0% |
| | | 3 | 0 | 6.0% |
| | | 0 | 23 | 30.3% |
| Q5 | Evaluate whether spurious information strongly supports a hypothesis. | 1 | 53 | 69.7% |
| | | 0 | 11 | 14.5% |
| | | 1 | 30 | 39.5% |
| Q6 | Provide alternative explanations for spurious associations. | 2 | 30 | 39.5% |
| | | - | 5 | 6.6% |
| | | 0 | 29 | 38.2% |
| Q7 | Identify additional information needed to evaluate a hypothesis. | 1 | 43 | 56.6% |
| | | 2 | 4 | 5.3% |
| | | 0 | 33 | 43.4% |
| Q8 | Determine whether an invited inference is supported by specific information. | 1 | 43 | 56.6% |
| | Provide relevant alternative interpretations for a specific set of results. | 0 | 42 | 55.3% |
| Q9 | | 1 | 28 | 36.8% |
| | Q9 Provide relevant alternative interpretations for a specific set of results. | | 6 | 7.9% |
| | | 0 | 2 | 2.6% |
| | | 1 | 1 | 1.3% |
| Q10 | Separate relevant from irrelevant information when solving a real-world problem. | 2 | 6 | 7.9% |
| | | 3 | 30 | 39.5% |
| | | 4 | 37 | 48.7% |
| | | 0 | 19 | 25.0% |
| Q11 | Use and apply relevant information to evaluate a problem. | 1 | 49 | 64.5% |
| | | 2 | 8 | 10.5% |
| Q12 | Use basic mathematical skills to help solve a real-world problem. | 0 | 11 | 14.5% |
| | | 1 | 65 | 85.5% |
| | | 0 | 18 | 23.7% |
| Q13 | Identify suitable solutions for a real-world problem using relevant information. | 1 | 39 | 51.3% |
| | | 2 | 12 | 15.8% |
| | | 3 | 7 | 9.2% |
| | | 0 | 28 | 36.8% |
| | Identify and evolution the best colution for a real world problem using relevant | 1 | 2 | 22.4% |
| Q14 | information. | 2 | 5 | 5.3% 7.0% |
| | | 3 | 15 | 1.9% |
| | | 5 | 7 | 19.7% Q 7% |
| | | 0 | 23 | 30.3% |
| | | 1 | 25 | 32.9% |
| Q15 | Explain how changes in a real-world problem situation might affect the solution. | 2 | 21 | 27.6% |
| | | 3 | 7 | 9.2% |

| | Institutional/Departmental Profile | | | | | | |
|-------------------|------------------------------------|----------|-----------|-------|---|---------------|--------------------------------|
| | | | Colora | ado S | tate University - Pueblo: December 2013 - College of Humanities & | & Soc. Sci. | |
| Evaluate and | Problem | Creative | Effective | | | Institution/I | Department |
| Interpret Info | Solving | Thinking | Comm. | | Skill Assessed by CAT Question | Mean | Avg. % of Attainable Points |
| х | | | | Q1 | Summarize the pattern of results in a graph without making inappropriate inferences. | 0.57 | 57% |
| х | | | х | Q2 | Evaluate how strongly correlational-type data supports a hypothesis. | 1.01 | 34% |
| | | х | х | Q3 | Provide alternative explanations for a pattern of results that has many possible causes. | 1.54 | 51% |
| | х | х | х | Q4 | Identify additional information needed to evaluate a hypothesis. | 1.19 | 30% |
| х | | | | Q5 | Evaluate whether spurious information strongly supports a hypothesis. | 0.70 | 70% |
| | | х | х | Q6 | Provide alternative explanations for spurious associations. | 1.39 | 46% |
| | х | х | х | Q7 | Identify additional information needed to evaluate a hypothesis. | 0.67 | 34% |
| х | | | | Q8 | Determine whether an invited inference is supported by specific information. | 0.57 | 57% |
| | | х | х | Q9 | Provide relevant alternative interpretations for a specific set of results. | 0.53 | 26% |
| х | х | | | Q10 | Separate relevant from irrelevant information when solving a real-world problem. | 3.30 | 83% |
| х | х | | х | Q11 | Use and apply relevant information to evaluate a problem. | 0.86 | 43% |
| | х | | | Q12 | Use basic mathematical skills to help solve a real-world problem. | 0.86 | 86% |
| х | х | | | Q13 | Identify suitable solutions for a real-world problem using relevant information. | 1.11 | 37% |
| х | х | | x | Q14 | Identify and explain the best solution for a real-world problem using relevant information. | 1.79 | 36% |
| | х | х | х | Q15 | Explain how changes in a real-world problem situation might affect the solution. | 1.16 | 39% |
| | | | | | CAT Total Score | 17.18 | 45% |

| | Upper Division CAT Means Comparison Report | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|--|----------|-----------|-------|---|-------------|--------|--|-----------------------------|
| | | | Colora | ado S | tate University - Pueblo: December 2013 - College of Humanit | ies & Soc | . Sci. | | |
| Evaluate and | Problem | Creative | Effective | | Skill Assassed by CAT Question | Institution | | National | _ |
| Interpret Info | Solving | Thinking | Comm. | | Skill Assessed by CAT Question | Mean | Mean | Probability of difference ^a | Effect Size ^b |
| х | | | | Q1 | Summarize the pattern of results in a graph without making inappropriate inferences. | 0.57 | 0.67 | | |
| х | | | х | Q2 | Evaluate how strongly correlational-type data supports a hypothesis. | 1.01 | 1.21 | | |
| | | х | х | Q3 | Provide alternative explanations for a pattern of results that has many possible causes. | 1.54 | 1.35 | | |
| | х | х | х | Q4 | Identify additional information needed to evaluate a hypothesis. | 1.19 | 1.41 | | |
| х | | | | Q5 | Evaluate whether spurious information strongly supports a hypothesis. | 0.70 | 0.73 | | |
| | | x | х | Q6 | Provide alternative explanations for spurious associations. | 1.39 | 1.56 | | |
| | х | х | х | Q7 | Identify additional information needed to evaluate a hypothesis. | 0.67 | 0.82 | | |
| х | | | | Q8 | Determine whether an invited inference is supported by specific information. | 0.57 | 0.68 | * | 25 |
| | | х | х | Q9 | Provide relevant alternative interpretations for a specific set of results. | 0.53 | 0.93 | *** | 58 |
| х | х | | | Q10 | Separate relevant from irrelevant information when solving a real-world problem. | 3.30 | 3.14 | | |
| х | х | | х | Q11 | Use and apply relevant information to evaluate a problem. | 0.86 | 1.11 | *** | 42 |
| | х | | | Q12 | Use basic mathematical skills to help solve a real-world problem. | 0.86 | 0.82 | | |
| х | х | | | Q13 | Identify suitable solutions for a real-world problem using relevant information. | 1.11 | 1.18 | | |
| х | х | | х | Q14 | Identify and explain the best solution for a real-world problem using relevant information. | 1.79 | 2.29 | * | 27 |
| | х | х | х | Q15 | Explain how changes in a real-world problem situation might affect the solution. | 1.16 | 1.15 | | |
| | | | | | CAT Total Score | 17.18 | 19.04 | ** | 32 |

a. * p<.05 **p<.01 ***p<.001 (2 -tailed) Does not Account for entering ACT/SAT.

^b. Mean difference divided by pooled group standard deviation.

(0.1 - 0.3 = small effect; 0.3 - 0.5 = moderate effect; >0.5 = large effect)

Colorado State University - Pueblo

CAT Institutional Report

December 2013 - College of Science and Mathematics

CAT Overview: Descriptive Statistics for CAT Total Score Colorado State University - Pueblo: December 2013 - College of Science and Mathematics

| | N | Min. | Max. | Mean | Std. Dev |
|-----------------|----|-------|-------|-------|----------|
| CAT Total Score | 32 | 14.00 | 31.00 | 22.85 | 5.03 |



CAT Demographics: Descriptive Statistics for Sample

| | | Freq. | Freq. % |
|----------|---------------|-------|---------|
| Condor | Male | 14 | 43.8% |
| Gender | Female | 18 | 56.3% |
| | | | |
| | Freshman | 0 | 0.0% |
| Class | Sophomore | 0 | 0.0% |
| Standing | Junior | 0 | 0.0% |
| | Senior | 32 | 100.0% |
| | | | |
| Class | Undergraduate | 32 | 100.0% |
| Class | Graduate | 0 | 0.0% |
| | | | |
| | ≤ 20 years | 3 | 10.0% |
| Age | 21-25 years | 21 | 70.0% |
| | ≥ 26 years | 6 | 20.0% |

| | | Freq. | Freq. % |
|---------------------|-----------|-------|---------|
| | Excellent | 22 | 68.8% |
| Proficiency | Very Good | 8 | 25.0% |
| with the English | Good | 1 | 3.1% |
| Language* | Fair | 0 | 0.0% |
| | Poor | 1 | 3.1% |

* Self-rated

| | | Freq. | Freq. % |
|----------------|--|-------|---------|
| | White | 31 | 96.9% |
| | Black or African American | 0 | 0.0% |
| P 200** | American Indian or Alaska Native | 2 | 6.3% |
| Race | Asian | 1 | 3.1% |
| | Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.0% |
| | Other Race | 1 | 3.1% |

**The cumulative percent may exceed 100% as students are allowed to select more than one category.

| | Freq. | Freq. % |
|---|-------|---------|
| Spanish/Hispanic/Latino Ethnicity | 2 | 6.3% |
| | | |
| Considered English primary language? | 31 | 96.9% |

CAT Breakdown: Frequency of Points Awarded for Each Question

Colorado State University - Pueblo: December 2013 - College of Science and Mathematics

| | Skill Assessed by CAT Question | Points Awarded | Freq. | Freq. % |
|------|--|-------------------|-------|---------|
| Q1 | Summarize the pattern of results in a graph without making inappropriate inferences. | 0 | 2 | 6.3% |
| | | 1 | 30 | 93.8% |
| | | 0 | 9 | 28.1% |
| Q2 | Evaluate how strongly correlational-type data supports a hypothesis. | 1 | 6 | 18.8% |
| ~- | | 2 | 6 | 18.8% |
| | | 3 | 11 | 34.4% |
| | Devide alternative combinations (| 1 | 2 | 0.0% |
| Q3 | | 2 | 17 | 53.1% |
| | | 3 | 17 | 37.5% |
| | | 0 | 6 | 18.8% |
| | | 1 | 4 | 12.5% |
| Q4 | Identify additional information needed to evaluate a hypothesis. | 2 | 9 | 28.1% |
| | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | 3 | 8 | 25.0% |
| | | 4 | 5 | 15.6% |
| 0.5 | | 0 | 7 | 21.9% |
| QS | Evaluate whether spurious information strongly supports a hypothesis. | 1 | 25 | 78.1% |
| | | 0 | 0 | 0.0% |
| 06 | Dravida alternativa evaluations for enurious associations | 1 | 14 | 43.8% |
| 60 | Provide alternative explanations for spunous associations. | 2 | 15 | 46.9% |
| | | 3 | 3 | 9.4% |
| | | 0 | 10 | 31.3% |
| Q7 | Identify additional information needed to evaluate a hypothesis. | | 16 | 50.0% |
| | | 2 | 6 | 18.8% |
| 08 | Determine whether an invited inference is supported by specific information. | 0 | 7 | 21.9% |
| | | 1 | 25 | 78.1% |
| | Provide relevant alternative interpretations for a specific set of results. | 0 | 7 | 21.9% |
| Q9 | | 1 | 21 | 65.6% |
| | | 2 | 4 | 12.5% |
| | | 0 | 0 | 0.0% |
| 010 | Separate relevant from irrelevant information when solving a real world problem | 1 | Z | 6.3% |
| 0.10 | Separate relevant nom melevant information when solving a real-world problem. | 2 | 12 | 15.0% |
| | | 4 | 13 | 40.0% |
| | | 0 | 6 | 18.8% |
| Q11 | Use and apply relevant information to evaluate a problem. | 1 | 16 | 50.0% |
| | | 2 | 10 | 31.3% |
| | | 0 | 2 | 6.3% |
| Q12 | Use basic mathematical skills to help solve a real-world problem. | 1 | 30 | 93.8% |
| | | 0 | 6 | 19.4% |
| 012 | Identify suitable colutions for a real world problem using relevant information | 1 | 9 | 29.0% |
| Q13 | | 2 | 9 | 29.0% |
| | | 3 | 7 | 22.6% |
| | | 0 | 6 | 20.0% |
| | | 1 | 4 | 13.3% |
| Q14 | Identify and explain the best solution for a real-world problem using relevant | 2 | 0 | 0.0% |
| | information. | 3 | 6 | 20.0% |
| | | 4 | 7 | 23.3% |
| | | 5 | 7 | 23.3% |
| | | 0 | 3 | 9.4% |
| Q15 | Explain how changes in a real-world problem situation might affect the solution. | 1 | 10 | 31.3% |
| | | 2 | 13 | 40.6% |
| | | 3 | 6 | 18.8% |

| | Institutional/Departmental Profile | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|--|----------|-----------|-----|---|---------------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Colorado State University - Pueblo: December 2013 - College of Science and Mathematics | | | | | | | | | |
| Evaluate and | Problem | Creative | Effective | | | Institution/I | Department | | | |
| Interpret Info | Solving | Thinking | Comm. | | Skill Assessed by CAT Question | Mean | Avg. % of Attainable Points | | | |
| Х | | | | Q1 | Summarize the pattern of results in a graph without making inappropriate inferences. | 0.94 | 94% | | | |
| х | | | х | Q2 | Evaluate how strongly correlational-type data supports a hypothesis. | 1.59 | 53% | | | |
| | | х | х | Q3 | Provide alternative explanations for a pattern of results that has many possible causes. | 2.27 | 76% | | | |
| | х | х | х | Q4 | Identify additional information needed to evaluate a hypothesis. | 2.05 | 51% | | | |
| х | | | | Q5 | Evaluate whether spurious information strongly supports a hypothesis. | 0.78 | 78% | | | |
| | | х | х | Q6 | Provide alternative explanations for spurious associations. | 1.66 | 55% | | | |
| | х | х | х | Q7 | Identify additional information needed to evaluate a hypothesis. | 0.88 | 44% | | | |
| х | | | | Q8 | Determine whether an invited inference is supported by specific information. | 0.78 | 78% | | | |
| | | х | х | Q9 | Provide relevant alternative interpretations for a specific set of results. | 0.91 | 45% | | | |
| х | х | | | Q10 | Separate relevant from irrelevant information when solving a real-world problem. | 3.09 | 77% | | | |
| х | х | | х | Q11 | Use and apply relevant information to evaluate a problem. | 1.13 | 56% | | | |
| | х | | | Q12 | Use basic mathematical skills to help solve a real-world problem. | 0.94 | 94% | | | |
| х | х | | | Q13 | Identify suitable solutions for a real-world problem using relevant information. | 1.55 | 52% | | | |
| х | x | | x | Q14 | Identify and explain the best solution for a real-world problem using relevant information. | 2.83 | 57% | | | |
| | х | х | х | Q15 | Explain how changes in a real-world problem situation might affect the solution. | 1.69 | 56% | | | |
| | | | | | CAT Total Score | 22.85 | 60% | | | |

| | Upper Division CAT Means Comparison Report | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--|----------|-----------|-------|---|-------------|------|--|-----------------------------|--|
| | Colorado State University - Pueblo: December 2013 - College of Science and Mathematics | | | | | | | | | |
| Evaluate and Problem | | Creative | Effective | | Skill Assassed by CAT Question | Institution | | National | | |
| Interpret Info | Solving | Thinking | Comm. | | Skill Assessed by CAT Question | Mean | Mean | Probability of difference ^a | Effect Size ^b | |
| х | | | | Q1 | Summarize the pattern of results in a graph without making inappropriate inferences. | 0.94 | 0.67 | ** | +.73 | |
| х | | | х | Q2 | Evaluate how strongly correlational-type data supports a hypothesis. | 1.59 | 1.21 | | | |
| | | х | х | Q3 | Provide alternative explanations for a pattern of results that has many possible causes. | 2.27 | 1.35 | *** | +1.06 | |
| | х | х | х | Q4 | Identify additional information needed to evaluate a hypothesis. | 2.05 | 1.41 | ** | +.49 | |
| х | | | | Q5 | Evaluate whether spurious information strongly supports a hypothesis. | 0.78 | 0.73 | | | |
| | | х | х | Q6 | Provide alternative explanations for spurious associations. | 1.66 | 1.56 | | | |
| | х | х | х | Q7 | Identify additional information needed to evaluate a hypothesis. | 0.88 | 0.82 | | | |
| х | | | | Q8 | Determine whether an invited inference is supported by specific information. | 0.78 | 0.68 | | | |
| | | х | х | Q9 | Provide relevant alternative interpretations for a specific set of results. | 0.91 | 0.93 | | | |
| х | х | | | Q10 | Separate relevant from irrelevant information when solving a real-world problem. | 3.09 | 3.14 | | | |
| х | х | | х | Q11 | Use and apply relevant information to evaluate a problem. | 1.13 | 1.11 | | | |
| | х | | | Q12 | Use basic mathematical skills to help solve a real-world problem. | 0.94 | 0.82 | | | |
| х | х | | | Q13 | Identify suitable solutions for a real-world problem using relevant information. | 1.55 | 1.18 | | | |
| х | х | | х | Q14 | Identify and explain the best solution for a real-world problem using relevant information. | 2.83 | 2.29 | | | |
| | х | х | х | Q15 | Explain how changes in a real-world problem situation might affect the solution. | 1.69 | 1.15 | ** | +.55 | |
| | | | | 22.85 | 19.04 | ** | +.69 | | | |

a. * p<.05 **p<.01 ***p<.001 (2 -tailed) Does not Account for entering ACT/SAT.

^b. Mean difference divided by pooled group standard deviation.

(0.1 - 0.3 = small effect; 0.3 - 0.5 = moderate effect; >0.5 = large effect)

Colorado State University - Pueblo

CAT Institutional Report

December 2013 - Hasan School of Business

CAT Overview: Descriptive Statistics for CAT Total Score Colorado State University - Pueblo: December 2013 - Hasan School of Business

| | N | Min. | Max. | Mean | Std. Dev |
|-----------------|----|-------|-------|-------|----------|
| CAT Total Score | 32 | 10.00 | 28.00 | 18.41 | 4.70 |



CAT Demographics: Descriptive Statistics for Sample

| | | Freq. | Freq. % |
|----------|---------------|-------|---------|
| Condor | Male | 14 | 43.8% |
| Genuei | Female | 18 | 56.3% |
| | | | |
| | Freshman | 0 | 0.0% |
| Class | Sophomore | 0 | 0.0% |
| Standing | anding Junior | | 0.0% |
| | Senior | 32 | 100.0% |
| | | | |
| Class | Undergraduate | 32 | 100.0% |
| 01055 | Graduate | 0 | 0.0% |
| | | | |
| | ≤ 20 years | 1 | 3.1% |
| Age | 21-25 years | 27 | 84.4% |
| | ≥ 26 years | 4 | 12.5% |

| | | Freq. | Freq. % |
|---------------------|-----------|-------|---------|
| | Excellent | 18 | 56.3% |
| Proficiency | Very Good | 12 | 37.5% |
| with the English | Good | 1 | 3.1% |
| Language* | Fair | 1 | 3.1% |
| | Poor | 0 | 0.0% |

* Self-rated

| | | Freq. | Freq. % |
|----------------|--|-------|---------|
| | White | 25 | 78.1% |
| | Black or African American | 2 | 6.3% |
| P 200** | American Indian or Alaska Native | 0 | 0.0% |
| Race | Asian | 2 | 6.3% |
| | Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.0% |
| | Other Race | 5 | 15.6% |

**The cumulative percent may exceed 100% as students are allowed to select more than one category.

| | Freq. | Freq. % |
|---|-------|---------|
| Spanish/Hispanic/Latino Ethnicity | 9 | 28.1% |
| | | |
| Considered English primary language? | 32 | 100.0% |

CAT Breakdown: Frequency of Points Awarded for Each Question

Colorado State University - Pueblo: December 2013 - Hasan School of Business

| | Skill Assessed by CAT Question | Points Awarded | Freq. | Freq. % |
|-----|--|-------------------|-------|---------|
| Q1 | Summarize the pattern of results in a graph without making inappropriate inferences. | 0 | 12 | 37.5% |
| | | 1 | 20 | 62.5% |
| | | | 9 | 29.0% |
| Q2 | Evaluate how strongly correlational-type data supports a hypothesis. | 1 | 12 | 38.7% |
| | | 2 | 5 | 16.1% |
| | | 3 | 5 | 16.1% |
| | | 0 | 0 | 0.0% |
| Q3 | Provide alternative explanations for a pattern of results that has many possible | 1 | 3 | 9.4% |
| | causes. | 2 | 13 | 40.6% |
| | | 0 | 10 | 30.0% |
| | | 1 | 7 | 12 5% |
| 04 | Identify additional information needed to evaluate a hypothesis | 2 | 15 | 12.5% |
| 47 | | 3 | 5 | 40.5% |
| | | 4 | 1 | 3 1% |
| | | 0 | 12 | 37.5% |
| Q5 | Evaluate whether spurious information strongly supports a hypothesis. | 1 | 20 | 62.5% |
| | | 0 | 20 | 6.3% |
| | | 1 | 18 | 56.3% |
| Q6 | Provide alternative explanations for spurious associations. | | 9 | 28.1% |
| | | - | 3 | 9.4% |
| | | 0 | 11 | 34.4% |
| Q7 | Identify additional information needed to evaluate a hypothesis. | 1 | 17 | 53.1% |
| | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | 2 | 4 | 12.5% |
| | | 0 | 16 | 50.0% |
| Q8 | Determine whether an invited inference is supported by specific information. | 1 | 16 | 50.0% |
| | Provide relevant alternative interpretations for a specific set of results. | 0 | 14 | 43.8% |
| Q9 | | 1 | 16 | 50.0% |
| | | 2 | 2 | 6.3% |
| | | 0 | 0 | 0.0% |
| | | 1 | 0 | 0.0% |
| Q10 | Separate relevant from irrelevant information when solving a real-world problem. | 2 | 5 | 16.1% |
| | | 3 | 16 | 51.6% |
| | | 4 | 10 | 32.3% |
| | | 0 | 11 | 34.4% |
| Q11 | Use and apply relevant information to evaluate a problem. | 1 | 19 | 59.4% |
| | | 2 | 2 | 6.3% |
| Q12 | Use basic mathematical skills to help solve a real-world problem. | 0 | 3 | 9.4% |
| | | 1 | 29 | 90.6% |
| | | 0 | 12 | 37.5% |
| Q13 | Identify suitable solutions for a real-world problem using relevant information. | 1 | 8 | 25.0% |
| | | 2 | 7 | 21.9% |
| | | 3 | 5 | 15.6% |
| | | 0 | 13 | 40.6% |
| | | 1 | 9 | 28.1% |
| Q14 | Identity and explain the best solution for a real-world problem using relevant | 2 | 1 | 3.1% |
| | | 3 | 3 | 9.4% |
| | | 4 | 3 | 9.4% |
| | | 5 | 3 | 9.4% |
| | | 0 | 6 | 18.8% |
| Q15 | Explain how changes in a real-world problem situation might affect the solution. | 1 | 13 | 40.6% |
| | | 2 | 10 | 31.3% |
| | | 3 | 3 | 9.4% |

| | Institutional/Departmental Profile | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|------------------------------------|----------|---------------|------------|---|---------|--------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | Co | olorad | do State University - Pueblo: December 2013 - Hasan School of Bu | isiness | | | |
| Evaluate and | Problem Creative Effective | | Institution/[| Department | | | | | |
| Interpret Info | Solving | Thinking | Comm. | | Skill Assessed by CAT Question | Mean | Avg. % of Attainable Points | | |
| х | | | | Q1 | Summarize the pattern of results in a graph without making inappropriate inferences. | 0.63 | 63% | | |
| х | | | х | Q2 | Evaluate how strongly correlational-type data supports a hypothesis. | 1.18 | 39% | | |
| | | х | х | Q3 | Provide alternative explanations for a pattern of results that has many possible causes. | 2.40 | 80% | | |
| | х | х | х | Q4 | Identify additional information needed to evaluate a hypothesis. | 1.68 | 42% | | |
| х | | | | Q5 | Evaluate whether spurious information strongly supports a hypothesis. | 0.63 | 63% | | |
| | | х | x | Q6 | Provide alternative explanations for spurious associations. | 1.41 | 47% | | |
| | х | х | х | Q7 | Identify additional information needed to evaluate a hypothesis. | 0.78 | 39% | | |
| х | | | | Q8 | Determine whether an invited inference is supported by specific information. | 0.50 | 50% | | |
| | | х | х | Q9 | Provide relevant alternative interpretations for a specific set of results. | 0.63 | 31% | | |
| х | х | | | Q10 | Separate relevant from irrelevant information when solving a real-world problem. | 3.16 | 79% | | |
| х | х | | х | Q11 | Use and apply relevant information to evaluate a problem. | 0.72 | 36% | | |
| | х | | | Q12 | Use basic mathematical skills to help solve a real-world problem. | 0.91 | 91% | | |
| х | х | | | Q13 | Identify suitable solutions for a real-world problem using relevant information. | 1.16 | 39% | | |
| х | х | | х | Q14 | Identify and explain the best solution for a real-world problem using relevant information. | 1.47 | 29% | | |
| | х | х | х | Q15 | Explain how changes in a real-world problem situation might affect the solution. | 1.31 | 44% | | |
| | | | | | CAT Total Score | 18.41 | 48% | | |

| | Upper Division CAT Means Comparison Report | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|--|----------|-----------|-----|---|------|-------|--|-----------------------------|--|
| | Colorado State University - Pueblo: December 2013 - Hasan School of Business | | | | | | | | | |
| Evaluate and | Problem | Creative | Effective | | | | | National | | |
| Interpret Info | Solving | Thinking | Comm. | | Skill Assessed by CAT Question | Mean | Mean | Probability of difference ^a | Effect Size ^b | |
| х | | | | Q1 | Summarize the pattern of results in a graph without making inappropriate inferences. | 0.63 | 0.67 | | | |
| х | | | х | Q2 | Evaluate how strongly correlational-type data supports a hypothesis. | 1.18 | 1.21 | | | |
| | | х | х | Q3 | Provide alternative explanations for a pattern of results that has many possible causes. | 2.40 | 1.35 | *** | +1.19 | |
| | х | х | х | Q4 | Identify additional information needed to evaluate a hypothesis. | 1.68 | 1.41 | | | |
| х | | | | Q5 | Evaluate whether spurious information strongly supports a hypothesis. | 0.63 | 0.73 | | | |
| | | х | х | Q6 | Provide alternative explanations for spurious associations. | 1.41 | 1.56 | | | |
| | х | х | х | Q7 | Identify additional information needed to evaluate a hypothesis. | 0.78 | 0.82 | | | |
| х | | | | Q8 | Determine whether an invited inference is supported by specific information. | 0.50 | 0.68 | * | 39 | |
| | | х | х | Q9 | Provide relevant alternative interpretations for a specific set of results. | 0.63 | 0.93 | * | 45 | |
| х | х | | | Q10 | Separate relevant from irrelevant information when solving a real-world problem. | 3.16 | 3.14 | | | |
| х | х | | х | Q11 | Use and apply relevant information to evaluate a problem. | 0.72 | 1.11 | ** | 64 | |
| | х | | | Q12 | Use basic mathematical skills to help solve a real-world problem. | 0.91 | 0.82 | | | |
| х | х | | | Q13 | Identify suitable solutions for a real-world problem using relevant information. | 1.16 | 1.18 | | | |
| х | х | | х | Q14 | Identify and explain the best solution for a real-world problem using relevant information. | 1.47 | 2.29 | * | 46 | |
| | х | Х | х | Q15 | Explain how changes in a real-world problem situation might affect the solution. | 1.31 | 1.15 | | | |
| | CAT Total Score | | | | | | 19.04 | | | |

a. * p<.05 **p<.01 ***p<.001 (2 -tailed) Does not Account for entering ACT/SAT.

^b. Mean difference divided by pooled group standard deviation.

(0.1 - 0.3 = small effect; 0.3 - 0.5 = moderate effect; >0.5 = large effect)