CSU PUEBLO	2021 Academic Program Assessment Report		Program current assessment plan here:					
	Interdisciplinary Studies		Program prior assessment report here:					
Report Completed By:	Kristyn White Davis							
Date Report Completed:	June 15, 2021							
Faculty members involved in this Assessment:	Margie Massey, Chair of I Advisory Board	Extended Studies Faculty						
Please describe this year's assessment activitic certificate, and graduate program in your depreports will be available to the Dean of your control of of your co	artment.) Please also sub ollege/school and to the l Extended Studies offers a allows the student to cho	mit any addenda such as rub Executive Director for Assessi Bachelor's of Science (BS) in In ose a major or concentration in	rics which are not available ir ment as well as faculty peer r terdisciplinary Studies. An interd more than one area and study	your assessment plan. The eviewers. disciplinary studies degree the subjects and topics that				
Brief Statement of Program Mission and Goals:	interest him/her. It also allows for students to combine science with other interests such as business, psychology, humani-ties, or social science. A major in interdisciplinary studies provides a flexible degree that provides students a means to combine multiple majors for degree completion. This new range of skills for the workplace allows students to make connections between different ideas and concepts, which bolsters their critical thinking, ability to be creative when solv-ing problems, and collaboration skills that are essential for their success in their careers.							
I. Assessment of Student Learning Outcon learning. Use Column H to describe impro A. Your program SLOs are pasted here		~ ·	•	or improved student  E. What is the expected	F. What were the results	G. What were the	H. What	
verbatim from your assessment plan.	SLO last reported on	used for assessing the	Please fully describe the	proficiency level and	of the assessment?	department's	changes/improvements	
Please enter info in columns B-H only for those assessed during this annual cycle.	prior to this cycle? (semester and year)	SLO? Please include a copy of any rubrics used in the assessment process.	student group(s) and the number of students or artifacts involved (N).	how many or what proportion of students should be at that level?	(Include the proportion of students meeting proficiency.)	conclusions about student performance?	to the program are planned based on this assessment?	
Students will develop critical thinking, communication, organizational and problem-solving skills that allow them to see intellectual connections among various disciplinary fields.								
Students will develop linkages between their individualized intellectual inquiries and related areas in terms of contemporary challenges facing individuals, communities, and societies.								
<ol> <li>Students will articulate their personal educational and professional goals focusing on existing and potential demand for the skills and knowledge they acquire in their degree program.</li> </ol>								
Students will acquire an understanding of future opportunities for the program of study that they propose								
Comments on part I:	the 2021-2022 academic yea above. It is my recommenda directly with students in this	bully advisory board only met once ar, we will meet to address how to tition that we evaluate Goal #3. We program to identify how obtaining I we send out a survey to our major	assess one of the SLO's listed will include our two advisors who this degree assists in achieving					

II. Closing the Loop. Describe at least one data-informed change to your curriculum during the year cycle. These are those that were based on, or implemented to address, the results of assessment from previous cycles.						
A. What SLO(s) or other issues did you address in this cycle? Please include SLOs verbatim from the assessment plan, as above.	B. When was this SLO last assessed to generate the data which informed the change? Please indicate the semester and year.	C. What were the recommendations for change from the previous assessment column H and/or feedback?	D. How were the recommendations for change acted upon?	E. What were the results of the changes? If the changes were not effective, what are the next steps or the new recommendations?		
Comments on part II:						